Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Project Name: Crystal Spring Estates

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 14422 MEPA Analyst: Aisling Eglington Phone: 617-626-10 26

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Street: Old Dunstable Road					
Municipality: Groton	Watershed: Merrimack				
Universal Transverse Mercator Cool	Latitude: 42° 37' 47"N				
19 294246E 4722535N (NAD27)	Longitude: 71° 3	30' 33"W			
Estimated commencement date: Su	Estimated comp	oletion date:	10/2011		
Approximate cost: \$2,500,000		Status of projec	t design: 90 🤋	%complete_	
Proponent: High Oaks Realty Trust	;			·	
Street: P.O. Box 381	•				
Municipality: Groton		State: MA	Zip Code: 0	01450	
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained	d:	
Gregory Roy					
Firm/Agency: Ducharme & Dillis		Street: 1092 Ma	in Street, P.C	D. Box 428	
Municipality: Bolton		State: MA	Zip Code: 0		
Phone: (978) 779-6091	Fax: (978	8) 779-0260	E-mail: groy	@ddcdg.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes Has this project been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No.)					
Has any project on this site been filed w		before? /es (EOEA No)	_ ⊠No	
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): None					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No					
☐ res (Specify	_	. 🖂.		cai agency :	

Management Permit, Sewage Disposal Works Construction Permits (BOH), BRP WP 58A (Approval of Aggregate Nutrient Loading)

Which ENF or EIR review thres	inola(s) does tr	ne project me	et or exceed	d (see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☑ ACEC	⊠ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulation	er 🔲	Transportat	zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	24.5			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		6.8		Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0	1.5	1.5	401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0.0		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0.0		☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0.0		☐ New Source Approva☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STR	UCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	0	22,903	22,903	(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Number of housing units	0	9	9	Approvais) — Opcony.
Maximum height (in feet)	0	0	30	Local Subdivision
TRANS	PORTATION			approval
Vehicle trips per day	0	<100	<100	MESA – Conservation
Parking spaces	0	>18	>18	and Management Permit
	NASTEWATER	?		BRP WP58A – Approval of
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	4,950	4,950	Aggregate Nutrient
GPD water withdrawal	0	4,950	4,950	Loading
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	4,950	4,950	Sewage Disposal Works Construction Permits
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	(Groton BOH)
	eject involve the in accordance we	conversion of prith Article 97?	public parklar	·

		p

Yes (Specify) ⊠NO
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Yes (SpecifyBlanding's Turtle	
	IRCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?	_
Yes (Specify) ⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition archaeological resources?	n or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)
	CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	. —
⊠Yes (<u>Petapawag - River</u>	Based)

. 57..

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

A. Project Description

The property is located on the northeasterly corner of Old Dunstable Road and Bridge Street. The property has frontage on both of these streets. Cow Pond Brook with associated riverfront area, Bordering Vegetated Wetland and Bordering Land Subject to Flooding is located to the east of the property. With the exception of a few areas of Riverfront Area and Buffer Zone, all resource areas and Wetland Buffer Zones are located off the property.

The property is wooded with a mix of deciduous and coniferous overstory. Several logging roads traverse the site. It is evident that several portions of the site have been logged in the recent past.

The proposed project is a nine lot residential subdivision. The project is being proposed pursuant to the flexible development provisions of the Groton Zoning Bylaws. This allows flexibility as to lot size and frontage requirements.

The proposed lots will be served via a new cul-de-sac road, which is approximately 550-feet in length. Each lot will be designed to accommodate a 5-bedroom dwelling with associated on-site wells and septic systems.

A significant amount of Open Space is being set aside pursuant to the requirements of the Flexible Development provisions. A conservation Restriction is proposed for the Open Space, which will also include a 50-foot buffer strip surrounding the development. As such, the proposed project is being constructed on a smaller portion of the property, which will reduce the overall disturbance of the land. In total, 64.5% of the property will be protected as Open Space.

B. On-Site and Off-Site Alternatives

A number of on-site alternatives have been explored. A conventional layout has been designed in accordance with the Groton Zoning Bylaw and the Subdivision Rules and Regulations. This layout shows 9 residential lots, sited along a proposed roadway and Bridge St. A previously submitted preliminary plan shows 11 lots. The conventional lots related to this alternative are all in excess of the minimum 80,000 S.F. Each lot contains a five-bedroom home, driveway, on-site sewage disposal system and a private well.

Through careful evaluation of the existing site features, resource areas, and the character of the surrounding areas, the flexible development plan was formed. The northern portion of the site is primarily wooded with tree farm type plantings, which are crowded and overgrown. The topography on the northern portion of the site is much gentler than the southern side and will require less alteration. The southern portion of the site is closest to Cow Pond Brook and accessible only via Bridge St. Plans for the development of the property utilizing access off of Bridge St. have been scrutinized by abutters. Consideration to the abutters and the desire to limit alterations to the steep areas of the property are the prime consideration for developing the northern portion of the site. The northern portion of the site is abutted by conservation land on the north and east. The required "no disturbance zones" along the property lines will further enhance the isolation of the development and preserve areas for wildlife movement through the property.

C. Mitigation Measures

Erosion and sediment controls have been incorporated into the design of the layout with the objective of retaining sediment on site, filtering and reducing stormwater discharge and protecting wetland and undisturbed areas. A combination of stabilization and structural practices is included to meet the objective, as described in detail below. The following is a list of temporary and permanent structural erosion control devices, which will be applied:

Silt fence and hay bales will be installed upgradient from areas of bordering vegetated wetlands that may receive runoff from areas disturbed by construction. The silt fence and hay bales will be installed according to the manufacturer's instructions and will be maintained throughout the construction process.

The sediment control barrier will be installed to prevent the migration of soil materials under, around, or over the fencing. Sediment will be removed from behind the barrier when the accumulated amount has reached approximately half of the original installed height of the barrier. The overall condition of the silt fence and hay bales will be inspected and maintained by the general contractor to maintain the level of sufficiency.

Waste disposal receptacles and trailers will be used for the disposal of construction debris, which will be removed from site according to state, local and federal guidelines. The receptacles will be located on-site, covered, and placed well away from the wetland resource areas and catch basins. All machinery will be operated and maintained so as to limit impacts to wetland areas and associated buffer zones.

As needed, a crushed stone area at the entrance for construction vehicles shall be established.

Also, as necessary, water trucks shall be used to wet dry, dusty soil if it becomes an issue. Street sweeping shall be performed as needed to reduce the build-up of dust.

Hazardous construction materials will be stored in water tight containers or buildings in accordance with state and local regulations and the manufacturers' recommendations, with appropriately sized spill kits on hand. Any heavy equipment permitted to work adjacent to wetland areas, will be equipped with emergency spill kits. Refueling of mobile heavy equipment will be conducted outside of wetland areas.

Upon completion of construction, all disturbed areas shall be loamed and seeded (or landscaped). The erosion and sedimentation controls shall be removed only upon final stabilization of the site and/or after the Groton Conservation Commission has issued a Certificate of Compliance for the project.

LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section

II.

 I. Thresholds / Permits A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03) 					301 CMP 11 03(1)
		Yes X No; if yes, specify each threshold:	resnoids relat	ed to latid (see t	501 CIVILY 11.03(1)
II.	s follows:				
		Footprint of buildings Roadways, parking, and other paved areas Other altered areas Undeveloped areas	ixisting 0 Ac 0 Ac 0 Ac 24.5 Ac	Change 0.5 Ac 0.94 Ac 5.36 Ac 6.8 Ac	Total 0.5 Ac 0.94 Ac 5.36 Ac 17.7 Ac
	B.	Has any part of the project site been in active a X No; if yes, how many acres of land in agric converted to nonagricultural use?	_		•
	C.	Is any part of the project site currently or proposif yes, please describe current and proposed for the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest	orestry activiti	es and indicate v	
	D.	Does any part of the project involve conversion accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments purpose not in accordance with Article 97?	to the Const	itution of the Cor	nmonwealth to any
	E.	Is any part of the project site currently subject to restriction, agricultural preservation restriction of a No; if yes, does the project involve the release. No; if yes, describe:	or watershed	preservation res	triction?Yes
	F.	Does the project require approval of a new urb change in an existing urban redevelopment proyes, describe:	•		