

REPORT

Preliminary Building Cleanup Alternatives Evaluation

Aerovox, Inc. Facility New Bedford, Massachusetts

December 1997





Transmitted Via Federal Express

February 24, 1998

Mr. Colburn T. Cherney Ropes & Gray One Franklin Square 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 800 East Washington, D.C. 20005-3333

Re: Aerovox, Inc. Facility New Bedford, Massachusetts Project #: 1638.03855 #2

Dear Mr. Cherney:

Please find enclosed eight copies of the Building Cleanup Alternatives Report for the Aerovox, Inc. Facility in New Bedford, Massachusetts.

Please feel free to contact me at (315) 446-9120 if you have any questions regarding the enclosed report.

Sincerely,

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC.

David J. Und Vice President

FJK/bmb Enclosure 27881369.WPD

cc: Edward R. Lynch, P.E., Blasland, Bouck & Lee, Inc.

Preliminary Building Cleanup Alternatives Evaluation

Aerovox, Inc. Facility New Bedford, Massachusetts

December, 1997



6723 Towpath Road, P.O. Box 66 Syracuse, New York, 13214-0066 (315) 446-9120 \subseteq

Section 1.	Introduction
	1.1 General
Section 2.	PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation
	2.1 General 2-1 2.2 Description of PCB Building Material/Equipment
	Investigation
	2.3 FCB building Material Investigation Results
	2.5 PCB Equipment Investigation Results
Section 3.	Building Cleanup Alternatives
	3.1 General
	3.2 Building Cleanup Alternative A (Removal of TSCA
	Regulated Materials) 3-1
	3.3 Building Cleanup Alternative B (Encapsulation of
Section 4.	Cost Estimate Summary 4-1
	4.1 General 4-1 4.2 Cost Estimates for Building Cleanup Alternatives
:	
Tables	1 PCB Analytical Results: Full Core and Dust and Dirt Scrape Samples
	2 PCB Analytical Results: Wipe Samples
Figures	1 Full Core and Dust and Dirt Sample Results
	 3 Comparison of Building Cleanup Alternatives
Appendices	A Analytical Data Report

.

.

Section 1

Introduction

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC.

engineers & sclentists

1. Introduction

1.1 General

This report presents a preliminary evaluation of Building Cleanup Alternatives for the Aerovox, Inc. (Aerovox) facility located in New Bedford, Massachusetts. The Aerovox facility consists of one three-story building currently used to manufacture capacitors and related products. To facilitate a decision regarding the future disposition of the building, this report presents a technical description of and cost estimates for the following two alternatives:

- Building Cleanup Alternative A (Removal of TSCA-Regulated Materials); and
- Building Cleanup Alternative B (Encapsulation of TSCA-Regulated Materials).

1.2 Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to provide Aerovox with basic cost and technical information to facilitate a decision regarding the potential continued use of the existing building. The evaluation considers above grade building materials and does not incorporate concerns, if any, with below grade utilities, soils, or ground water.

This report is organized into four sections. Section 1 presents general information, the purpose and scope of the report, and relevant background information including a summary of previous sampling events. Section 2 presents a summary of PCB Building Material Investigations conducted by BBL, along with a description of preliminary surface cleaning pilot studies. Section 3 presents a preliminary evaluation of the two Building Cleanup Alternatives. Section 4 presents cost information relative to each alternative.

1.3 Background Information

The Aerovox facility building encompasses approximately 450,000 square feet and consists of a western section that contains two floors and an eastern section that contains three floors. The exterior walls of the building are brick while the roof is constructed of wood. The first floor in the western section is partially underground and consists of a concrete floor and concrete walls that extend to the surrounding grade. The first floor in the eastern section consists of concrete. Approximately one-third of the eastern portion of the building (the one-third nearest the western section) is also partially below surrounding grade. Structural components of the building include interior wood columns and steel I-beam floor joists. Wooden floors are present on the second and third floors of the eastern section, and in a portion of the second floor of the western section.

A soil and ground-water PCB investigation and remedial alternatives evaluation was completed in the mid-1980's. Exterior PCB-impacted soil was remediated via the installation of an asphalt cap. In June 1997, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) conducted an inspection of the Aerovox building and collected 20 wood shaving samples from the floor of the capacitor impregnation tank room and collected oil samples from various oil storage tanks/degreaser operations for PCB analysis. The USEPA data indicated the presence of PCBs in the wood floor samples above 50 parts per million (ppm). PCBs were not detected above laboratory detection limits in the oil samples collected from tanks/equipment at the Aerovox facility. In October 1997, USEPA returned to the facility and collected 93 standard wipe samples for PCB analysis. Aerovox collected a number of split wipe samples along with USEPA. The analytical results indicated the presence of PCBs at concentrations greater than 10 micrograms (ug)/100 centimeters squared (cm²) which is the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) PCB Spill Policy cleanup concentration for low- and high-contact interior surfaces. Figure I presents the sample results from USEPA's June 1997 sampling event and Figure 2 presents the wipe sample results from the October 1997 wipe sampling event.

Section 2

PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC. engineers & scientists

2. PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation

2.1 General

This section presents a description of the PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation conducted by BBL on November 24 and 25, 1997. The purpose of the PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation was to supplement the existing PCB data base, to determine the approximate extent of impacted building materials, and to develop information regarding the approximate quantities of building materials. In addition, BBL conducted a pilot study activities to determine the effectiveness of surficial washing as a means of reducing PCB concentrations on non-porous surfaces. The remainder of this section presents a description of the PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation activities and the results of the investigation.

2.2 Description of PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation

The PCB Building Material/Equipment Investigation consisted of the following activities:

- The collection of 17 full-core building material samples (wood, brick, and concrete) for PCB analysis;
- The collection of 12 composite scrape samples of dust/dirt from elevated horizontal surfaces for PCB analysis;
- The collection of 18 standard wipe samples from non-porous building material surfaces (tile floor, painted walls, steel surfaces, etc.) for PCB analysis;
- The collection of 13 standard wipe samples from the non-porous surfaces of select equipment for PCB analysis; and
- The performance of a surficial cleaning method pilot study.

Sample locations were chosen to provide information regarding PCB concentrations in and on building materials that were not sampled previously. In addition, a select number of sample locations were chosen to correlate to previous sample locations to confirm the previous data.

The PCB samples were containcrized and shipped under chain of custody procedures to our laboratory subcontractor, Galson Laboratories, located in Syracuse, New York. Each sample was analyzed for PCBs using USEPA Method 8082. Sample locations were tied to existing structures (i.e., columns, walls, etc.) and noted in the field log book.

In addition, BBL conducted a visual reconnaissance of the building to determine the following:

- Sizes and dimensions of existing building components including exterior and interior walls, floors, the roof, steel joints, and wood columns;
- The approximate amount of non-porous surfaces and porous surfaces;
- The approximate number of equipment pieces; and
- The presence of potential asbestos-containing materials.

The results of the visual reconnaissance activities have been used to determine the approximate volume and weight of existing building components and non-porous surfaces in order to prepare cost estimates for the building cleanup alternatives, discussed below in sections 3 and 4.

The results of the PCB Building Material Investigation, the surficial cleaning pilot study, and the equipment PCB wipe sampling activities are presented below.

2.3 PCB Building Material Investigation Results

Table I presents the analytical result for each full core sample and each dust/dirt scrape sample along with the sample identification number and building material type (wood, concrete, etc.).

Table 2 presents the analytical results for wipe samples. The location of each sample along with the associated PCB analytical result is shown on Figure 2.

Full Core Samples

The analytical results indicate that the wood floor on the second and third floor of the eastern section of the building contains PCBs at concentrations greater than 50 ppm. Two of the three wood floor full core samples (i.e., samples 2-FC-4 and 2-FC-5) collected from the second floor in the western section of the building contained PCBs greater than 50 ppm. One of the two concrete floor full core samples collected from the second floor in the western section of the building contained PCBs greater than 50 ppm. One of the two concrete floor full core samples collected from the second floor in the western section of the building contained PCBs greater than 50 ppm.

The analytical results indicate that PCBs were detected in full core samples collected from the brick exterior walls at concentrations ranging from 2.48 ppm to 26.4 ppm. The one full core wood ceiling sample collected from the second floor ceiling in the western section of the building contained PCBs at a concentration of 28.3 ppm.

Dust and Dirt Samples

Each of the I2 dust and dirt scrape samples contained PCBs at concentrations greater than 50 ppm.

<u>Wipe Samples</u>

Seventeen of the 18 wipe samples collected from non-porous building materials and non-porous appurtances (electrical conduits and light fixtures) contained PCBs at concentrations greater than the TSCA Spill Cleanup Policy cleanup level of 10 ug/100 cm² for high- and low-contact surfaces.

2.4 Surface Cleaning Pilot Study Results

BBL conducted two surficial cleaning pilot studies at the Aerovox facility in order to determine the effectiveness of surficial washing as a means of reducing PCB concentrations on non-porous surfaces. Each pilot study was conducted utilizing the following procedure:

- I) A pre-cleaning wipe sample was collected from the select non-porous surface;
- 2) In an immediately adjacent location, the surface was cleaned using rags and a spray-on detergent (Knight's Super Spray Clean); and

3) Immediately following cleaning, a post-clean wipe sample was collected.

1

The first pilot study was conducted on the horizontal surface of a ceiling steel I-beam located on the first floor in the western section of the building near the lower pump room. The results of the first pilot study are as shown below.

Pre-Cleaning Wipe Sample	Post-Cleaning Wipe Sample	
1-PSW-1	I-PSW-1A	
Result: 520 ug/100 cm ²	Result: 226 ug/100 cm ²	

The second pilot study was conducted on the steel diagonal plate floor covering located at the second floor receiving dock in the eastern section of the building. The results of the second pilot study are as shown below.

Pre-Cleaning Wipe Sample	Post-Cleaning Wipe Sample	
2-PSW- 1	2-PSW-1A	
Result: 163 ug/100 cm ²	Result: 34 ug/100 cm ²	

The results of the pilot studies indicate that a one-time surficial detergent washing did not achieve the TSCA PCB spill cleanup level of 10 ug/100 cm². Additional detergent washing will likely be required to accomplish the following:

a. Determine how many washes it will take to achieve the cleanup objective; and

b. Determine what PCB concentration can be achieved with a reasonable number of surface washes (i.e., three times).

The second pilot study results indicate that detergent washing may achieve a non-porous surface PCB concentration of less than 100 ug/100 cm² which is the typical cleanup requirement for steel prior to disposal (smelting) acceptance at a steel recycling facility.

2.5 PCB Equipment Investigation Results

Ten of the 13 wipe samples collected from the surfaces of equipment at the Aerovox facility contained PCBs at concentrations greater than 10 ug/100 cm².

Section 3

Building Cleanup Alternatives

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC. engineers & scientists

3. Building Cleanup Alternatives

3.1 General

This section presents a description of the two building cleanup alternatives developed by BBL based on the analytical data generated to date and based on our past experience with building remediation. BBL has developed the following building cleanup alternatives:

- Building Cleanup Alternative A (Removal of TSCA-Regulated Materials); and
- Building Cleanup Alternative B (Encapsulation of TSCA-Regulated Materials).

A description of each building cleanup alternative is presented below.

3.2 Building Cleanup Alternative A (Removal of TSCA Regulated Materials)

Under this alternative, the building would be remediated to agreed upon cleanup levels for continued use. For cost estimating purposes, we have assumed that the PCB cleanup levels would be as follows:

- Porous materials: remove materials that contain greater than 50 ppm PCBs on a full core sample basis and encapsulate porous materials that contain less than 50 ppm PCBs; and
- Non-porous surfaces: 10 ug/100 cm².

This alternative would consist of the major work activities listed below.

Work Activity 1 - Interior Cleaning Work Activity 2 - Post-Cleaning Verification Sampling Work Activity 3 - TSCA Material Removal Work Activity 4 - Restoration Program

These work activities are discussed below.

Work Activity 1 - Interior Cleaning

This work activity would consist of cleaning interior non-porous surfaces (steel, painted brick and wood, etc.) to reduce the surficial PCB concentrations to less than the TSCA PCB spill cleanup criteria of 10 ug/100 cm² for highand low contact interior surfaces. Because the preliminary pilot studies indicated that a single detergent wash may not reduce PCB concentrations to less than 10 ug/100 cm², a higher unit cost (compared with the unit cost used in the demolition alternative) for cleaning (via triple handwashing) the interior surfaces has been used to estimate the cost of this work activity. Additional pilot studies would be required to confirm that a surficial cleanup level of 10 ug/100 cm² can be achieved. This work activity would also address the dust and dirt that contains PCBs at concentrations greater than 50 ppm.

In addition, as part of this work activity, the surfaces of equipment that contain PCBs at concentrations greater than 10 ug/100 cm² would be cleaned.

Work Activity 2 - Post Cleaning Verification Sampling

Upon completion of the interior surface and equipment cleaning activities, post-cleaning verification wipe samples would be collected and analyzed to verify that the interior, non-porous surfaces and equipment surfaces do not contain PCBs at concentrations greater than 10 ug/100 cm².

1

Work Activity 3 - TSCA Material Removal

Under this work activity, the wood floors in the eastern section of the building and concrete (located on the second floor of the western section surrounding sample 2-FC-8) that contain PCBs at concentrations greater than 50 ppm would be removed and disposed of at a TSCA landfill. The unit costs for the removal of TSCA-regulated materials is higher under this alternative versus the demolition alternative because additional activities including dust control, protection of equipment on lower floors, and temporary relocation of utilities that run along the ceilings beneath the impacted floors would need to be conducted. As with the building demolition alternative, BBL has assumed that the floors can be removed without jeopardizing the structural stability of the building. A more comprehensive structural evaluation should be conducted to confirm that the impacted wood and concrete flooring can be removed without jeopardizing the structural stability. Consistent with the logic for this building cleanup alternative which includes removal of TSCA-regulated building inaterials, this alternative would also involve the removal and off-site disposal of the concrete floor slab associated with the first floor of the western section of the building. Although no full core samples were collected from this concrete floor slab, the floor slab in the building section of the building most likely contains PCBs at regulated concentrations.

Work Activity 4 - Restoration Program

The wood and concrete floors that were removed would be replaced to facilitate continued use of the building. The cost estimate for this alternative includes replacement of approximately 260,800-square-feet of wood flooring and replacement of approximately 15,000 square feet of concrete flooring. The cost estimate for this alternative does not include costs associated with lost production time due to performance of the building cleanup activities.

The restoration program would include the encapsulation of approximately 25,000-square-feet of concrete and wood flooring (second floor, western section) that contains PCBs at concentrations less than 50 ppm but greater than 10 ppm.

3.3 Building Cleanup Alternative B (Encapsulation of TSCA-Regulated Materials)

This alternative would consist of cleaning interior horizontal and vertical surfaces and encapsulation of TSCA regulated materials consisting of the impacted wood and concrete floors. This alternative would consist of the following major work activities listed below.

Work Activity 1 - Interior Cleaning Work Activity 2 - Post-Cleaning Verification Sampling Work Activity 3 - Encapsulation of TSCA Regulated Materials

The work activities are discussed below.

Work Activity 1 - Interior Cleaning

This work activity would consist of cleaning interior non-porous surfaces (steel, painted brick and wood, etc.) to reduce the surficial PCB concentrations to less than the TSCA PCB spill cleanup criteria of $10 \text{ ug}/100 \text{ cm}^2$ for highand low contact interior surfaces. Because the preliminary pilot studies indicated that a single detergent wash may not reduce PCB concentrations to less than 10 ug/100 cm², a higher unit cost (compared with the unit cost used in the detection alternative) for cleaning (via triple handwashing) the interior surfaces has been used to estimate the cost of this work activity. Additional pilot studies would be conducted to confirm that a surficial cleanup level of 10 ug/100 cm² can be achieved. This work activity would also address the dust and dirt that contains PCBs at concentrations greater than 50 ppm.

.

As part of this work activity, the surfaces of equipment that contain PCBs at concentrations greater than $10 \text{ ug}/100 \text{ cm}^2$ would be cleaned.

Work Activity 2 - Post-Cleaning Verification Sampling

Upon completion of the interior surface and equipment cleaning activities, post-cleaning verification wipe samples would be collected and analyzed to verify that the interior non-porous surfaces and equipment surfaces do not contain PCBs at concentrations greater than 10 ug/100 cm².

Work Activity 3 - Encapsulation of TSCA-Regulated Materials

This work activity would consist of encapsulating the wood and concrete floors using two coats of epoxy-containing paint. Implementation of this alternative would require approval from USEPA Region 1 because TSCA-regulated materials would not be removed. The acceptability of this alternative by USEPA Region 1 is unknown. This alternative presents a greater future risk than the other alternatives as TSCA-regulated materials would still be present in the building increasing Aerovox's liability in case of a fire. In addition, under this alternative, when the building can no longer be used, the TSCA-regulated materials may still require off-site disposal in a TSCA landfill depending upon the regulations applicable at the time.

Section 4

Cost Estimate Summary

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC.

engineers & scientists

· · · ·

.

.

4. Cost Estimate Summary

4.1 General

This section presents a summary of the cost estimates for each Building Cleanup Alternative. The cost estimates are based on vendor quotes, past remedial costs, and BBL's experience with building cleanup projects. Major assumptions for each alternative are discussed below.

4.2 Cost Estimates for Building Cleanup Alternatives

<u>Alternative</u>		Estimated Cost
Building Clean	\$13,200,000.	
(Removal of T	SCA-Regulated Materia	als)
	A 4	#4 6 00 000

Building Cleanup Alternative B\$4,500,000.(Encapsulation of TSCA-Regulated Materials)

Building Cleanup Alternative A (Removal of TSCA-Regulated Materials) Assumptions

The major assumptions that were made in developing the cost estimate for Building Cleanup Alternative A (Removal of TSCA-Regulated Materials) are listed below.

- That interior surface cleaning techniques (triple handwash) can achieve the 10 ug/100 cm² cleanup goal. Based on the pilot study results, the cleanup goal for non-porous surfaces is not likely to be achieved for all non-porous surfaces.
- 2) That repeated rounds of verifications sampling and recleaning of interior surfaces will not be required.
- 3) That the wood flooring in the eastern section of the building and the impacted concrete flooring in the western section of the building can be removed without jeopardizing the structural stability of the building.
- 4) That the first floor slab in the western section of the building contains PCBs greater than 50 ppm and the fioor slab in the eastern section of the building does not contain PCBs greater than 50 ppm.

Building Cleanup Alternative B (Encapsulation of TSCA-Regulated Materials) Assumptions

The major assumptions that were made in dcveloping the cost estimate for Building Cleanup Alternative B (Encapsulation of TSCA-Regulated Materials) are listed below.

- That interior surface cleaning techniques (triple handwash) can achieve the 10 ug/100 cm² cleanup goal. Based on the pilot study results, the cleanup goal for non-porous surfaces is not likely to be achieved for all non-porous surfaces.
- 2) That repeated rounds of verification sampling and recleaning of interior surfaces will not be required.
- 3) That encapsulation of building materials that contain PCBs at concentrations greater than 50 ppm will be acceptable to USEPA Region 1.
- 4) This alternative may not be acceptable to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

5) Future maintenance activities associated with this alternative could result in additional costs not included in cost estimate.

.

+, ·

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC. engineers & scientists

Tables

۹

BLASIAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC. engineers & scientists

Table 1

٠....

Aerovox, Inc. Facility New Bedford, Massachusetts

PCB Analytical Results Full Core and Dust & Dirt Scrape Samples

Sample Type	Surface Material	Sample I.D.	PCBs Concentration ⁽¹⁾ [ppm]
First Floor -	- Eastern Section		
Full Core	Brick Wall (painted)	1-WC-1	7.4
Scrape	Composite	1-DD-1	880.0
Scrape	Composite	1-DD-2	121.0
Scrape	Composite	1-DD-3	420.0
First Floor	- Across Sections		
Scrape	Composite	l-DD-4	2010.0
Scrape	Composite	1-DD-5	950.0
Scrape	Composite	1-DD-6	268.0
Second Floo	or - Eastern Section		р
Full Core	Wood floor (stained)	2-FC-1	1,900.0
Full Core	Wood floor (stained)	2-FC-2	5,600.0
Full Core	Wood floor (stained)	2-FC-3	106.0
Scrape	Composite	2-DD-3	260.0
Scrape	Composite	2-DD-4	490.0
Full Core	Brick wall (painted)	2-WC-3	8.0
Full Core	Brick wall (painted)	2-WC-4	2.5
Second Floo	or - Western Section		
Full Core	Wood fioor (stained)	2-FC-4	145.00
Full Core	Wood floor (stained)	2-FC-5	56,000.0
Full Core	Wood floor (stained)	2-FC-6	28.0
Full Core	Concretc floor (stained)	2-FC-7	12.7
Full Core	Concrete floor (stained)	2-FC-8	156.0
Full Core	Ceiling beam (painted)	2-CC-1	28.3
Scrape	Composite	2-DD-1	1,020.0

Ĵ

Î

Ĵ

Ĩ

Î

Ĵ

Ê

Table 2

Aerovox, Inc. Facility New Bedford, Massachusetts

PCB Analytical Results Wipe Samples

••

Surface Material	Sample I.D.	PCBs Concentration ⁽¹⁾ [ug/100cm ²]
First Floor - Eastern Section	········	
Concrete floor (painted)	1-FW-1	18.0
Top of electrical duct. Horizontal steel surface (painted).	1-AW-2	20.8
Concrete floor (painted)	1-FW-3	350.0
Brick wall (painted)	1-WW-4	15.4
Concrete floor (painted)	1-FW-5	59.0
Top of start/stop panel of air compressor. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	1-EW-1	66.0
Top of horizontal metal plate (painted).	1-EW-2	330.0
Side of drying oven # 4. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	1-EW-3	13.7
Side of rear base leg of federal press. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	1-EW-4	199.0
First Floor - Western Section	n an	
Wood column (painted). Vertical surface.	1-AW-6	10.5
Elevated light fixture. Horizontal steel surface (painted).	1-AW-7	84.0
Inside left door of despatch oven. Vertical metal surface (unpainted).	1-EW-5	<2.5
"1" beam. Horizontal painted steel surface (pre-clean)	1-PSW-1	520.0
"l" beam. Horizontal painted steel surface (post-clean: vacuumed).	1-PSW-1A	226.0
Second Floor - Eastern Section		
Wood floor	2-FW-4	17.8
Tile floor	2-FW-5	14.8
Tile floor	2-FW-6	14.6
Tile floor	2-FW-7	3.3
Top of stainless steel horizontal surface.	2-EW-2	217.0
Top of machine housing. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	2-EW-3	2.5 .

Ĵ

Ĵ

Table 2

Aerovox, Inc. Facility New Bedford, Massachusetts

PCB Analytical Results Wipe Samples

Surface Material	Sample I.D.	PCBs Concentration ⁽¹⁾ [ug/100cm ²]
Horizontal diamond steel plate (pre-clean).	2-PSW-1	163.0
Horizontal diamond steel plate (post-clean: washed)	2-PSW-1A	34.0
Second Floor - Western Sectiou		· · ·
Top of electrical box. Horizontal steel surface (painted).	2-AW-2	235.0
Wood floor (painted)	2-FW-3	90.0
Top of electrical box. Horizontal steel surface (painted).	2-AW-1	320.0
Base of press. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	2-EW-1	16.0
Third Floor - Eastern Section		
Tile floor	3-FW-1	22.6
Tile floor	3-FW-2	176.0
Tile floor	3-FW-3	98.0
Tile floor	3-FW-4	30.0
Top of assembly machine. Horizontal inetal surface (painted).	3-EW-1	15.2
Top of gear housing of lead welding machine. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	3-EW-2	11.9
Top shelf of domino ink jet. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	3-EW-3	265.0
Top of base unit of metal winder. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	3-EW-4	68.0
Top of test/sort machine. Horizontal metal surface (painted).	3-EW-5	<2.5

NOTES:

- 1. (1) Concentrations are given for total PCBs in micrograms per 100 cm^2 .
- 2. <- Indicates the compound was analyzed for but not detected. The associated value is the laboratory detection limit.
- 3. Values in bold exceed $10 \text{ ug}/100 \text{ cm}^2$.

Figures

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC. engineers & scientists







					<u> </u>	I
RIGINAL		REVISION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BY DATE	APPR	
		<u></u>			<u>′</u>	
					ľ	
						G
						E
·						
						Non-market and
	<u>LEGEN</u>	<u>):</u>				C
	•	FLOOR SAMPLE 1 JUNE 25, 1997	FAKEN BY USE	IPA ON		
	Δ	FIELD COMPOSITE	E (SCRAPE) SA	AMPLE TAKEN	I BY	
	Θ	DISCRETE CORE	SAMPLE TAKE	N BY		
		BBL ON NOVEMB	ER 25, 1997			
	2.48	TOTAL PCBs > 5	50 ppm (mg/	kg)		
3-	–DD–1	SAMPLE I.D. NUN	ABER	<i></i>		
						B
		T			FIGURE	$\left \right $
ME Y14.5M-14	994	BB	BLASLAND, BO engineers	UCK & LEE, INC. & scientists		
CIFIED	DRAWN BY	D.JENKINS 11/18/97	Δοι	$\gamma \sim \gamma$		1
-	CHECKED BY		740 BELLEVILLE AV	E., NEW BEDFORD, MA 0	11NC. 2745 USA	
	USED ON AEROVO	X NEW BEDFORD	FULL CORE & SAMPLES (USEP	DUST & DIRT S	SCRAPE)
SHEET	1 OF 1		DWG. NO.	XX-AG-000	2 A	-
		<u> </u>	1			L

<u>∠</u>	ZONE LTR REVISION E - A ORIGINAL ISSUE D	BY DATE APPR PAJ 11/18/97	
$3-D\dot{D}-2$ $3-D\dot{D}-2$ 470 Δ 470 Δ 42 To 70 42 To 70		}	
STOCKROOM STOCKROOM		(()
ARR FACTOR L.V.P.F. STOCKROOM MASKING BALL PLUG DEMASK & DEBURR VENDING MACHINE ROOM 2-DD-4 4900 FROM COLUMNS 48 TO 70 AXIAL LINE AXIAL LINE			
			E
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			D
LIFE T-WC-1 T.4 (PAINTED) WELDING BRICK WALL T.4 (PAINTED) FROM COLUMNS SHOP LIFE TEST EAST LIFE TEST EAST LIFE TEST EAST COVER ASSEMBLY COVER ASSEMBLY/METAL FORMING	LEGEND: ● FLOOR SAMPLE TAKEN BY USEPA JUNE 25, 1997 ▲ FIELD COMPOSITE (SCRAPE) SAMP BBL ON NOVEMBER 25, 1997 ● DISCRETE CORE SAMPLE TAKEN E BBL ON NOVEMBER 25, 1997 ● DISCRETE CORE SAMPLE TAKEN E BBL ON NOVEMBER 25, 1997 ● DISCRETE CORE SAMPLE TAKEN E BBL ON NOVEMBER 25, 1997	ON PLE TAKEN BY BY	С
	128 TOTAL PCBs ≥ 50 ppm (mg/kg) 3-DD-1 SAMPLE I.D. NUMBER		B
APPROXIMATE GRAPHIC SCALE NOTICE: THIS DOCUMENT, THE PROPERTY OF AERONOX AND RETURNABLE UPON REQUEST, MUST NOT BE REPRODUCED OR INFORMATION MEREIN TRANSMITTED IN ANY WAY WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT FROM THIS COMPANY. PATENTS HAVE BEEN GRANTED AND PATENT APPLICATIONS ARE PRODUC ON IN PROCESS OF PREPARATION ON ALL OF OUR DEVELOPMENTS. 9/28/97 3	INTERPRET DRAWING PER ASME Y14.5M-1994 INTERPRET DRAWING PER ASME Y14.5M-1994 UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED DRAWN BY D.JENKINS 11/18/97 DRAWING IN INCHES CHECKED BY OO0 ± .005 .00 ± .01 FRACTIONS ±1/64 ANGLES ± 0'30' APPROVED BY FRACTIONS ±1/64 ANGLES ± 0'30' AEROVOX NEW BEDFORD CAD NO. SCALE OO02 N/A SHEET 1 OF 1 THIRD ANGLE PROJECTION E DWG. NO. PAVXX	Image: scientists FIGURE scientists 1 Image: scientist	A



					1		
ZONE	LTR		L	REVISION		BY DATE	APPR
_	A	ORIGINAL	ISSUE			DAJ 11/18/97	
							-
I2F1							
							F
							 r
			LEGEN				
			-	ON JUNE 25, 1	997	USEN DE USE	
			H	BUILDING MATER USEPA ON JUNE	IAL WIPE SAMP 25, 1997	LE TAKEN BY	
			$\boldsymbol{\Delta}$	EQUIPMENT/APP	URTENANCE WIF	PE SAMPLE TA	KEN
				BUILDING MATER	IAL WIPE SAMP	LE TAKEN BY	
				BBL ON NOVEME	BER 24, 1997	.2	
			<u>3.3</u>	TOTAL PUBS <	10 ug/100 cm	ı [–] 2	
		1-	-AW-2	SAMPLE I.D. NUI	MBER		
							E
				TOTOT		ICK & IFF INC	FIGURE
INTERPRET DRA	WING PER	ASME Y14.5M-1	994	DDI	engineers	& scientists	2
UNLESS OTH	IERWISE S	PECIFIED	DRAWN BY CHECKED BY	D.JENKINS 11/18/97	Aero	OVOX [®] IN	1C.
.000 ± .00.)05)1		APPROVED BY		740 BELLEVILLE AVE	., NEW BEDFORD, MA 0274	5 USA
FRACTIONS	s ±1/6 ± 0°30'	5 4	AEROVC	X NEW BEDFORD	WIPE	SAMPLES	
0002		SHEET	1 OF 1		PAV	XX-AG-0002	KEV. A

WELDING SHOP 10	1-AW-2 20.8	LIFE TEST EAST
MECHANIC, ELECTRICIAN, PIPE CARPENTRY SHOP SHOP METAL FORMING/STORAGE 1991-EW-4	ELEVATED STEEL SURFACE (PAINTED)	LIFE TEST EAST
350 ■ 1-FW-3 CONCRETE FLOOR (PAINTED)	2∸PIŇ DÍP ASSEMBLY	18.0 1-FW-1 CONCRETE FLOOR (PAINTED)
HOP V-2 15.4 V-2 (PAINTED)	••••	D.C. PACKAGING

Appendix A -Analytical Data Report

BLASLAND, BOUCK & LEE, INC.

engineers & scientists

17

ł

1

{ | |

Client : Bla Account # : 106 Site : Aero	sland, Bouck & Lee 24 ovox Sampling Prog	ram	
Date Received : 26-	NOV-97	Matrix : Wipe	
Date Sampled : 24-	NOV-97	Method : SW846 8082	
Date Extracted: 01-	DEC-97	Units : ug	
Galson ID:	L40282-1	L40282-2	L40282-3
Client ID:	1-FW-1	1-AW-2	1-FW-3
lor-1016	<2.5	<2.5	<25
lor-1221	<2.5	<2.5	<25
Aroclor-1232	<2.5	<2.5	<25
Aroclor-1242	<2.5	<2.5	<25
for-1248	7.0	8.8	130
r lor-1254	11.	12.	220
Aroclor-1260	<2.5	<2.5	<25
hilysis Date Limition Factor Surrogate Recovery Introl Limits (46-137)	12/02/97 1 100 %	12/02/97 1 109 %	12/03/97 10 0 % D

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 <u>.</u>: QC by : 12-9-97 Date : 11626 NYS DOH # Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out. Report Reference # : 94525 inted : 12/03/97 19:31

•

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client Account # Site	Blasland, Bouck & Lee 10624 Aerovox Sampling Progr	am	
Date Received a Date Sampled a Date Extracted	26-NOV-97 24-NOV-97 01-DEC-97	Matrix : Wipe Method : SW846 8082 Units : ug	
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-4 1-WW-4	L40282-5 1-FW-5	L40282-6 1-AW-6
reclor-1016 Aroclor-1221 *roclor-1232 reclor-1242 .reclor-1248 Aroclor-1254 nclor-1260	<2.5 <2.5 <2.5 <2.5 7.5 7.9 <2.5	<2.5 <2.5 <2.5 <2.5 21. 38. <2.5	<2.5 <2.5 <2.5 <2.5 6.4 4.1 <2.5
Analysis Date Dilution Factor Urogate Recovery Dontrol Limits (46-13	12/02/97 1 102 € 17)	12/02/97 1 93 %	12/02/97 1 100 %
		•	
Approved by : Oom Date : 03- QC by : %0 Date : 12- NYS DOH # : 116 Footnotes: D: Sur H inted : 12/03/97 19:	men Kappil DEC-97 3/-97 26 rogate diluted out. 31 Report R	eference # : 94525	

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

.

Account # : 1062 Site : Aero	4 vox Sampling Pro	gram	
Date Received : 26-N Date Sampled : 24-N Date Extracted: 01-D	0V-97 0V-97 EC-97	Matrix : Wipe Method : SW846 8082 Units : ug	
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-7 1-AW-7	L40282-8 2-AW-2	L40282-9 2-FW-3
lor-1016	<5	<25	<2.5
oclor-1221	<5	<25	<2.5
oclor-1232	<5	<25	<2.5
lor-1242	<5	<25	<2.5
	47.	150	51.
oclor-1260	<5	<25	39. <2.5
lysis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/02/97
lution Factor	2	10	1
pontrol Limits (46-137)	114 %	0 % D	113 %
1			
_			
			•

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : & Date : 12-9-97 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out. Plinted : 12/03/97 19:31 Report Reference # : 94525

Client Account # Site	: Blasland, Bouck & Le : 10624 : Aerovox Sampling Pro	e gram		
Date Received Date Sampled Date Extracted	: 26-NOV-97 : 24-NOV-97 1: 01-DEC-97	Matrix : Wipe Method : SW846 8082 Units : ug		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-10 2-FW-4	L40282-11 2-FW-5	L40282-12 2-FW-6	
rc lor-1016	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
troclor-1221	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
roclor-1232	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
rd 10r-1242	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
_re_1cr-1248	8.3	10.	7.6	
Arocior-1254	9.5	4.8	7.0	
F0-10F-1260	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	
ana ysis Date	12/02/97	12/03/97	12/02/97	
Dilution Factor	1	1	1	
u m ogate Recovery	111 %	112 %	111 %	
- Introl Limits (46-1	.37)			

Approved by : Commen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : 5~ Date : 12 #-97 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out. Pented : 12/03/97 19:31 Report Reference # : 94525

Client Account #	: Blasland, Bouck & Lee : 10624	Blasland, Bouck & Lee 10624				
Site	Aerovox Sampling Program					
Date Received	: 26-NOV-97	Matrix : Wipe				
Date Sampled	: 24-NOV-97	Method : SW846 8082				
Date Extracted	: 01-DEC-97	Units : ug				
Galson ID:	L40282-13	L40282-14	L40282-15			
Client ID:	2-FW-7	2-AW-1	3-FW-1			
ro.or-1016	<2.5	<25	<2.5			
roclor-1221	<2.5	<25	<2.5			
roclor-1232	<2.5	<25	<2.5			
ro 101-1242	<2.5	<25	<2.5			
rolor-1248	3.3	170	14.			
roclor-1254	<2.5	150	8.6			
roclor-1260	<2.5	<25	<2.5			
na ysis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/03/97			
ilution Factor	1	10	1			
angegate Recovery	111 %	0 % D	112 %			

Chtrol Limits (46-137)

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : ** Date : 12-9-97 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out.

Printed : 12/03/97 19:31

Report Reference # : 94525

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client Account # Site	: Blasland, Bouck & Lee : 10624 : Aerovox Sampling Prog) Jram		
Date Receive Date Sample	ed : 26-NOV-97 1 : 24-NOV-97	Matrix : Wipe Method : SW846 8082		
Date Extract	ced: 01-DEC-97	Units : ug		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-16 3-FW-2	L40282-17 3-FW-3	L40282-18 3-FW-4	
rd 10r-1016	<25	<5	<2.5	
Aroclor-1221	<25	<5	<2.5	
Aroclor-1232	<25	<5	<2.5	
relor-1242	<25	<5	<2.5	
r. 10r-1248	100	60.	19.	
Aroclor-1254	76.	38.	11.	
reclor-1260	<25	<5	<2.5	
	10/00/05	10/02/05		
harysis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/03/97	
Jilution Factor	10	2	1	
antrol Limits (46	0 % D 5-137)	118 #	108 %	

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : $\sqrt{67}$ Date : 12-7-97 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out.

Pointed : 12/03/97 19:31

5

Report Reference # : 94525

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client Account # Site	: Blasland, Bouck & Lee : 10624 : Aerovox Sampling Progr	an		
Date Received	26-NOV-97	Matrix : Wipe		
Date Sampled Date Extracted	: 01-DEC-97	nethod : Sw646 6082 Units : ug		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-25 2-EW-1	L40282-26 2-EW-2	L40282-27 2-EW-3	
relor-1016	<2.5	<25	<2.5	
Aroclor-1221	<2.5	<25	<2.5	
Arocior-1232	<2+5 -2 E	<25	<2.5 <2 E	
r = 10r - 1242	<2.5 19	<23 97	~2.5 2 E	
$\frac{1}{2}$ = 101 - 1240	4 0	130	2.9 27 5	
$\frac{1254}{1254}$	<2 5	<25	<2.5	
	~2+5	120	12.3	
	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/02/97	
Dilution Pactor	1	10	1	
Durogate Recovery Dontrol Limits (46-13	101 % 37)	0 % D	103 %	
⁹ : 1				
8				
Approved by : Oon Date : 03- OC by : 260	men Kappil -DEC-97			-
$= 2 \qquad \qquad$	-3-97			
NYS DOH # : 116	26			
Footnotes: D: Sur	rogate diluted out.			
	31	foren d . Diese		
	SI Report Re	sterence 🖗 : 34979		

•

.

÷ ;

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client : Account # : Site : Date Received :	Blasland, Bouck & Lee 10624 Aerovox Sampling Progr 26-NOV-97	am Matrix : Wipe		
Date Sampled : Date Extracted:	24-NOV-97 01-dec-97	Method : SW846 8082 Units : ug		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L402B2-28 3-EW-1	L40282-29 3-EW-2	L402B2-30 3-EW-3	
rc lor-1016	<2.5	<2.5	<12	
roclor-1221	<2.5	<2.5	<12	
Aroclor-1232	<2.5	<2.5	<12	
ra 10r-1242	<2.5	<2.5	<12	
r. 10r-1248	8.1	6.4	180	
Aroclor-1254	7.1	5.5	85.	
reclor-1260	<2.5	<2.5	<12	
na ysis Date	12/02/97	12/02/97	12/02/97	
)ilution Factor	1	1	5	
uppogate Recovery	120 %	110 %	108 %	
entrol Limits (46-13	37)			

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : %0 Date : .2-4-?? NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out. Pented : 12/03/97 19:31 Report Reference # : 94525

5

Ľ,

[⁻ ; . ا

1 Ľ.

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

	Client :	Blasland, Bouck & Lee	•	
	Account # : Site :	Aerovox Sampling Prog	ram	
- -	Date Received :	26-NOV-97	Matrix : Wipe	
-	Date Sampled :	24-NOV-97	Method : SW846 8082	
	Date Extracted:	01-DEC-97	Units : ug	
	Galson ID:	L40282-31	L40282-32	L40282-33
- Dar 💭	Client ID:	3-EW-4	3-EW-5	1-EW-1
	lor-1016	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
Aroc	lor-1221	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
Aroc	lor-1232	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
	lor-1242	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
	lor-1248	46.	<2.5	38.
Aroc	lor-1254	22.	- <2.5	28.
1.100	lor-1260	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5
	vsis Date	12/02/97	12/02/97	12/02/97
Dilu	tion Factor	1	1	1
	ogate Recovery Introl Limits (46-13	97 % 7)	92 %	102 %

Approved by : Oommen Kappil 1. Date : 03-DEC-97 : 20 QC by : 12-4-97 Date NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out. , 1

inted : 12/03/97 19:31

Report Reference # : 94525

 $\left| \right|$

.

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

G.A 🜉	Client	: Blasla	nd, Bouck & Lee			
53	Account #	: 10624				
	Site	: Aerovo	x Sampling Prog	ram		
	Date Received	: 26-NOV	-97	Matrix : Wipe		
L. M. 1	Date Sampled	: 24-NOV	-97	Method : SW846 8082	•	
	Date Extracted	: 01-DEC	-97	Units : ug		
	Galson ID: Client ID:		L40282-34 1-EW-2	L40282-35 1-EW-3	L40282-36 1-EW-4	
	lor-1016		<50	<2.5	<12	;,
Arocl	lor-1221		<50	<2.5	<12	
Arocl	lor-1232		<50	<2.5	<12	
	lor-1242		<50	<2.5	<12	
c]	Lor-1248		210	7.0	89.	
Arocl	lor-1254		120	6.7	110	
Tocl	lor-1260		<50	<2.5	<12	
1	vsis Date		12/02/97	12/02/97	12/02/97	
Dilut	ion Factor		20	1	5	
	ogate Recovery htrol Limits (46-1	.37)	0 % D	99 %	100 %	

Approved by	: Oonmen Kappii
Date	: 03-DFC-31
QC by	: 8
Date	12-4-11
NVC DOU 4	. 11626
	: 11020
Footnotes:	
	D: Surrogate diluted out.

•

inted : 12/03/97 19:31

Report Reference # : 94525

-

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

Account # : Site : Date Received : Date Sampled : Date Extracted:	10624 Aerovox Sampling Progr 26-NOV-97 24-NOV-97 01-DEC-97	am Matrix : Wipe Method : SW846 8082 Units : ug	
Galson ID: Client ID:	Q-5147 PBLK 5147	Q-5148 PBLK 514B	
relor-1016	<2.5	<2.5 <2.5	
roclor-1232	<2.5	<2.5	
r lor-1242	<2.5	<2.5	
r 10r-1248	<2.5	<2.5	
roclor-1254	<2.5	<2.5	
roclor-1260	<2.5	<2.5	
n vais Date	12/02/97	12/02/97	
ilution Factor	1	1	
ntrol Limits (46-13	112 % 7)	113 %	
Approved by : Oom Date : 03-1 QC by : 57 Date : 12 NYS DOH # : 116	men Kappil DEC-97 -4-97 26		

Pinted : 12/03/97 19:31

Report Reference # : 94525

ł

\$

Client	: Blasland, Bouck & Lee
Account #	: 10624
Site	: Aerovox Sampling Program

	Date	Received :	26-NOV-97	Matrix	:	Bulk
-	Date	Sampled :	24-NOV-97	Method	:	SW846-8082
	Date	Extracted:	28-NOV-97	Units	:	mg/Kg

Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-19 2-FC-1	L40282-20 2-FC-2	L40282-21 2 -F C-3
:	<350	<690	<3.5
2: lor-1221	<350	<690	<3.5
Aroclor-1232	<350	<690	<3.5
Aroclor-1242	1900	<690	<3.5
	<350	2300	64.
2 1or-1254	<350	3300	42.
Aroclor-1260	<350	<690	<3.5
vsis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/02/97
visition Factor	10000	20000	100
Surrogate Recovery	0 % D	0 % D	0 % D

```
Approved by : Oommen Kappil

Date : 03-DEC-97

QC by : %%7

Date : \2 -4-97

NYS DOH # : 11626

Footnotes:

Results are reported on a dry weight basis.

See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values.

D: Surrogate diluted out.

Printed : 12/03/97 19:32

Report Reference # : 94538
```


Client Account # Site	: Blasland, Bouck & Lee : 10624 : Aerovox Sampling Prop	e gram		
Date Received Date Sampled Date Extracte	: 26-NOV-97 : 24-NOV-97 d: 28-NOV-97	Matrix : Bulk Method : SW846-8082 Units : mg/Kg		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L402B2-22 2-FC-4	L40282-23 2-FC-5	L402B2-24 2-FC-6	
r clor-1016	<7.1	<4000	<1.7	,
Aroclor-1221	<7.1	<4000	<1.7	
Aroclor-1232	<7.1	<4000	<1.7	
	<7.1	56000	<1.7	
	91.	<4000	18.	
Aroclor-1254	54.	<4000	10.	
Proclor-1260	<7.1	<4000	<1.7	
indiysis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/03/97	
Dilution Factor	200	100000	50	
Surrogate Recovery	0 % D 150)	0 % D	0 % D	

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97. QC by : X7 Date : 12-4-97 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: Results are reported on a dry weight basis. See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values. D: Surrogate diluted out.

Printed : 12/03/97 19:32

Report Reference # : 94538

Aure tu

, , ,

.

ļ

۰.

Client : E Account # : 1 Site : A	lasland, Bouck & Lee 10624 Merovox Sampling Prog	ram		
Date Received : 2	6-NOV-97	Matrix : Bulk		
Date Sampled : 2	4-NOV-97	Method : SW846-8082		
Date Extracted: 2	8-NOV-97	Units : mg/Kg		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-37 3-FC-1	L40282-38 3-WC-1	L40282-39 3-FC-2	
r lor-1016	<7.2	<0.17	<36	
Aroclor-1221	<7.2	<0.17	<36	
Aroclor-1232	<7.2	<0.17	<36	
r lor-1242	<7.2	<0.17	<36	
r.lor-1248	58.	1.5	140	
Aroclor-1254	28.	0.98	64.	
Aroclor-1260	<7.2	<0.17	<36	
	12/03/97	12/02/97	12/02/97	
Dilution Factor	200	10	1000	
jurrogate Recovery pontrol Limits (60-150)	0 % D	0 % D	0 % D	

	Approved by Date	: Oommen Kappil : 03-DEC-97		
	QC by	: 80		
- 📸 -	Date	: 12-4-7/		
	NYS DOH 🖸	: 11626		
1 —	Footnotes:			
Ê	R S D	esults are reported ee enclosed sheet f : Surrogate diluted	on a dry weight h cr percent moistur out.	oasis. ce values.
. 🖤	-	·		
Print	ed : 12/03/9	7 19:32	Report Reference	# : 94538

h..........

Contraction of the second

5

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

.

Client : Account # : Site :	Blasland, Bcuck & Lee 10624 Aerovox Sampling Progr	cam Matrix , Bulk		
Date Sampled :	24-NOV-97	Method · SW846-8082		
Date Extracted:	28-NOV-97	Units : mg/Kg		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-40 3-DD-1	L40282-41 3-DD-2	L40282-42 2-CC-1	
relor-1016	<34	<50	<5.1	
Aroclor-1221	<34	<50	<5.1	
Aroclor-1232	<34	<50	<5.1	
r lor-1242	<34	<50	<5.1	
r 10r-1248	500	230	20.	
Aroclor-1254	670	240	8.3	
Aroclor-1260	<34	<50	<5.1	
in ysis Date	12/02/97	12/02/97	12/02/97	
Dilution Factor	1000	1000	100	
Cuarogate Recovery Control Limits (60-150	0 % D)	0 % D	0 % D	

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : Sr Date : 12-4.97 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: Results are reported on a dry weight basis. See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values. D: Surrogate diluted out. Printed : 12/03/97 19:32 Report Reference # : 94538

·

the formula

.....

Client : B Account # : 1 Site : A	lasland, Bouck & Lee 0624 erovox Sampling Proc) Jram		
Date Received : 20	6-NOV-97	Matrix : Bulk		
Date Sampled : 2	4-nov-97	Method : SW846-8082		
Date Extracted: 2	B-NOV-97	Units : mg/Kg		
Galson ID:	L40282-43	L40282-44	L40282-45	
Client 15:	2-50-7	2-50-8	2-00-1	
r lor-1016	<1.7	<17	<34	
Aroclor-1221	<1.7	<17	<34	
Aroclor-1232	<1.7	<17	<34	
(r lor-1242	<1.7	<17	<34	
r 10r-1248	3.0	120	530	
Aroclor-1254	<1.7	36.	490	
Proclor-1260	9.7	<17	<34	
.n. ysis Date	12/02/97	12/02/97	12/02/97	
Dilution Factor	100	1000	1000	
juarogate Recovery	0 % D	0 % D	0 % D	
pontrol Limits (60-150)				

Approved by Date QC by Date NYS DOH #	y : Oommen Kappil : 03-DEC-97 : 8℃ : 12-4-97 : 11626
FOULINEB	Results are reported on a dry weight basis. See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values. D: Surrogate diluted out.

Printed : 12/03/97 19:32

Report Reference 🖸 : 94538

1.2

<u>)</u>

. .

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

.

Client : B Account # : 10 Site : Ac	lasland, Bouck & Lee 0624 erovox Sampling Prog	ram		
Date Received : 20	6-NOV-97	Matrix : Bulk		
🐀 🚤 Date Sampled : 24	4-NOV-97	Method : SW846-8082		
Date Extracted: 24	B-NOV-97	Units : mg/Kg		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-46 2-WC-1	L40282-47 2-WC-2	L40282-48 2-WC-3	
r lor-1016	<1.7	<1.7	<1.7	
Aroclor-1221	<1.7	<1.7	<1.7	
Aroclor-1232	<1.7	<1.7	<1.7	
r lor-1242	<1.7	<1.7	<1.7	
.r.lor-1248	3.6	19.	4.3	
Aroclor-1254	<1.7	7.4	3.7	
roclor-1260	<1.7	<1.7	<1.7	
And ysis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/03/97	
Dilution Factor	100	100	100	
urogate Recovery	0 % D	0 % D	0 % D	

Entrol Limits (60-150)

	Approved by Date	: Oommen Ka : 03-DEC-97	ppil		
	QC by	: 8-0			
Te 🕋 👘	Date	: 12-4-97			
	NYS DOH 🖸	: 11626			
5 -	Fcctnotes:				
·	1	Results are	reported on a di	ry weight bas	sis.
: F	·	See enclosed	l sheet for perce	ent moisture	values.
_ P	1	D: Surrogate	diluted out.		
e Print	ted : 12/03/9	97 19:32	Report	Reference #	: 94538

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

Client : Account # : Site :	Blasland, Bouck & Lee 10624 Aerovox Sampling Progra	m	
Date Received : Date Sampled : Date Extracted:	26-NOV-97 24-NOV-97 28-NOV-97	Matrix : Bulk Method : SW846-8082 Units : mg/Kg	
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-49 2-WC-4	L40282-50 2-DD-2	L40282-51 2-DD-3
relor-1016	<0.17	<51	<8.4
Aroclor-1221	<0.17	<51	<8.4
Aroclor-1232	<0.17	<51	<8.4
r 1or-1242	<0.17	<51	<8.4
)r lor-1248	1.4	160	150
Aroclor-1254	1.1	140	110
Aroclor-1260	<0.17	<51	<8.4
a weig Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/03/97
Dilution Factor	10	1000	100
Simogate Recovery	0 % D	0 % D	0 % D
ntrcl Limits (60-150))		

3

	Approved by Date	: Oommen Kappil : 03-DEC-97		
	QC by	· 85 - 4-97		
S 💼 👘	Date			
	NYS DOH F	: 11020		
- 1 💭 👘	Footnotes:			
	F	esults are reported	on a dry weight bas	is.
~	-	ee enclosed sheet f(or percent moisture	values.
	-			
	Ľ	: surrogate diluted	out.	
~ •				
Print	ted : 12/03/9	7 19:32	Report Reference #	: 94538
			-	

Client Account # Site	: Blasland, Bouck & Le : 10624 : Aerovox Sampling Pro	e gram Matrix : Bulk		
Date Sampled	24-NOV-97	Method : SW846-8082		
Date Extract	ed: 28-NOV-97	Units : mg/Kg		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40282-52 2-DD-4	Q-5145 PBLK 5145	Q-5146 PBLK 5146	
rolor-1016	<33	<0.02	<0.02	
arcelor-1221	<33	<0.02	<0.02	
Aroclor-1232	<33	<0.02	<0.02	
rd lor-1242	<33	<0.02	<0.02	
relor-1248	150	<0.02	<0.02	
Aroclor-1254	<33	<0.02	<0.02	
roclor-1260	340	<0.02	<0.02	
ne ysis Date	12/03/97	• 12/02/97	12/03/97	
Dilution Factor	1000	1	1	
Turrogate Recovery	0%D -150)	98 %	89 %	

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : 350 Date : 12 -4-77 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: Results are reported on a dry weight basis. See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values. D: Surrogate diluted out. Printed : 12/03/97 19:32 Report Reference # : 94538

1

Distribution: Original Ăccompanies Shipment; Copy to Coordinator Field Files

FED EXIT 609 468 0491 J. ASAP TURNAROUND TIME | Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) F702 Slot REMARKS F TIME DATE L40282-20 26 Ľ۳ L40282-19 L40282-28 40282-26 40282-27 L40282-25 L40282-15 L40282-23 L40282--24 L40282-18 L40282-17 -40282-22 L40282-16 L40282-21 TIME 50 Relinquished by: (Signature) 3-EW-1 Ralinquisher win work CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD 0-010-0 2-FC-1 2-FC-6 0-EU-1 3-611-4 - 3-611-1 -3-FW-2 0.00.0 ¢ 0-EC-14 Î 010010 011-0 e e ຍູ ee. estimatics to struck XILee 90, GG 11-26-97 99 10 ee F 99. 19 eeee) Lee 90 90 0) () **>**-ee DATE Received for Laboratory by: (Signature) PROJ. NO. PROJECT NAME 9711.02.21 PROJECT NAME 9711.02.21 Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) STATION LOCATION 3-FW-3 3-FW-4 Z-€w-3 Z-EW-Z 3-FW-2 3-60-Cent Z-FC-3 2-76-5 2-FC-6 2-FC-2 2-EW-1 2-12-2 3-FW-- 21 - 2 TIME TIME TIME ILZSAT (600 DATE DATE 9 × A RE $\boldsymbol{\times}$ × \prec \prec × × $\boldsymbol{\times}$ BARD \sim × × \prec ≥ 6723 Towpath Road, P.O. Box 66 Syracuse, New York 13214-0066 TEL: (315) 446-9120 COMP. (Signature) 1445 Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) <u>SS</u> 1735 08 SNS 1745 12497 18:50 12497 18:40 ISIO ß 1249718.20 12497 13:30 <u>8</u> 1610 TIME SAMPLERS: (Signature) STA. NO. | DATE A AR AND BOLOX & LEF | Relinquisi

Distribution: Original Accompanies Shipment; Copy to Coordinator Field Files

1.1

. . . .

Distribution: Orfginal Accompanies Shipment; Copy to Coordinator Fleid Files

56% 75 BENETTS GAISON LABORATONY FED EXAL bogales ough TIME Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) SAP TURNADUNDAN R 4 20 H REMARKS ter TIME معامو DATE DATE L40282-52 L40282-51 L40282-48 L40282-50 L40282-49 40282-43 1.40282-45 L40282-47 L40282-46 L40282-44 TIME 2501 Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD 2-00-3 2-00-4 ee 2-00-2 2-40-4 X I2-FC-R 2-DD-1 0-EF-7 Durlin Contract No. and the state of t e e L CC 99-90e G ¶√ ee **>**.ee Xee DATE (5-92-11 × Received for Laboratory by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) JAMES J. LAGGERTE Received by: (Signature) STATION LOCATION PROJ. NO. PROJECT NAME PM. 00, 81 PROJOX SAMPLING PROGRAM 2-00-2 2-wc-4 2-mc-3 2-DD-3 2-120-4 2-FC-8 2-2 MC-2 2-FC-7 2-N C-1 2-DD-1 DATE TIME TIME TIME DATE DATE X × \times SAMPLERS: (Sometime) × X **BARD** X \ge \checkmark \Join ゞ 6723 Towpath Road, P.O. Box 66 Syracuse, New York 13214-0066 TEL: (315) 446-9120 COMP. Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) Refinquished by: (Signature) I SKG 1339 1330 Sa 1)05 590 1320 ShII 0121 1255 TIME (relat DATE IASLAND, BOUCK & LEF, NC ingineere & scientists STA. NO.

14 A A A

.

「追」 あ

1997 S. 1995

. М. 4. р. М.

Distribution: Original Accompanies Shipment; Copy to Coordinator Field Files

5477.77

Client	: Blasland, Bouck & Lee
Account #	: 10624
Site	: Aerovox Sampling Program

	Date	Received	:	26-NOV-97	Matrix	:	Wipe	
	Date	Sampled	:	25-NOV-97	Method	:	SW846	8082
í	Date	Extracted	:	01-DEC-97	Units	:	ug	
Į –								

Galson ID: Client ID:	L40298-1 2-PSW-1	L40298-2 2-PSW-1A	L40298-3 1-EW-5	
	<12	<2.5	<2.5	
Llor-1221	<12	<2.5	<2.5	
Aroclor-1232	<12	<2.5	<2.5	
Aroclor-1242	<12	<2,5	<2.5	
clor-1248	73.	15.	<2.5	
🖾 clor-1254	90.	19.	<2.5	
Aroclor-1260	<12	<2.5	<2.5	
- A 💼				
Ar Aysis Date	12/02/97	12/02/97	12/02/97	
picution Factor	5	1	1	
Surrogate Recovery	114 %	101 %	101 %	
Control Limits (46-137)				

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : App Date : (1.7,4) NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out.

inted : 12/03/97 15:07

Report Reference # : 94526

• • :

1

PESTICIDE ANALYTICAL REPORT

×- •

Client Account # Site	: Blasland, Bouck & Le : 10624 : Aerovox Sampling Pro	e gram	
Date Received	: 26-NOV-97	Matrix : Wipe	
Date Sampled	: 25-NOV-97	Method : SW846 8082	
Date Extracted	: 01-DEC-97	Units : ug	
Galson ID:	L40298-4	L40298-5	Q-5148
Client ID:	1-PWS-1	1-PWS-1A	PBLK 5148
r :lor-1016	<25		<2 5
Arotior-1221	<25	<25	<2.5
Aroclor-1232	<25	<25	<2.5
r 10r-1242	<25	<25	<2.5
r clor-1248	300	130	<2.5
Aroclor-1254	220	96.	<2.5
».rcclor-1260	<25	<25	<2.5
	12/02/97	12/02/97	12/02/97
Dilution Factor	10	10	1
Durogate Recovery	0 % D 37)	0 % D	113 %

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : Date : NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: D: Surrogate diluted out.

inted : 12/03/97 15:07

.

P

Report Reference # : 94526

Ň

	Client Account # Site	::	Blasland, Bouck & Lee 10624 Aerovox Sampling Program		
D -	Data Bassing		26-NOV-07	Matrix	816

Date	Received		26-NOV-97	Matrix		BUIK
Date	Sampled	:	25-NOV-97	Method	:	SW846-8082
Date	Extracted	:	28-NOV-97	Units	:	mg/Kg

Galson ID: Client ID:	L40298-6 1-WG-1	L40298-7 1-DD-1	L402 98-8 1-DD-2	
r clor-1016	<1.7	<85	<3.3	
r flor-1221	<1.7	<85	<3.3	
Aroclor-1232	<1.7	<85	<3.3	
Aroclor-1242	<1.7	<85	<3.3	
r 10r-1248	2.9	620	55.	
r -10r-1254	4.5	260	66.	
Åroclor-1260	<1.7	<85	<3.3	
n Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/ 03/97	
inition Factor	100	1000	100	
Surrogate Recovery	0 % D	0 % D	0 % D	

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : -50 Date : 12/3:57 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: Results are reported on a dry weight basis. See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values. D: Surrogate diluted out. Printed : 12/03/97 16:25 Report Reference # : 94534

E

Client : Account # : Site :	Blasland, Bouck & Lee 10624 Aerovox Sampling Program				
Date Received : Date Sampled : Date Extracted:	26-NOV-97 25-NOV-97 28-NOV-97	Matrix : Bulk Method : SW846-8082 Units : mg/Kg			
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40298-9 1-DD-3	L40298-10 1-DD-4	L40298-11 1-DD-5		
r lor-1016	<17	<170	<84	—	
Aroclor-1221	<17	<170	<84		
Aroclor-1232	<17	<170	<84		
r 10r-1242	<17	<170	<84		
"Fictor=1248	180	1300	600		
$\frac{1254}{2}$	240	/10 <170	350		
101-1280	~17	<170	<04		
n vsis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97	12/03/97		
Dilution Factor	1000	10000	5000		
urogate Recovery ontrol Limits (60-150	0 % D))	0 % D	O % D		
. B ^v					

Approved by : Oommen Kappil Date : 03-DEC-97 QC by : 30 Date : 2.3-7 NYS DOH # : 11626 Footnotes: Results are reported on a dry weight basis. See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values. D: Surrogate diluted out.

Printed : 12/03/97 16:25

Report Reference # : 94534

Client Account # Site	: Blasland, Bouck & Lee : 10624 : Aerovox Sampling Proc	Blasland, Bouck & Lee 10624 Aerovox Sampling Program		
Date Received Date Sampled Date Extracted	: 26-NOV-97 : 25-NOV-97 1: 28-NOV-97	Matrix : Bulk Method : SW846-8082 Units : mg/Kg		
Galson ID: Client ID:	L40298-12 1-DD-6	Q-5146 PBLK 5146		
r 10r-1016	<34	<0.02		
proclor-1221	<34	<0.02		
reclor-1232	<34	<0.02		
r. lor-1242	<34	<0.02		
r \$ lor-1248	180	<0.02		
roclor-1254	88.	<0.02	•	
-realor-1260	<34	<0.02		
.natysis Date	12/03/97	12/03/97		
vilution Factor	2000	1		
u ogate Recovery ntrol Limits (60-1	0 % D	89 %		

Approved by : Oommen KappilDate: 03-DEC-97QC by: %%Date: (1/3 %)NYS DOH #: 11626Footnotes:Results are reported on a dry weight basis.
See enclosed sheet for percent moisture values.
D: Surrogate diluted out.

Printed : 12/03/97 16:25

Report Reference # : 94534