Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13495

MEPA Analyst: Rick Bourne Phone: 617-626-1130

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:			
Spring Hill Cluster Residential Subdivis	sion		
Street: Congress Street	701		
Municipality: Amesbury	Watershed: <i>Merrimack</i>		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42° - 52' - 0"		
E343600 N4747700	Longitude: 70 ° - 54 ′ - 40 ″		
Estimated commencement date: 7/05	Estimated completion date: 10/07		
Approximate cost: 4.5 million	Status of project design: 95 %complex		
Proponent: Wojcicki & McPartland Develop	oment Corp.		
Street: 110 MainStreet			
Municipality: <i>Amesbury</i>	State: MA. Zip Code: 01913		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	of this ENF May Be Obtained		
Fred V. Ford, P.E.			
Firm/Agency: W. C. Cammett Engineering, I	nc. Street: 297 Elm Street		
Municipality: <i>Amesbury,</i>	State: <i>Ma</i> . Zip Code: <i>01913</i>		
Phone: 978-388-2157 Fax: 978-388-0	428 E-mail:fford@Cammett.com		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIF			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?	′es ⊠No		
	′es (EOEA No) ⊠No		
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	before?		
	′es (EOEA No) ⊠No		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reque	esting:		
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	<u>□</u> Yes ⊠No		
a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09)	∐Yes ⊠No		
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	∐Yes ⊠No		
·	∐Yes ⊠No		
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer fro	om an agency of the Commonwealth, including		
the agency name and the amount of funding or lar	nd area (in acres):		
Are you requesting as and and a district of			
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other than the coordinated review with any other years.	her federal, state, regional, or local agency?		
[rea(Opecity)		
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:			
Local: Subdivision Control Law - Ol	btained July 6, 2004		
	btained July 6, 2004		
Federal: ACOE - PGP			

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Wastewater ☐ T ☐ Air ☐ S ☐ Regulations ☐ H		Transporta Solid & Ha	Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands Transportation Solid & Hazardous Waste Historical & Archaeological Resources	
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &	
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals	
	LAND			Order of Conditions	
Total site acreage	23.7			Superseding Order of Conditions	
New acres of land altered		4.9		Conditions Chapter 91 License	
Acres of impervious area	0.48	1.16	1.64	⊠ 401 Water Quality	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		О		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit	
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		14,211		☐ Water Management Act Permit	
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/	
STRI	JCTURES			Extension Permit Other Permits	
Gross square footage	1,100	25,200	26,300	(including Legislative	
Number of housing units	1	10	11	Approvals) - Specify:	
Maximum height (in feet)	20	15	35		
TRANS	PORTATION				
Vehicle trips per day	10	88	98		
Parking spaces	2	20	22		
WATER/W	/ASTEWATER	2			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	264	5,016	5,280	1.	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0		
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	220	4,180	4,400		
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0.17	0.17		
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the projection and purpose not in accordance.	vation restriction	le 97?) [₹INo		

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? Yes (Specify) No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project site consists of approximately 23.7 acres on the southern side of Congress Street, Amesbury, Ma.

Currently there is one single-family dwelling located in the southwest corner of the site and a paved driveway bisecting the property from east to west providing access to an abutting lot. The remainder of the site is wooded with small areas of forested wetlands consisting of both bordering and isolated vegetated wetlands.

The project, as proposed, is intended to develop nine (9) additional single-family house lots for a total of ten (10) on the project site in a cluster development layout within approximately 5.2 acres of the site. The remaining 18.5 acres would remain as permanent open space. The lots would be developed off a cul-desac road approximately 900 feet in length. The construction of the road and associated stormwater management facilities will impact 14,211 sf of isolated vegetated wetlands, which will be replicated on the east end of the site as bordering vegetated wetlands in the amount of 21,425 sf.

The alternative plan to the cluster development would be a conventional subdivision of nine (9) new lots developed off approximately the same length of cul-de-sac road. This alternative would similarly impact the same amount of wetlands, but would also impact significantly more upland area due to the larger lot sizes. No permanent open space would be provided with this alternative. Mitigation of the impacted wetlands would be replicated on-site in the same manner as the cluster development project.