

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy & Environmental Affairs SCANNED

File

Department of Environmental Protection

Northeast Regional Office • 205B Lowell Street, Wilmington MA 01887 • 978-694-3200

DEVAL L PATRICK Governor RICHARD K. SULLIVAN JR. Secretary

KENNETH L. KIMMELL Commissioner

DEC 1 6 2013

CERTIFIED MAIL 7013 0600 0002 3013 1816

Massachusetts Electric Co. dba National Grid 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, MA 02451 RE: MALDEN
Boston Gas Company Malden Plant
100 Commercial Street
RTN 3-0000362

RTN 3-0000362 Permit # W007378

PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE

Attn: Ms. Michele V. Leone

Dear Ms. Leone:

This correspondence serves as notification that your presumptively approved **Tier IB Permit Extension** will expire on **December 28, 2015**. This expiration date has been established by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) pursuant to 310 CMR 40.0751.

If you have any questions, please contact the regional BWSC Permit Section Chief at the letterhead address or by calling the letterhead telephone number.

Very truly yours,

Joanne Fagan, Section Chief SB

Brownfields/Permits

Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup

cc: GZA GeoEnvironmental, Charles A. Lindberg (LSP), charles.lindberg@gza.com



DEP BWSC RAO LEVEL 1 AUDIT CHECKLIST

Disclaimer: This checklist is for use by DEP in reviewing Response Action Outcome (RAO) Statements, and may not be relied upon for any other purpose. This checklist is not a comprehensive list of RAO requirements, which are fully set forth in MGL c. 21E and 310 CMR 40.0000. Completion of this checklist by DEP does not constitute a final agency decision, and does not create any legal rights or relieve any party of obligations that exist pursuant to applicable laws.

RTN	3-0030990	Town	Milton	Stree	t Addres	73 Granite Avenue
Date	RAO Rcvd 5/28/2013	Date Screen	ed 1/6/201	4		
				-		
ı. s	ITE CONCERNS					
Α.	Air					
1. Ap	plicable GW-2 standard exc	eeded @ reside	ence/school with	no soil gas/indoor air sa	ampling	no
2. Site	e contaminants impacting ind	door air				no
В. С	rinking Water/Groundwate	er				
1. M o	ore than 0.5" NAPL observed	d in any monitor	ing well			no
2 Site	e within potential drinking wa	iter source area	(PDW\$A)			no
3. Site	located within IWPA/mapp	ed Zone II				no
4. Pr	ivate/Non-municipal public w	/ell(s) (i.e. TNC,	NTNC) located	within 500 feet of site		no
5. Mu	nicipal well(s) located within	1000 feet of site	e			no
6. Pri	ate well contaminated as a	result of site, st	ill in use (no filte	er, no public water, etc.)		no
7. Pul	blic water supply contaminat	ed as a result o	f site, no filters o	or other mitigation.		no
C. C	ontaminated Soil At a Sch	ool or Resider	ıce			
1. EP	C in S-1 soil exceeds Metho	d 1 Standard				no
2. Bio	accumulating compounds (i.	.e. Hg, Pb, PCB	s, etc.) detected	l less than 1 foot dee		no
3. IH	compounds (arsenic, cadmit	um, chrome VI,	cyanide) detecto	ed less than 1 foot dee		no
D. E	nvironmental Concerns					
1. Sit	e within 500 feet of surface	water and/or we	tlands			no
2. En	dangered species habitat, A	CEC and/or cert	tified vernal pool	within 500 fee		no
3. Co	nfirmed contamination of sur	face water, sed	iments and/or w	etlands with site contan	ninant	no
	e Area Use - Check All Th	• • •				
1. Ind	ustrial use or public Right of	Way (no childre	en likely to be pr	resent)		no
2. Co	mmercial (limited presence o	of children)				yes
3. Scl	nool/Institution (pre-K throug	h high school, r	ot college/unive	rsity)		no
4. Re	sidential					yes

F. Released OHM (Primary Contaminant Type[s]	RTN 3-0030990
1. Petroleum fuel oils (e.g. #2, #4, #6, JP-4, JP-8, kerosene, lube oil, MODF, etc	no
2. Gasoline, waste oils, Aviation Fuel (AVGAS, Jet A, etc.)	yes
3. Metals, coal tar, PCBs, pesticides/herbicides, asbestos, cyanide	no
4. Chlorinated solvents, perchlorate, or other organic compounds	no
G. Site Complexity	
1. Co-mingled plumes (i.e., from different sources, one or more releases co-mingled)	?
2. Bedrock contamination	no
II. TECHNICAL ADEQUACY	·
A. Remedial Response Actions:	
1. Documentation (BOL, HWM, etc.) of removal/treatment of contaminated soil was provide	yes
2. Remediation waste properly managed (Air [95%], GW [permit], SW [NPDES])	yes
B. Source/Extent Investigations:	,
History of OHM use/storage/disposal at the site included	yes
2. Potential source(s) identified, characterized, or abated (septic leach field, floor drain, AST, etc	yes
3. All migration pathways evaluated (soil, groundwater, surface water, air, sediment, food)	yes
4. Extent of contamination defined in all media (including downgradient)	yes
5. Potential or actual OHM analyzed for and/or evaluated (metals, VPH, VOCs, etc.)	yes
6. Proper sample collection technique/preservation//holding times/surrogate recovery, etc.	?
C. Risk Characterization:	
Correct risk characterization method used (relative to indoor air, surface water, sediment, etc.)	yes
2. Background identified or characterized	yes
3. All receptors accounted for (human, environmental) or AUL applied	yes
4. Site activities and uses identified (current, future, any limitations that were assume	yes
5. Exposure points identified (GW soil for all RC Methods, other media for Methods 2 3)	yes
6. All exposure pathways identified and evaluated (inhalation, ingestion, dermal, etc.	yes
7. Hot Spot(s) addressed, identified (as Hot Spot) and not added in to other EPCs	NA
8. EPC calculation(s)/equations provided (including spatial and/or temporal, Hot Spots, etc.)	yes
9. EPC properly calculated (maximum concentration, 75%/10x, upper confidence limit)	yes
10. Soil/groundwater categories properly identified	yes
11. Applicable soil and/or GW standards not exceeded (Method 1 or 2) or AUL applied	yes
12. Characterization of Risk to Safety is included (all methods)	yes
13. Method 3 Public Welfare Risk Characterization is included	NA
14. Method 3 Environmental Risk Characterization – Stage 1 or 2 was completed, if applicab	NA
15. Method 3 Human Health: Non-Cancer Risks < HI of 1, ELCR < than 1x10-5	NA

III.	Preliminary Response Action Type	RTN	3-0030990			
1.	Correct RAO Class was selected		yes			
2.	RAO boundaries delineated and referenced to permanent landmarks or surveyed boundarie					
3.	Relationship of this RAO to other RAOs for the property has been defined		yes			
4.	Data Usability Assessment (scien. valid defensible, precise, accurate, complete) is include		yes			
5.	Data Representativeness Evaluation (adequate spatial and temporal data) is included		yes			
A.	CLASS A - Permanent Solutions:					
1.	A background feasibility evaluation is included		yes			
2.	A Permanent Solution has been achieved		yes			
3.	All sources have been eliminated or controlled		yes			
4.	Phase IV, Phase V, or Post-RAO OM, where required, were completed		NA			
Α-	1. CLASS A-1:					
1.	The level of OHM at the site has been reduced to background					
2.	Threats of Release Only: all TORs were eliminated, and a release of OHM has not occurred					
A-	2. CLASS A-2:					
1.	An AUL is not required to maintain a condition of No Significant Risk		yes			
A-	3. CLASS A-3:					
1.	An AUL has been implemented to maintain a condition of No Significant Risk					
2.	Groundwater or Soil OHM concentrations do not exceed UCLs					
A-4. CLASS A-4:						
1.	An AUL has been implemented to maintain a condition of No Significant Risk					
2.	OHM in soil that exceeds UCLs is beneath engineered barrier or >15 feet below ground surface					
3.	UCL Feasibility Evaluation conducted and shows that achieving UCLs is not feasible					