Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: 14480 MEPA Analyst: Purvi Patel Phone: 617-626-1029

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Wetland Crossing off	f Purchad	e Street					
Street: Purchade Street							
Municipality: Middleboro		Watershed: Ta	unton River				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coord	dinates:	Latitude: 41-55	Latitude: 41-55-00				
		Longitude: 70-57-16					
Estimated commencement date: 6-2	Estimated completion date: 8-2010						
Approximate cost: \$100,000	Status of project design: 100			%comple			
Proponent:James F. Webb							
Street: 655 Doss Thweat Road							
Municipality: Smithville		State:TN	Zip Code:	37166			
Name of Contact Person From Who Seth Dufort / Jason Youngquist	om Copies	of this ENF May	y Be Obtaine	ed:			
Firm/Agency: Outback Engineering,	Inc.	Street: 165 Eas	t Grove Stre	et			
Municipality:Middleboro		State: MA	Zip Code:	02346			
Phone:508.946.9231	Fax:508	.947.8873	E-mail:sdut	fort@outb	ack-		
L			eng.com				
Does this project meet or exceed a man Has this project been filed with MEPA b	oefore?	R threshold (see 30 [.] /es /es (EOEA No.		⊠No ⊠No	RECEIVE		
Has any project on this site been filed v	vith MEPA	• —		⊠No	AUG 26 2		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 12.04 CMR 11.04 CMR 11.04 CMR 11.04 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting: Yes Yes Yes Yes		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	MEP		
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fu				wealth, incl	luding		
Are you requesting coordinated review ☐ Yes(Specify Mass DEP to X229555 ☐ No	for BRP W				cy?		
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro	ovals:						

Desired 10/00 Comment and distributed Production will (17/00/ 1000

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):							
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Wastewater ☐ Tra☐ Air ☐ So☐ Regulations ☐ His		Transportat Solid & Haz	ardous Waste Archaeological			
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &			
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals			
	LAND			☐ Order of Conditions ☐ Superseding Order of			
Total site acreage	52.25			Conditions			
New acres of land altered		6,480 s.f. filled		☐ Chapter 91 License ☐ 401 Water Quality			
Acres of impervious area				Certification			
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		9,725		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit ☐ Water Management			
Square feet of new other wetland alteration				Act Permit New Source Approval			
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways				DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit Other Permits			
STF	RUCTURES			(including Legislative			
Gross square footage				Approvals) - Specify:			
Number of housing units							
Maximum height (in feet)							
TRAN	SPORTATION						
Vehicle trips per day							
Parking spaces							
WATER	/Wastewater	3					
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use							
GPD water withdrawal							
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment							
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)							
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natura resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?							

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated i	Habitat d	of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of
Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		
☑Yes (SpecifyNHESP Tracking # 07-23357)	□No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does		
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of H Yes (Specify		nd Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? ☑No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction resources?	on of any	listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is	the pro	ect in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?		
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project descripti	ion shou	ald include (a) a description of the project
site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site a	lternati	ves and the impacts associated with each
alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mi		<u>-</u>
, , , , ,	_	` `
attach one additional j	page, if	necessary.)

The project involves the construction of a common driveway in order to access four (4) upland buildable lots. In order for the proponent to access the buildable upland, he is proposing to fill approximately 6,480s.f. of Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW). A 9725s.f. replication area has been proposed to replicate the altered wetland area as shown on the submitted plan. The proposed wetland replication area will exhibit the indigenous species found within the existing bordering vegetated wetland as well as nursery stock to enhance the existing biodiversity.

The impacted wetland area would be classified as a wet woods with the dominant vegetation being, Red Maple (<u>Acer rubrum</u>), Tupelo (<u>Nyssa sylvatica</u>), Highbush Blueberry (<u>Vaccinium corymbosum</u>), Royal Fern (<u>Osmunda regalis</u>), Cinnamon Fern (<u>Osmunda cinnamomea</u>) and Sweet Pepperbush (Clethra alnifolia).

The area where the replication will be situated consists primarily of an upland community with the dominant vegetation being, Northern Red Oak (Quercus rubra), White Pine (<u>Pinus strobus</u>), Hayscented Fern (<u>Dennstaedtia punctilobula</u>), Bracken Fern (<u>Pteridium aquilinum</u>), Teaberry (<u>Gaultheria procumbens</u>), Lowbush Blueberry (<u>Vaccinium angustifolium</u>) and Prince's Pine (Lycopodium obscurum).

This report presents alternatives researched with regard to the planning of the common driveway crossing.

Access

Overview

1. On July 9, 2007, Outback Engineering, Inc., filed an Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation (ANORAD) with the Middleborough Conservation Commission. After an extensive review of the wetland resource area(s) on this site with the Commission's consultant, Nover-Armstrong Associates, the Commission issued an Order of Resource Area Delineation, September 20, 2007, approving the submitted wetland delineation. It was determined that, excluding the upland area within wetland flags A1 – A50, and a portion of upland that falls

south of wetland flag #s 28 through 42, the entire property is comprised of Bordering Vegetated Wetlands (BVW).

2. The property located directly to the south of the locus property does contain upland; however, this property is privately owned and is currently an actively producing cranberry bog.

Crossing Placement/Design

- 1. The crossing has been designed to impact the least amount of Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW) feasibly possible. A crossing from any other point on the property would impact several hundred additional square feet of BVW.
- 2. Where the Town of Middleborough does not have a local by-law prohibiting common driveways and each lot has legal frontage on Purchade Street, we are able to minimize the amount of fill by proposing a common driveway for the four (4) proposed lots. If a subdivision roadway was required, the amount of fill would be approximately 18,000s.f. The proposed common driveway fill is calculated to be 6,480s.f. a difference of 11,520s.f.
- 3. The common driveway across the BVW will have seven (7) 12" SDR-35 pipes installed in approximately 50' increments along the length of the driveway in order to maintain the hydraulic connection within the Bordering Vegetated Wetland. Where the site is relatively flat, grading changes will be minimal.
- 4. A possible alternative would be spanning the BVW. This office contacted York Bridge Concepts, located in Tampa, Florida, who stated that a 15' x 360' timber bridge would conservatively be priced at approximately \$500,000. This expense would effectively enable the project economically unfeasible.