Commonwealth of Massachusetts

ENF

Project Name:

Executive Office of Environmental
Affairs
MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental A	ffairs
EOEA No.: 12960	
	Ame.
Phone: 617-626- 1028	

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Proposed Revisions to the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority's Local				
Discharge Limits for the Clinton Sewerage Service Area				
Street: N/A				
Municipality: Clinton and Lancaster		Watershed: N/A		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: See Attached Map		
		Longitude:		
Estimated commencement date: N/A		Estimated completion date: N/A		
Approximate cost: N/A		Status of project design: N/A %complete		
Proponent: Massachusetts Water Res	sources	Authority		
Street: 2 Griffin Way				
Municipality: Chelsea		State: MA	Zip Code: 02150	
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Denise K. Breiteneicher				
Firm/Agency:Massachusetts Water Resource	s Authority	Street: 2 Griffin	Way	
Municipality: Chelsea		State: MA	Zip Code: 02150	
Phone: 617-305-5618	Fax: 617	7-371-1604	E-mail:Denise.Breiteneicher@mwra.state.ma.us	
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?				
Does this project meet or exceed a mand				
			CMR 11.03)?	
Has this project been filed with MEPA bet	່∐ງ fore? ∐ງ	′es ′es (EOEA No	™No	
	\ fore? \ h ME <u>P</u> A	′es ′es (EOEA No before?	<u>₩</u> No) <u></u> ™No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been Has any project on this site been filed with	☐\ fore? ☐\ h MEPA ☐\	'es 'es (EOEA No before? 'es (EOEA No	<u>₩</u> No) <u></u> ™No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA bet	fore? h MEPA (7) reque	'es 'es (EOEA No before? 'es (EOEA No	<u>₩</u> No) <u></u> ™No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA beth Has any project on this site been filed with Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05) a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR	fore? h MEPA (7) reque R 11.09) 11.11)	res (EOEA No before? res (EOEA No esting: □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	XXNo XXNo XNo XNo XNo XNo XNo XN	

Local Discharge Limits for Clint Wastewater Treatment Plant's	on Sewerage	Service Area	on October	9, 2002. The Clinton
Which ENF or EIR review thres				d (see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Spec ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☑ Regulation	cies 🔲	Wetlands, V Transportat Solid & Haz	Vaterways, & Tidelands tion cardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	N/A			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		N/A		Conditions Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	N/A	N/A	N/A	☐ 401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		N/A		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration	w.	N/A		☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		N/A		☐ New Source Approval☐ DEP or MWRASewer Connection/
STR	UCTURES			Extension Permit Other Permits
Gross square footage	N/A	N/A	N/A	(including Legislative
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A	Approvals) - Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A	N/A	N/A	
TRANS	PORTATION		I	
Vehicle trips per day	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Parking spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A	
WATER/\	WASTEWATE	R	1	
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD water withdrawal	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A	N/A	N/A	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pronatural resources to any purpose not Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any conse	in accordance v	vith Article 97?) [χNo	

Yes (Specify)	√No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Hof Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does to listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory Commonwealth?	of His	oject site include any structure, site or district storic and Archaeological Assets of the
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction archaeological resources?	n of an	y listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)	ĎNo
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is t Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify		oject in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
PROJECT DESCRIPTION. The project description	. ahai	

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

The Massachusetts Water Resources Authority is required by the EPA through its Pretreatment Regulations, 40 CFR Part 403, and MWRA's NPDES Permit for Clinton Wastewater Treatment Plant, to conduct a periodic analysis of the discharge limits applicable to permitted discharges to MWRA's Clinton Treatment Plant. Under this requirement, MWRA must review the adequacy of existing discharge limits and establish additional standards, if necessary, to prevent pollutants from entering the treatment system that would interfere with the operation of the treatment plant, affect worker health and safety, or pass untreated through the treatment plant to contaminate the receiving water. MWRA may also review the necessity of each standard and its impact on industries and propose to increase a standard if the increased limit will not result in any adverse impact to the treatment plant, worker health and safety, pellet quality, or the receiving water. Industrial flow accounts for 3% of the total flow to the Clinton Wastewater Treatment Plant.

The local limits analysis indicates that most of the priority pollutant local limits are not candidates for revisions; these limits adequately protect the treatment system and are not unreasonably burdensome on the regulated community. However, the fume toxicity analysis showed that many of the organics should be lowered to protect worker health and safety. Changes for fifty—two parameters have been proposed. Two limits are proposed to become less stringent, one limit is being replaced by another set of limits, and 49 limits are proposed to be made more stringent. For the purposes of this ENF, only the two limits proposed to be made less stringent and the one limit proposed to be replaced by other requirements will be discussed in depth pursuant to 301 CMR 11.03 (12). This section of the EOEA regulations states that an ENF is required if "Promulgations of New or revised regulations, of which a primary purpose if protecting against Damage to the Environment, that significantly reduce: 1. standards for environmental protection;...". Based on the analysis conducted by the MWRA on the discharge limits, we do not believe that these changes significantly reduce standards for environmental protection or have any adverse impact on the

environment at all. More information on the entire local limits analysis may be reviewed in the <u>Clinton Wastewater Treatment Plant Local Limits Development Final Report</u> available at the MEPA office or at MWRA's offices at 2 Griffin Way in Chelsea.

This review involves an evaluation of the performance of the treatment plant, the NPDES Permit requirements, the characteristics of the receiving water, and worker health and safety. Conducting a periodic review of the local limits enables MWRA to establish standards and control measures that reflect changes in the particular characteristics of MWRA's system, including:

- Type and amount of industrial discharge
- Existing quality of receiving water
- Pollutant loadings from sources other than permitted industries
- Treatment plant performance
- Changing regulations

The key changes proposed as a result of the local limits analysis for the Clinton Sewerage Service Area include the following:

Parameter	Existing Limit (mg/L)	Proposed Action
Acrolein	Prohibited	Raise to 0.49 mg/L
pН	5.5-10.5 standard units	Raise upper limit to 12.0 s.u.
Petroleum Hydrocarbons	15	Replace PHC limit with existing TTO, FOG, and explosivity limits, and gas/oil separator rule. Add narrative prohibiting oil diposal except as incidental to an industrial process.

More detail on these changes is provided in Attachment A.