Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

	For Office Use Only
Executive	Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: /33/0

MEPA Analyst: Bill GAGE

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: The Bridle Path					
Street: 80, 88R, 111R, 137R Barnet	t Road				
Municipality: Sutton	Watershed: Blackstone River				
Universal Transverse Mercator Coor	dinates:	Latitude: 42.105780			
E-W N Zone		Longitude: -71.713494			
Estimated commencement date: Before fall 2005	· 	Estimated completion date: By fall 2006 (Roadway);			
		By fall 2009 (Including houses)			
Approximate cost: \$11,400,000	Status of project design: Infrastructure and wetland replication design is complete. House and landscaping designs will be produced as the project progresses.				
Proponent: Steven & George Funari					
Street: 317 North Main Street					
Municipality: Natick		State: MA	Zip Code: 01760		
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	y Be Obtained:		
Jessica Veysey					
Firm/Agency: Carr Research Labora	tory, Inc.	Street: 251 West Central St; Suite D-36			
Municipality: Natick		State: MA	Zip Code: 01760		
Phone: 508-651-7027	Fax: 508	8-647-4737	E-mail: jessica@carr-		
			research-lab.com		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
Has this project been filed with MEPA b		Ves (EOEA No) MNo		
☐Yes (EOEA No) ☐No Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?					
└─Yes (EOEA No) ⊠No					
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Pyes No a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): None.					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ⊠Yes(Specify: <u>Sutton Con. Com.; MA DEP, US ACOE, EPA</u>) □No					

List Local or Federal Permits Applications in Progress: Sutton Conservation Sutton Planning Book US ACOE: Progration US EPA: NPDES	on Commission Dard: Definition Dard: Gene	on: Order of C ve Subdivision eral Permit Co	Approval; verage; and	s, Permit Coverage.	
Future Applications: Sutton Sewer/Water Department: Sewer Tie-In/Sewer and Water Line Installation Permits; Sutton Building Department: Building Permits; and Sutton Building Inspector: Occupancy Permits.					
Which ENF or EIR review thresh	nold(s) does t	he project me	et or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):	
□ Water □ Wastewater □ Transportation □ Energy □ Air □ Solid & Haza					
ammary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &	
Environmental Impacts				Approvals	
Total site acreage	LAND 101.25 acres			 ☑ Order of Conditions* ☐ Superseding Order of Conditions ☐ Chapter 91 License ☑ 401 Water Quality 	
New acres of land altered		+14.13 acres		Certification* ☐ MHD or MDC Access	
Acres of impervious area	0.0 acres	+8.034 acres	8.034 acres	Permit	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		+19,140 sq. ft.		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/	
Square feet of new other vetland alteration		0 sq. ft.		Extension Permit Other Permits	
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0 acres		(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:	
	UCTURES			*Applications in progress.	
Gross square footage	0	+ 66,647 sq. ft.	66,647 sq. ft.	progress.	
Number of housing units	0	+37	37		
Maximum height (in feet)	NA	35' or as permitted	35' or as permitted		
TRANS	PORTATIO	1 .	pominio		
Vehicle trips per day	0	+370 trips	370 trips		
Parking spaces	0	+74 spaces	74 spaces		
WATER/	WASTEWA	TER			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use*	0	+12,669 gpd*	12,669 gpd*	Assumes 85.6 per capita gpd and 4 people per house.	

GPD water withdrawal*	0	+12,669 gpd*	12,669 gpd*	Assumes 85.6 per capita gpd and 4 people per house.
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment*	0	+11,840 gpd*	11,840 gpd*	Assumes 80 per capita gpd and 4 people per house.
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	Length of water in subdivision = +1.354 miles Length of water in Barnett Rd. = +0.15 miles Length of gravity sewer in subdivision = +1.28 miles Length of gravity sewer in Barnett Rd. = +0.449 miles Length of sewer force main in Barnett Rd. = +0.297 miles	Length of water in subdivision = 1.354 miles Length of water in Barnett Road = 0.15 miles Miles Length of gravity sewer in subdivision = 1.28 miles Length of gravity sewer in Barnett Road = 0.449 miles Length of sewer force main in Barnett Rd. = 0.297 miles	

^{*} All houses will be serviced by public utilities. No on-site water withdrawal or waste discharge will occur.

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? [Yes (Specify:_)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify:) No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The project site is a 101-acre, undeveloped parcel. It is primarily second growth woodland, and is comprised of a mixture of wetlands and uplands. Oaks, birches, and white pine dominate the uplands. Several cart paths traverse the site. Four intermittent streams are located on-site. Three of these are hillside streams. The last is Steamburg Brook, which is located in a lowland in the northeast corner of the site. The wetlands are largely concentrated around these streams and their sources.

The wetlands are predominantly red maple – birch swamp. There is a section of shrub swamp, which includes a vernal pool, in the interior of the site. Finally, there are two excavated ditches on-site. One of these ditches serves as a connection between two sections of wetland. The second is not connected to wetland at either its upgradient or downgradient edge.

The project is a 37-lot residential subdivision. The work will include installation of a roadway and driveways, utilities, stormwater management devices, wetland replication, single-family house construction, and landscaping. For safety reasons, two access routes are required for the subdivision. Due to the configuration of the site and the public roads, wetland filling and bank crossing are required for access. The project qualifies as a limited project under 310 CMR 10.53 (3)(e), however. Several alternatives were considered while attempting to minimize impacts to the wetlands. These alternatives included a variety of road layouts, entranceway positioning, and structural crossing materials. For safety and legal reasons, the proposed road configuration was determined to be the most practicable layout. Use of retaining walls at each of the wetland crossings was determined to be the best structural crossing material. Wetland replication, at a ratio of 2 (replicate): 1 (fill) is proposed to mitigate the negative effects of the wetland alteration. Replication areas are located onsite and are connected to the same wetland complexes as the altered areas.

Stormwater best management practices were designed and applied to the entire project. All runoff from the roads and drives will be treated before release. A series of deep-sump catch basins, grass swales, pipes, dry wells, a stormceptor, and detention and retention basins are used to maintain water quality and groundwater recharge, and to control peak runoff rates and volumes.

Please see the attached Notice of Intent for details concerning the relation of the work to the relevant performance standards, alternative analyses, replication and mitigation plans, and stormwater management.

LAND SECTION - all proponents must fill out this section

I. Thresholds / Permits

A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) X Yes No; if yes, specify each threshold:

-Creation of five or more acres of impervious area.

II. Impacts and Permits

A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows:

	Existing (acres)	Change (acres)	Total (acres)
Footprint of buildings	0.00	+1.53	1.53
Roadways, parking, and other paved area	as <u>0.00</u>	+6.88	6.88
Other altered areas (describe)	*	+7.57	7.57*
Undeveloped areas	101.25	-15.98	85.27

^{*} Cart paths, whose area is not known, are the only existing altered areas.

Other alterations include lawn and landscaping.

- B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years?
 Yes X No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?
- C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use?

 Yes X No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:
- D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? ____ Yes _X_ No; if yes, describe:
- E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction? ___ Yes X No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction? ___ Yes ___ No; if yes, describe: