Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

## **Environmental** ENF Notification Form

For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs	
EOEA No.: 12833 R	
MEPA Analyst FANGEA DAM	=
Phone: 617-626	رو
1008	

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance. with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:			
Oakmount in Milford II			
Street:East Main Street, Route 16			
Municipality: Milford	Watershed: Charles		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42 09' 48"		
	Longitude: 71 29' 15"		
Estimated commencement date:9/02	Estimated completion date:9/8		
Approximate cost: 8,000,000	Status of project design: 90 %complete		
Proponent:Einar Paul & Joyce Robsham	Accomplete		
Street:P.O. Box 5151			
Municipality:Cochituate	State:MA Zip Code: 01778		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies			
Peter M. Lavoie	or the Litt May 20 Obtained.		
Firm/Agency: Guerriere & Halnon, Inc.	Street:333 West Street		
Municipality:Milford	State: MA Zip Code:01757		
Phone: 508-473-6630 Fax: 508	-473-8243 E-mail:plavoie@guerriereand		
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?  Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA  Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reque a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Identify any financial assistance or land transfer fithe agency name and the amount of funding or land	Yes (EOEA No. 12833 ) □ No before? Yes (EOEA No. □ □ No		
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Pla	) ⊠No anning Board, Notice of Intent, Board of Helath		
Building Permits Massachusetts Highway Cur	to Cut, Water Quality		

Which ENF or Elfe review thre  Land Water Energy ACEC	Rare Spectors Rare Spectors Rare Spectors Regulation	cies 🔲	Wetlands, V Transporta Solid & Ha	Waterways, & Tidelands tion zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	120+/-			Superceding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		49+/-		☐ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0	8.7	8.7	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		14,563+/		MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		N/a		<ul><li></li></ul>
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		N/a		Approval  DEP or MWRA  Sewer Connection/
STR	UCTURES			Extension Permit
Gross square footage	0	130,680	130,680	X Other Permits (including Legislative
Number of housing units	0	69	69	Approvals) - Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	0	18'	18'	PLANDING BOAR.
TRANS	PORTATION			BUILDING PERMIT
Vehicle trips per day	0	750	750	BOARD OF HEALTH
Parking spaces	N/a	N/a	N/a	-
WATER/\	NASTEWATER	ζ		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use		30,360	30,360	
GPD water withdrawal		30,360	30,360	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment		N/a	N/a	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)		1.35	1.35	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pronatural resources to any purpose not  Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any consecution, or watershed preservation Yes (Specify	in accordance w servation restrict	vith Article 97? ) [	No	

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?  Yes (Specify_Spotted Turrle-and Blue Spotted Salamander)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?  Yes (Specify
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?  Yes (Specify)   No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)
The project is a 69 lot residential subdivision to be constructed on 1 120+/- acre parcel of land situated in the northern section of the town of Milford near the border with Holliston. The project will require the construction of 7,111 linear feet of roadway with associated utilities and grading. The area is zoned for rural residential (RC) with 45,000 s.f. lots with 120 feet of frontage and 140 feet of lot width.

Alternatives included a smaller project or a no build alternative.

- 1. A smaller project was rejected due to financial viability. This site has a potential for more than the proposed number of lots as shown, if the entire parcel were usable. However due to the presence of large wetland area, topography and the presence of rare speices the project size was reduced to provide a resonable lot configuration and lot size. Many of the lots will have a greater lot size then required as well as lot frontage. Also a smaller project could not significantly decrease the amount of road construction needed for safe vehicular traffic. The milford town engineer and planner agreed that this project would be final development in this area due to abutting zoning and wetlands. The Milford subdivision regulation states that you have to give access to any lot abutting the project can not create a land lock piece of land. Thus construction cost will not be significatly reduced, making a smaller project unfeaseable.
- 2. The "No Build Alternative" will not provide the housing stock needed in the town of Milford area. Also it is not financially feasible to leavethis property in it's present undeveloped state.