Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

	For Office Use Only
Executiv	ve Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 14448 MEPA Analyst Purvi Patel

Phone: 617-626-1029

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Former Medfield State Hospital					
Street: 45 Hospital Road					
Municipality: Medfield	Watershed: Cha	Watershed: Charles River			
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates		Latitude:42 13 00			
	l l	Longitude:71 20 00			
Estimated commencement date: August 09	Estimated completion date: October 09				
Approximate cost: \$225,000	Status of project	Status of project design: 60 %complete			
Proponent: Comm. of Mass. Division of Ca	oital Asset Manage	ement (DCAM)			
Street: One Ashburton Place; 15 th Floor					
Municipality: Boston	State: MA	Zip Code: 02108			
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copic James Okun	es of this ENF May	Be Obtained:			
Firm/Agency: O'Reilly, Talbot & Okun	Street: 293 Brid	Street: 293 Bridge St., Suite 500			
Municipality: Springfield	State: MA	Zip Code: 01103			
Phone: 413-788-6222 Fax: 4	13-788-8830	E-mail: okun@oto-env.com			
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes					
Has this project been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) No Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) No					
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Tyes No a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer the agency name and the amount of funding or Management (DCAM) is providing 100% of the funds.	land area (in acres):	The Division of Capital Asset			
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?					

Which ENF or EIR review thres	hold(s) does t	he project me	eet or excee	d (see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	⊠ Rare Spec □ Wastewate □ Air □ Regulation	er 🔲	Transportat Solid & Haz	zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
Total site acreage New acres of land altered Acres of impervious area	LAND	0.5		 ✓ Order of Conditions ☐ Superseding Order of Conditions ☐ Chapter 91 License ☐ 401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		2,500		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration	-	43,700		☐ Water Management_ Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		
STRI	- JCTURES			Extension Permit
Gross square footage	0	0	0	Other Permits
Number of housing units	0	0	0	(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A	N/A	N/A	, pprovaio, oposity.
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	0	0	0	
Parking spaces	0	0	0	
WATER/V	VASTEWATE	R		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	0	0	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	0	0	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?

□Yes (Specify) ⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
<u> </u>
□Yes (Specify)
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or
archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

a) Description of Project and Site

The project involves the restoration of a previously disturbed resource area at the former Medfield State Hospital (MSH). Fill material contaminated with oil and/or hazardous material will be removed from the resource area and consolidated at an upland location as a Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP) response action. Natural grades will be established, organic soil placed and plantings and seeding will be used to restore the area.

The MSH opened in 1896 and closed in 2003. Surrounding land uses are residential, industrial, and agricultural. The 225 acre MSH property is bordered to the north by the Charles River, to the west by the Medfield State Forest, to the east by residences, and to the south by undeveloped land. The disposal area (the "Site") covers an area of approximately 2.2 acres adjacent to the Charles River.

In the past, the Site was used as a disposal location for demolition and construction debris. Portions of the Site were located within the wetlands, and a layer of fill material ranging in thickness from approximately 7 to 12.5 feet (with an estimated volume of 50,000 yd³) is now present. Oil and/or hazardous material (OHM) detected in the fill above reportable concentrations include metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Groundwater may contain certain metals above reportable concentrations.

b) Description of Alternatives and Impacts

The project purpose and need is to improve a previously disturbed resource areas. Three alternatives were identified and evaluated: 1) the No Action Alternative; 2) Complete Removal of the Fill; and 3) Selective Removal of the Fill. Option 1, the no action alternative, would not correct fill related impacts to river and wetland sediments and therefore is not a viable option for achieving project objectives. Option 2, the complete removal of fill, would conceptually achieve project objectives. However, the resources are not available at the current time to finance this alternative. Further, financing to support this alternative is not expected to become available within the foreseeable future; therefore in actuality this option will not achieve project objectives. Option 3, the selective removal of Site fill with consolidation in an upland portion of the Site is the preferred alternative because it achieves project objectives and can be funded at the current time. Impacts associated with alternative 3 will be temporary, and after the restoration work is

complete the habitat quality will be significantly improved.

c) Mitigation Measures

As part of the on-site mitigation, bulk solid wastes, construction debris and other fill will be removed from the BVW area and consolidated with improved grading, organic soil placement and plantings. Work within the wetland will include the removal of large pieces of concrete debris within the vicinity of wetland flags A–18 and A–19. Additionally, inland bank and riverfront area will be targeted for the restoration effort. The model plant community will be that of a riparian buffer, with the restored bank species composition being similar to that observed along undisturbed portions of the riparian buffer. A total of two planting zones are proposed including (1) a bank community and (2) an upland old field community.

<u>LAND SECTION</u> – all proponents must fill out this section

I.	Thresholds / Permits A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) Yes X No; if yes, specify each threshold:
II.	Impacts and Permits A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows: Existing Change Total
	B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years? Yes No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?
	C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use? Yes No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:
	D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes No; if yes, describe:
	E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction?YesNo; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction?YesNo; if yes, describe:
	F. Does the project require approval of a new urban redevelopment project or a fundamental change in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A? Yes No; if yes, describe:
	G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major modification of an existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? Yes No; if yes, describe:
	H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, if applicable, measures that the project will take to comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy:
	I. Is the project site currently being regulated under M.G.L.c.21E or the Massachusetts Contingency Plan? Yes No; if yes, what is the Release Tracking Number (RTN)?
	J. If the project is site is within the Chicopee or Nashua watershed, is it within the Quabbin, Ware, or
	Wachusett subwatershed? Yes No; if yes, is the project site subject to regulation under the Watershed Protection Act? Yes No