

Deval L. Patrick GOVERNOR

Timothy P. Murray LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

Ian A. Bowles SECRETARY

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs 100 Cambridge Street, Suite 900 Boston, MA 02114

> Tel: (617) 626-1000 Fax: (617) 626-1181

http://www.mass.gov/envir

October 3, 2008

DRAFT RECORD OF DECISION

PROJECT NAME : The Merano PROJECT MUNICIPALITY : Boston

PROJECT WATERSHED : Boston Harbor

EOEA NUMBER : 14304

PROJECT PROPONENT : Boston Development Group

DATE NOTICED IN MONITOR : August 27, 2008

Pursuant to the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (M.G.L. c. 30, ss. 61-62I) and Section 11.11 of the MEPA Regulations (301 CMR 11.00), I have reviewed this project and hereby **propose to grant a waiver** from the categorical requirement to prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). In a separate Certificate also issued today, I have set forth the outstanding issues related to the project that can be addressed by permitting agencies.

Project Description

As described in the EENF, the project consists of the design and construction of a 463,000 square foot (sf) mixed use development in Boston, MA. The project includes approximately 190,000 sf of hotel uses (short-term and long-term), 206,000 sf of office space, 10,000 sf of retail and 13,000 sf of restaurant space. It will include a parking garage on the second floor that will provide 203 spaces (93 double stacked and 17 single). The project requires demolition of a one-story commercial building at 88 North Washington Street.

The project site includes Parcel 1B, Parcel 1C and 86-88 Washington Street. Parcel 1B was created by the demolition of the elevated I-93 highway structures and is owned by the Massachusetts Turnpike Authority (MTA). The proponent was designated as the developer of this parcel by the MTA following a public Request for Proposal process that included public

presentations and meetings. The proponent will lease the property from MTA through a ground lease. Parcel 1C and 86-88 Washington Street are owned or will be owned by the proponent.

The 1.26-acre site is bounded by Causeway Street, Beverly Street, Valenti Way, North Washington Street and buildings along Medford Street, including 239 Causeway Street and 98 North Washington Street. It is in close proximity to the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority (MBTA) Green Line and Orange Line and the commuter rail at North Station. The parcel, which is located over MTA tunnels, is vacant with the exception of an area used for surface parking. It is located on landlocked tidelands approximately 415 feet from the shoreline of Boston Inner Harbor and within the City of Boston Groundwater Conservation Overlay District. The site is located immediately adjacent to the Causeway/North Washington Street District, which is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. It is located in the vicinity of the Bulfinch Triangle District, which is listed in the State and National Registers of Historic Places and in the vicinity of the North End Area, an area included in the Inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth.

Permitting/Jurisdiction

The project is undergoing MEPA review and subject to preparation of a Mandatory EIR pursuant to 11.03 (6)(a)(6) because it requires a state permit and will generate 3,000 or more new average daily traffic (adt) on roadways providing access to a single location. The project requires a Sewer Connection Permit from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), a long-term ground lease from the MTA and review by the Massachusetts Historical Commission (MHC). The project may require authorization from the Executive Office of Transportation and Public Works (EOTPW) for use of former railroad right of way (ROW). The project is subject to the MEPA Greenhouse Gas Emissions Policy and Protocol and will require a Public Benefits Determination for use of landlocked tidelands. Also, it requires a Sewer Use Discharge Permit and Construction Dewatering Permit from the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA).

The project is subject to Article 80 Large Project Review by the Boston Redevelopment Authority (BRA) pursuant to Article 80 of the Boston Zoning Code. The BRA issued a decision on the Project Notification Form (PNF) on September 23, 2008 indicating that no further review was required. In addition, it requires multiple permits and reviews by the City of Boston including development and review of a Construction Management Plan and a Transportation and Access Plan Agreement by the Boston Transportation Department (BTD). The project will require zoning relief including relief for dimensions and setback requirements as well as the proposed hotel and parking.

Because the proponent is seeking a land transfer, in the form of a ground lease, MEPA jurisdiction extends to those aspects of the project within the area subject to the land transfer that are likely, directly or indirectly, to cause Damage to the Environment as defined in the MEPA regulations. Pursuant to 301 CMR 11.01(2)(a)(3), MEPA subject matter jurisdiction is functionally equivalent to full scope jurisdiction.

Summary of Potential Environmental Impacts

Potential environmental impacts associated with the project include the generation of approximately 2,044 adjusted adt, use of 63,701 gallons per day (gpd) of water, generation of 57,910 gpd of wastewater, use of non-water dependent use of landlocked tidelands.

Summary of Proposed Mitigation Measures

The EENF and supplemental information provided on February 27, 2008, identify the project's consistency with the Commonwealth's Sustainable Development Principles and describe the following measures to avoid, minimize and mitigate environmental impacts:

- re-development of a vacant lot located in close proximity to transit;
- design and construction of a building that is certifiable by the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) as required by Article 37 of the Boston Zoning Code;
- measures to minimize GHG emissions associated with the project including low albedo roofing or a green roof, high efficiency HVAC systems daylighting, energy efficient lighting, refrigerants with a low global warming potential, dedicated space for recycling infrastructure, use of construction materials with recycled content, use of regionally manufactured construction materials, re-use of stormwater for irrigation and water conservation;
- provision of adequate pedestrian access around and through the site including wide sidewalks, lighting and street furniture;
- creation of a plaza at the Causeway Street/Beverly Street corner of the site;
- development of a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) program and provision of a limited parking supply to minimize vehicle trips;
- support for streetscape improvements including a \$300,000 contribution to the City of Boston Crossroads Initiative; and
- support for additional traffic analysis including \$50,000 for a comprehensive study of the Bulfinch Triangle neighborhood.

In addition, the proponent indicates it is considering additional measures to minimize greenhouse gas emissions including on-site renewables and/or cogeneration.

Waiver Request

The proponent has requested a Waiver of the requirement to prepare an EIR. An EENF was submitted in conjunction with this request and it was subject to an extended comment period as required. The EENF identifies the environmental impacts of the project and describes measures to be undertaken by the proponents to avoid, minimize and mitigate project impacts. The Waiver request was discussed at the scoping session for the project which was held on September 10, 2008.

Standards for All Waivers

The MEPA regulations at 301 CMR 11.11(1) state that I may waive any provision or requirement in 301 CMR 11.00 not specifically required by MEPA and may impose appropriate and relevant conditions or restrictions, provided that I find that strict compliance with the provision or requirement would:

- (a) Result in an undue hardship for the Proponent, unless based on delay in compliance by the Proponent; and,
- (b) Not serve to avoid or minimize Damage to the Environment.

Determinations for an EIR Waiver

The MEPA regulations at 301 CMR 11.11(3) state that, in the case of a waiver of a mandatory EIR review threshold, I shall at a minimum base the finding required in accordance with 301 CMR 11.11(1)(b) stated above on a determination that:

- (a) The project is likely to cause no Damage to the Environment; and,
- (b) Ample and unconstrained infrastructure facilities and services exist to support those aspects of the project within subject matter jurisdiction.

Findings

Based upon the information submitted by the Proponent, consultation with the relevant state agencies, and comment letters submitted on the project, I find that the Waiver request has merit and that the Proponent has demonstrated that the proposed project meets the standards for all waivers at 301 CMR 11.11(1).

As noted previously, the EENF identifies the environmental impacts of the project and identifies the project's consistency with the Commonwealth's Sustainable Development Principles. The EENF included a traffic study, a GHG analysis and describes measures to be undertaken by the proponents to avoid, minimize and mitigate project impacts including commitments to minimize GHG emissions

State agency action associated with the project is limited to the issuance of a Sewer Connection Permit by MassDEP and a ground lease by MTA. State agency comments do not identify concerns with the granting of the waiver request although MassDEP does request additional analysis of GHG emissions and mitigation measures and consideration of transit subsidies. The EENF contains sufficient information to allow state agencies to understand the environmental consequences of its permit decision.

MHC, as the State Historic Preservation Officer, has reviewed the project as required by the "joint development" process of the Central Artery/Tunnel (CA/T) Project and the associated Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). Comments from MHC note that the proposed buildings exceed the height limits established by the JDG and indicate that the project will have an adverse effect on the Bulfinch Triangle and Causeway/North Washington Street historic districts through the introduction of visual elements that are out of character with and alter the setting of these historic districts. MHC comments request consideration of design alternatives for the proposed height and massing. The MHC comment letter does not identify concerns with the granting of a waiver.

Comment letters from the Downtown North Association (DNA) and the Bulfinch Triangle Community Advisory Committee (BTCAC) express strong support for the project and the Waiver. Comments from the Boston Groundwater Trust provided to the BRA (dated July 23, 2008) do not identify any significant concerns with the impact of the project on groundwater levels.

As noted previously, the categorical requirement to prepare an EIR is based on exceedance of a transportation threshold. Trip generation is estimated at over 3,000 adt based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual. According to the EENF, adjusting this estimate using BTD mode shares, results in an estimate of 2,044 adt. This estimate is lower than the mandatory EIR threshold of 3,000 adt. In addition, the trip generation and traffic impacts of the project have been reviewed by the City and BTD through the BRA Article 80 process. This review has resulted in a design that incorporates measures routinely required or encouraged through MEPA review including a low parking ratio, development of an effective TDM program, provision of transit subsidies and adequate pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure.

Based on the foregoing, I find that preparation of an EIR is not necessary in order for the proponent to demonstrate that it will avoid, minimize, and mitigate potential Damage to the Environment to the maximum extent practicable. Strict compliance with the requirement to prepare an EIR would therefore cause undue hardship and would not serve to minimize Damage to the Environment.

I also find that compliance with the requirement to prepare an EIR for the project would not serve to avoid or minimize Damage to the Environment. In accordance with 301 CMR 11.11(3), this finding is based on my determination that:

- 1. The project is likely to cause no Damage to the Environment:
 - The project consists of redevelopment of a vacant lot. The site does not contain any significant natural resources or protected open space or parkland. Adequate mitigation will be provided for impacts to landlocked tidelands, transportation, wastewater and historic resources.
 - The project is consistent with the Commonwealth's Sustainable Development Principles and is designed to be LEED certifiable. In addition, the proponent has conducted a GHG

analysis as required and committed to measures to reduce GHG emissions.

- 2. Ample and unconstrained infrastructure facilities and services exist to support those aspects of the project within subject matter jurisdiction:
 - MassDEP and MWRA indicate that I/I mitigation can and should be provided to address any potential impacts to the wastewater infrastructure.
 - Adequate pedestrian circulation is provided around and through the site and contributions to the Crossroads Initiative will improve pedestrian access and safety along Causeway Street;
 - The project was approved by the BRA on September 23, 2008, thereby indicating that the project has provided an adequate description of and mitigation for potential community impacts.
- 3. The proposal to grant the Waiver is conditioned on the following to ensure the environmental impacts of the project are minimized:
 - The proponent will revise its GHG analysis and identify any additional commitments to GHG reductions. The proponent must evaluate all of the measures identified in the MassDEP comment letter, provide additional information regarding the feasibility of a cogeneration system and provide a life cycle cost analysis for a PV system. I strongly encourage the proponent to consider adoption of additional mitigation measures based on the results of this supplemental analysis.
 - The proponent will distribute the revised GHG analysis to all commentors prior to the close of the comment period on the DROD and, if necessary to provide adequate review time, will request an extension of the comment period on the DROD.
 - The proponent will remove or cause to be removed approximately 235,640 gpd of I/I from the wastewater system.
 - The proponent will consult with MHC regarding alternatives to the proposed building design and massing and will provide more detailed elevation drawings which depict proposed materials and will provide sketches or more detailed descriptions of the proposed fenestration reveals as well as depths/dimensions of other applied or structural exterior details.
 - Consistent with Article 32, Section 6 of the Boston Zoning Code, the proponent will certify that the project will not negatively impact groundwater levels on the site or on adjacent lots.
 - The proponent will participate in the MassDEP Diesel Retrofit Program to mitigate the construction-period impacts of diesel emissions to the maximum extent feasible. The

proponent will require contractors to retrofit construction vehicles with after-engine emission controls such as diesel oxidation catalysts (DOCs) and/or diesel particulate filters (DPFs) that are verified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Conclusion

Based on these findings, I have determined that this waiver request has merit, and am issuing this Draft Record of Decision (DROD), which will be published in the next edition of the Environmental Monitor on October 8, 2008 in accordance with 301 CMR 11.15(2), which begins the public comment period. The public comment period lasts for 14 days and will end on October 22, 2008. Based on written comments received concerning the DROD, I shall issue a Final Record of Decision (FROD) or a Scope within seven days after the close of the public comment period, in accordance with 301 CMR 11.15(6). I hereby **propose to grant the waiver** requested for this project from the requirement to prepare a mandatory Environmental Impact Report (EIR), subject to the above findings and conditions.

October 3, 2008_	
Date	Ian A. Bowles

Comments received:

9/26/08	Department of Environmental Protection/Northeast Regional Office (MassDEP
	NERO)
9/16/08	Massachusetts Historical Commission (MHC)
9/26/08	Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA)
9/26/08	Boston Water and Sewer Commission
	City of Boston Environment Department
9/15/08	Downtown North Association
9/15/08	Bulfinch Triangle Community Advisory Committee
9/26/08	Walk Boston

IAB/CDB/cdb