Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental Affa	irs
EOEA No.: / 3867	
MEPA Analyst Aisling Endi	nata
Phone: 617-626-1024	7.
Phone: 617-626-1024	_

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Afra Terrace						
Street: Shrewsbury Street						
Municipality: West Boylston		Watershed: Wachusett				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42° 20' 40" N				
UTM 19: 271370mE , 4691752mN		Longitude: 071° 46' 32" W				
Estimated commencement date: 08/01/06		Estimated completion date: 08/01/11				
Approximate cost: \$16,328,000		Status of projec	t design:	100 %complete		
Proponent: Afra Terrace, LLC						
Street: 85 Chestnut Street						
Municipality: Shrewsbury		State: MA	Zip Code: 0	01545		
Name of Contact Person From Who Patrick Healy, P.E.	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtaine	d:		
Firm/Agency: Thompson-Liston Ass	ociates	Street: 51 Main	Street P.O.	Box 570		
Municipality: Boylston	Joiatoo	State: MA	Zip Code:			
Phone: (508) 869-6151	Fax: (50	8) 869-6842	E-mail: info			
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes						
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 Cm a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)		∐Yes ∐Yes ∐Yes		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No		
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): <u>none</u>						
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? []Yes(Specify:) []No						
List Local or Federal Permits and Appr Programmatic General Permit Number			Engineers: Ma	<u>issachusetts</u>		

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	Rare Specie Wastewater Air Regulations	r 📋 :	Transportati Solid & Haza	aterways, & Tidelands on ardous Waste Archaeological
• • •	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts	MD			Approvals
	AND			☑ Order of Conditions☑ Superseding Order of
Total site acreage	35.45	9.22	Bar .	Conditions
New acres of land altered	0	2.85	2.85	☐ Chapter 91 License ☐ 401 Water Quality
Acres of impervious area	0	325	2.00	Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		325		MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other		0		☐ Water Management
wetland alteration				Act Permit
Acres of new non-water		9.22		☐ New Source Approval☐ DEP or MWRA
dependent use of tidelands or waterways				Sewer Connection/
	CTURES	WHEN IN		Extension Permit Other Permits
Gross square footage	0	65,312	65,312	(including Legislative
Number of housing units	0	52	52	Approvals) – Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	0	35	35	DCR Variance
TRANSP	ORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	0	305	305	
Parking spaces	0	92 (garage)	92 (garage)	
-		92 (driveway) 9 + 1H.C.	92 (driveway)	
		(visitor)	9 + 1H.C. (visitor)	
WATER/W	/ASTEWATE	R	(VIOLOT)	
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	17,160	17,160	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0	
GPD wastewater generation/	0	17,160	17,160	1
treatment				
	0	0.34	0.34	

Yes (Specify)	⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habit	tat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of
Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?	
Yes (Specify	_)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the p	
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Histori	
☐Yes (Specify)	No (please see response to Historical and
Archaeological Resources Question IA, page 14)	
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of resources?	any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
	\ \N.
☐Yes (Specify	_) ∐No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the	project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	
☐Yes (Specify	_) ⊠No
	- /

<u>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</u>: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

(a) Description of site: The Afra Terrace site is located on a 35.45-acre parcel on the easterly side of Shrewsbury Street, owned by Nigha Realty Trust. It is situated southerly of Maple Street and northerly of Hartwell street. The proposed project is a 52-unit condominium development consisting of 14 triplexes and 5 duplexes and is situated on two new roadways,.

The project site consisted of undeveloped land, until May 1, 2003. On that date, acting under an Order of Conditions from the West Boylston Conservation Commission, dated February 5, 2003 construction began on the renovation of the existing wetlands crossing. New culverts were installed and the existing retaining walls were constructed. Fill was added for the roadway and piping for both water and sewer was brought in for the first couple hundred feet of the new Afra Drive. Construction ceased on June 15, 2003.

An unnamed intermittent stream runs South/Southeast and passes through Bordering Vegetated Wetlands on the site, before connecting to Muddy Brook. Replication of 898 square feet of wetlands occurred following the aforementioned construction to replace the existing 325 square feet of wetlands that was filled during the wetlands crossing renovation. The impact on the wetlands for this project was considered to be minimal.

(b) Description of alternatives: Several alternatives were put forward before finally choosing the proposed design. A no-build option was considered, but was determined to be highly cost-ineffective. Utilizing the existing cart path and constructing the retaining walls was determined to be the least intrusive way to enter the property from Shrewsbury Street. The applicant attempted to get alternate access to the site via the northwesterly corner of the site, but was not able to obtain rights-of-entry. For a detailed picture of the existing conditions of the wetlands crossing in 2003, please see Exhibit A.

In the design of the existing crossing, special permission was obtained from the town to place sidewalk on only one side of the entrance to the site from Afra Drive. This decreased the width of the crossing and limited the impact on the adjacent Bordering Vegetated Wetlands. The crossing width itself measures 41' and is the smallest allowable width that the crossing could have been constructed. The construction of the existing crossing required 325 square feet of wetlands to be filled. However, upon completion of the renovation, 898 square feet of wetlands were replicated, more than 2.5 times the area of wetlands than originally existed. For a detailed picture of the renovated wetlands crossing and

wetlands replication, please see Exhibits B and C.

Alternatively, a bridge spanning over the existing cart path was considered. However, this would have roughly doubled the cost of modifying the original crossing and added approximately \$50,000-\$100,000 to the total project cost. In addition, the impact on the Bordering Vegetated Wetlands from the spanning of a bridge would far exceed the minimal impact of renovating the existing crossing due to the disturbance of the existing wetlands grading. For both its cost-effectiveness and its limited impact on the wetlands, it was decided to choose the renovation over new bridge construction. It should be noted that while the number of units was increased from the original design, from 6 to 52, the addition of units was determined to have no adverse impact on the wetlands.

(c) Potential mitigation measures: Numerous Best Management Practices will be implemented during construction to minimize environmental impact. Permanent stormwater management measures include catch basins, stone-lined sediment forebays, Vortechnics units, detention basins and drywells. Other temporary erosion and sedimentation control measures will also be implemented, including a site entrance mat, riprap outlet protection, sediment control fencing, flocculant blocks, sediment sumps, diversion swales and slope stabilization treatments.

