Commonwealth of Massachusetts

NF

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only						
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs						
EOEA No.: 13941 MEPA Analyst: A. Esling ton Phone: 617-626-1024						

No

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: FMC-Ayer, MA (Former FMC Facility)						
Street: 9 Bishop Road	Street: 9 Bishop Road					
Municipality: Ayer	Watershee	<u>d:</u> Nashu	a River Basin			
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 4	40°34'01"	'N			
Zone 19 E 287,068.57, N 4,715,988.57	Longitude:	71°35'3	9" W			
Estimated commencement date: 2007	Estimated	completio	on date: 2007 construction			
construction season	season					
Approximate cost: To be determined	Status of project design: 100 %complete					
Proponent: FMC Corporation						
Street: 1735 Market Street						
Municipality: Philadelphia State: PA Zip Code: 19103			p Code: 19103			
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
Firm/Agency: BBL, an ARCADIS company	Street: 160 Chapel Road, Suite 303					
Municipality: Manchester	State: CT Zip Code: 06042		p Code: 06042			
Phone: 860-645-1084 Fax: 860-645-	1090	E-mail:	drivardlentz@bbl-inc.com			
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?						

Has this project been filed with MEPA before?	
[]Yes (EOEA No)	⊠No
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?	
[]Yes (EOEA No)	⊠No
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting:	
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	⊠No
a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09)	No
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	No

a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)

Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): <u>N/A</u>

[]Yes

Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:

Order of Conditions (Ayer Conservat	on Commission)	<u>, 401 Water</u>	Quality	Certification	<u>(MDEP),</u>
Programmatic General Permit (USACOE)					

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

🗌 Land 🛛 🗧] Rare Speci	es 🖂 🛛	Wetlands, W	/aterways, & Tidelands
U Water] Wastewate	r 🗌	Transportati	on
	_ Air _ Regulations	, H	Solid & Haz	Archaeological
			Resources	Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	AND.			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	2 acres			Conditions
New acres of land altered				Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0.31 acres	-0.04 acres	0.27 acres	A01 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration				MHD or MDC Access
Square feet of new other wetland alteration				Water Management Act Permit New Source Approval
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		12		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage				Approvals) – Specify:
Number of housing units				
Maximum height (in feet)				Programmatic General Permit (USACOE)
TRANS	PORTATION	J		<u>.</u>
Vehicle trips per day				
Parking spaces				
WATER/V	VASTEWAT	ER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use				
GPD water withdrawal				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment				
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)				

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion	on of public parkland or other Article 97 public
natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article	e 97? .) ⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation, or watershed preservation restriction?	ervation restriction, agricultural preservation
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Hab of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? Yes (Specify	bitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites _) ⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Commonwealth?	e project site include any structure, site or district f Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
Yes (Specify) 🖾 No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction o archaeological resources?	of any listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the Environmental Concern?	e project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

(A) Project Site

Site investigations performed to date at the Former FMC Facility at 9 Bishop Road in Ayer, MA have identified Massachusetts Contingency Plan – defined (MCP-defined) (310 CMR 40.0000) Oil and/or Hazardous Materials (OHM) in soil and groundwater as a result of historic pesticide formulation operations. The overall remedial action objectives are to reduce OHM concentrations, potential migration and exposure pathways, and potential risks, and achieve a MCP Response Action Outcome (RAO). The proposed remedial actions to be performed at 9 Bishop Road primarily include limited wetland and upland soil excavations, insitu stabilization/solidification (S/S) of soils restored with a vegetated surface cover and asphalt (i.e., engineered barriers), and deed restrictions (i.e., Activity and Use Limitation [AUL]).

(B) Alternatives and Impacts

1. Alternatives

The MCP requires a comprehensive assessment of feasible remedial action alternatives. This assessment is documented in the *Phase III Remedial Action Plan* (Phase III) (submitted to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection [MDEP] in February 1999) and the *Massachusetts Contingency Plan Phase III Remedial Action Plan Addendum* (Phase III Addendum) (submitted to the MDEP in September 2005). Based on the evaluation of conditions documented in the Phase III and Phase III

Addendum, the proposed remedial actions were chosen as the most practical/effective alternative to address OHM in soil.

2. Impacts

Remediation Areas					
Location/Identification	Area Affected by Remediation	Area to be restored to near original condition	Net change		
Bordering Vegetated Wetland	9,000 sq. ft.	9,000 sq. ft.	0 sq. ft.		
Bordering Land Subject to Flooding	4,500 sq.ft.	4,500 sq.ft.	0 sq. ft.		
100-foot Buffer Zone	17,500 sq.ft.	17,500 sq.ft.	0 sq. ft.		

(C) Mitigation, and Restoration Measures

The planned remediation activities require the unavoidable temporary disturbance of regulated resources. All wetland areas and adjacent areas will be restored similar to existing conditions following remediation. In addition, in-situ solidification/stabilization (S/S) occurring within the bordering vegetated wetland boundary will maintain similar to existing conditions (i.e., grading and vegetation). Further, upon completion of remediation activities, flood storage capacity will be retained in the wetland areas.

The remainder of this section describes the planned mitigation and restoration measures developed to mitigate and restore disturbances.

1. Mitigation Measures/Operational Controls

Although temporary disturbances to resource areas cannot be avoided because the project is intended to improve environmental conditions by removing/stabilizing OHM, the remedial design has been developed to minimize these disturbances as described below.

- Necessary permits will be obtained prior to commencement of work, including, for example, an Order of Conditions (OOC) and a 401 Water Quality Certification.
- Access to impacted material was designed to avoid resource disturbances, if possible, and minimize disturbances to resources if disturbances are unavoidable. Examples include:
 - Temporary erosion controls will be used and are anticipated to consist of properly installed silt fences and/or staked straw bales to minimize stormwater run-on and run-of, prevent erosion of exposed soils, and prevent sedimentation within existing site drainage pathways.
 - For the wetland excavations, conventional earthmoving equipment (e.g., backhoes, loaders, etc.) will be staged on upland areas to access the wetland excavation areas without disturbing the adjacent wetland areas by moving equipment within these areas. If necessary to reach the limits of the wetland excavations, equipment will need to be staged within the excavation area.
 - Excavation activities will occur only during suitable weather conditions. For example, excavation activities will not be performed during periods of significant rainfall. Further, in the event of significant rainfall, the excavation area will be covered and anchored/weighted until rain has ended and work activities can begin again.
 - To the extent possible, excavated soils will be loaded directly into transport vehicles (e.g., dump trucks) by the same mechanical equipment that is used for excavation.

• If necessary, a temporary stockpile and dewatering area may be established to facilitate soil handling and loading into the transport vehicles. Any materials staged/dewatered within the staging area will be handled properly and measures, such as lining and covering the piles, will be taken to control the release of solid or liquid materials.

2. Restoration Measures

Disturbed resources will be restored to conditions that maintain or enhance their primary function in the environment. The following subsections describe restoration plans within each part of the project.

a. Wetland Restoration

All affected wetland areas will be restored similar to existing conditions. A low-permeability vegetated surface cover will be placed over the 0.15 acres of bordering vegetated wetland which will be subject to S/S. Wetland species will be used to revegetate the area. Restoration within the areas subject to excavation will include removal of any materials associated with remediation activities, placing a geotextile fabric to demarcate the bottom of excavation and then placing and grading 2 feet of suitable fill (to replace the wetland soil removed from the area), grading to eliminate ruts, seed/mulch disturbed areas, and/or other measures required by the Order of Conditions (OOC) and other permits. Locally-obtained wetland species will be used to revegetate all wetland areas (including areas subject to S/S) consistent with OOC requirements. Erosion control measures will be maintained and restored areas will be visually inspected and maintained, if necessary, until vegetative growth becomes adequately established, as discussed further below.

b. Asphalt/Surface Restoration

Restoration work activities will occur in the S/S area, excavation areas, support areas, and any other areas incidentally affected by work activities. Any facilities installed in the support area and temporary construction fencing will be removed at the completion of work. Materials used within the support area will be appropriately disposed offsite. Any ruts, depressions, or other damage to affected areas will be repaired (e.g., by grading, seeding, and mulching in vegetated areas [including the vegetated surface cover area (a portion of the S/S area)] and Upland Excavation Area #4 [Attachment 3], and by patching/repairing/installing asphalt in paved areas [including Upland Excavation Area #3 and a portion of the S/S area]) as necessary to establish improved or, at a minimum, similar to existing site conditions. The portions of the perimeter fence removed to access wetland excavations will be replaced.

3. Monitoring

a. Engineered Barrier Inspection

Consistent with Section 4.5 of MDEP's guidance document for engineered barriers, *Guidance on the Use*, *Design, Construction, and Monitoring of Engineered Barriers* (MDEP, 2002), the low-permeability surface cover and asphalt cover will be inspected quarterly for the first year following construction, and at least once per year thereafter. Any identified damage that calls for repair will be scheduled for maintenance/repair.

b. Wetland Vegetation Inspections

Restored wetland areas will be visually inspected at regular intervals for a minimum of 2 years following remediation (as recommended by the *Massachusetts Inland Wetland Replication Guidelines* [Guidance number BRP/DWM/WetG02-2, MDEP, March 2002]) to assess whether vegetative growth has been adequately established. The timing of these inspections will vary with season and weather conditions, but at

a minimum will consist of spring and mid-summer observations. Appropriate measures will be taken if there is a drought (e.g., additional visual inspections, watering, replacement of dead vegetation, as needed).

c. Groundwater Monitoring

Post-remediation groundwater monitoring will be conducted. Based on an evaluation of the results, FMC will determine appropriate follow up response action activities to assess and/or address groundwater conditions, as needed.

LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section

I. Thresholds / Permits

A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1)
 Yes X No; if yes, specify each threshold:

II. Impacts and Permits

A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows:

	Existing	<u>Change</u>	<u>Total</u>
Footprint of buildings	0.29 acres	0 acres	0.29 acres
Roadways, parking, and other paved areas	0.31 acres	-0.04 acres	0.27 acres
Undeveloped areas	0.43 acres	-0.07 acres	0.36 acres
Other altered areas (crushed stone			
surface)	0 acres	+0.11 acres	0.11 acres
Wetland	0.94 acres	0 acres	0.94 acres
Attachments 2 and 4			

See Attachments 2 and 4.

B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years?
Yes X No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be

converted to nonagricultural use?

C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use?

Yes X No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:

D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? ____ Yes _X_ No; if yes, describe:

E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction? ____ Yes X No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such restriction? ____ Yes ___ No; if yes, describe:

F. Does the project require approval of a new urban redevelopment project or a fundamental change in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A? ____ Yes _X_ No; if yes, describe:

G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major modification of an existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? Yes $__$ No \underline{X} ; if yes, describe:

H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, if applicable, measures that the project will take to comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy:

To the maximum extent practicable, the project will comply with the standards of DEP's Stormwater Management Policy, as follows:

• No new stormwater conveyances will be constructed.

U:\LAR06\55761832 notif form.doc

Page 6 of 17