Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only **Executive Office of Environmental Affairs** EOEA No.: 14247 MEPA Analyst Aick Zavolas Phone: 617-626- 1030

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Maintenance & Improvement Dredging Pemberton Pier and Boat Ramp					
Street: 0 Main Street					
Municipality: Hull		Watershed: Boston Harbor			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42° 18" 11"			
Easting:1121205.239, Northing 15371393.296		Longitude: 70° 55" 12"			
Estimated commencement date: Fall 2008		Estimated completion date: Winter 2008			
Approximate cost: Approx. \$125,000		Status of project design: 90 %complete			
Proponent: Town of Hull					
Street: 253 Atlantic Avenue					
Municipality: Hull		State: MA	Zip Code: 02045		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:					
Ceasar C. Duarte Jr.					
Firm/Agency: CLE Engineering, Inc.		Street: 15 Creek Road			
Municipality: Marion		State: MA	Zip Code: 02738		
Phone: (508) 748-0937	Fax: (508) 748-1363		E-mail: ccduarte@cleengineering.com		

Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory El	IR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?	
	Yes	⊠No
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?		
	Yes (EOEA No)	⊠No
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	A before?	
	Yes (EOEA No)	⊠No
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requ	lesting:	
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	∐́Yes	No
a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09)	Yes	No
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	Yes	No
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	TYes	No

Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres):

Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? Yes(Specify <u>DEP Chapter 91 Waterways License Notification, Hull Conservation</u> <u>Commission Order of Conditions, US Department of ACOE PGP Catg.II Notification, DEP 401 Water</u> <u>Quality Certification</u>) No List Lecal or Endered Parmite and Approvalue

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:

DEP - Chapter 91 Waterways License, Notification – (Pending)

Hull Conservation Commission/DEP, Notice of Intent - Order of Conditions

US Department of ACOE PGP Cat.II, Notification - (Pending)

Revised 10/99 Comment period is limited. For information call 617-626-1020

DEP - 401 Water Quality Certification - (Pending)

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

Land [Water [Energy [ACEC [Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations 	r 🗌	Transportat Solid & Haz Historical &	/aterways, & Tidelands ion ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Resources Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts	Existing	Change	1 Olai	Approvals
	AND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage				Conditions
New acres of land altered				Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area				☑ 401 Water Quality Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration				MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration				Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways				 New Source Approval DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits (<i>including Legislative</i>
Gross square footage				Approvals) – Specify:
Number of housing units				
Maximum height (in feet)		-		ACOE PGP Category 2
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day				
Parking spaces				
WATER/V	VASTEWAT	ER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use				
GPD water withdrawal				1
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment]
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)				

<u>CONSERVATION LAND</u>: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?

Yes (Specify_____

_____) ⊠No

Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?

Yes (Specify_

____) 🛛 🖂 No

- 2 -

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?

Yes (Specify_____)

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESO	DURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place	e or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?	
Yes (Specify) ⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolit archaeological resources?	ion or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or
Yes (Specify) ⊠No
	_ CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	_
Yes (Specify) 🖾 No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

a.) The project site is located in Hull Bay just east of the Hull Gut. The proposed maintenance dredging encompasses approximately a 150 foot long, by 70 foot wide area to a depth of -7' feet and a 1' foot allowable over-dredge relative to MLLW with 3:1 side slopes. The dredge material, which is sandy in nature, will be removed using mechanical means from shore, loaded onto Department of Public Works trucks and transported to the beach nourishment site. A secondary option would be to use a clamshell bucket mounted on a barge and place the material on a scow which would be transported to the nearby beach nourishment site. The material will be placed above the High Tide Line (HTL) at any location along Nantasket Beach between Phipps and X Streets with the option of storing the dredge material at the local land fill until such time it is possible to use as beach nourishment.

b.) The alternatives for this project were that of dredge deposal method. Existing permit allow for the open sea disposal of material at the Mass bay Disposal Site (MBDS), however the material could be better utilized at beach nourishment as proposed by this project. Disposal at the MBDS incurs greater cost in both testing and transportation. Disposal as beach nourishment is more cost effective and utilizes an available source fro resource protection.

c.) Considering the make-up of the dredge material being clean sand and the expected short time it will take to perform the actual dredging, any effects to the water coulomb will be short and have minimal impact to water quality. Also with the relative small size of this project, dredging is expected to relatively short hence minimizing any effects on marine organisms.