

Deval L. Patrick GOVERNOR

Timothy P. Murray LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

> Ian A. Bowles SECRETARY

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs 100 Cambridge Street, Suite 900 Boston, MA 02114

> Tel: (617) 626-1000 Fax: (617) 626-1181 http://www.mass.gov/envir

June 8, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL NOTIFICATION FORM

PROJECT NAME PROJECT MUNICIPALITY PROJECT WATERSHED EOEEA NUMBER PROJECT PROPONENT DATE NOTICED IN MONITOR : Victoria Marina Dredging
: Danvers
: North Coastal
: 14021
: Victoria Marine Realty Trust, LLC
: May 9, 2007

Pursuant to the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (G. L. c. 30, ss. 61-62H) and Section 11.03 of the MEPA regulations (301 CMR 11.00), I hereby determine that this project **does not require** the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

As described in the Environmental Notification Form (ENF), the project consists of maintenance dredging at the Victoria Marina on the Porter River in Danvers, Massachusetts. Approximately 2,850 cubic yards (cy) of material over a total area of approximately 27,497 square feet (sf), including side slopes, will be removed from a previously dredged area associated with the marina (Phase I), as well as an improvement dredging area (Phase II).

The project site is located at 10 Harbor Street and is situated on the south shore of the Porter River, adjacent to the Town Landing. The site contains an office/marina building, boat storage areas, travel lift and dock and float system. The marina provides anchorage and marine services for approximately 21 recreational vessels. The ENF states that the project will impact approximately 23,893 sf of Land Under Ocean, 3,604 sf of Coastal Beaches, 23,139 sf of Land Containing Shellfish, and 230 linear feet of temporary impact to Fish Runs.

The project has been designed to be "piggybacked" onto the proposed dredging of the Porter River, a project proposed by the Town of Danvers, to reduce river and habitat impacts during the construction period. The Town dredging project has completed MEPA review (EOEA No. 10233) and is currently undergoing State and local review processes. Dredging operations will be conducted by mechanical methods, using a crane or excavator-mounted barge with a clamshell bucket. Once excavated, dredge sediments will be loaded directly into a scow and transported to the Massachusetts Bay Disposal Site (MBDS) for unconfined offshore disposal. Dredge sediments from the project site still need to be deemed suitable for unconfined disposal at the MBDS by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (U.S. ACOE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). If sediments do not meet the criteria for disposal at MBDS, it is likely that the project will be required to file a Notice of Project Change (NPC) with the MEPA office to allow for evaluation of alternative disposal sites for dredge spoils.

The project is undergoing review pursuant to Section 11.03 (3)(b)(f) because the project requires a State Agency action and will result in the alteration of ½ or more acres of wetland resource areas. The project will require an Order of Conditions from the Danvers Conservation Commission, a Section 401 Water Quality Certificate (WQC) and a Chapter 91 Permit from the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), and a Programmatic General Permit from the U.S. ACOE. The project may also be subject to Office of Coastal Zone Management (CZM) federal consistency review.

Because the proponent is not seeking financial assistance from the Commonwealth for the project, MEPA jurisdiction extends to those aspects of the project that may have significant environmental impacts and that are within the subject matter of required or potentially required state permits. In this case, MEPA jurisdiction exists over wetlands, waterways, and stormwater.

Wetlands and Waterways

Phase I of the project will impact 21,235sf of Land Under Ocean (LUO) and 1,650sf of Coastal Beach, while Phase II will impact an additional 2,658sf LUO and 1,954sf of Coastal Beach. Phase II areas are improvement areas beyond those previously dredged and likely cannot be performed in conjunction with the Town's dredging project due to conflicting permitting timelines. The proposed project area will be dredged to a depth of 5.0 feet below mean low water (MLW) with a 1.0 foot allowable over-dredge. Wetland resource areas identified in the ENF within the project area include Land Under Ocean, Land Containing Shellfish, Coastal Beach and Fish Run. Dredging activities should be conducted in accordance with the applicable performance standards under the Wetlands Protection Act for each wetland resource area on site.

Dredge sediments from the project site (Phase I and Phase II) still need to be deemed suitable for unconfined disposal at the MBDS by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (U.S. ACOE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA). In accordance with CZM's recommendation, I encourage the proponent to coordinate with MassDEP to ensure the acceptability of these sediment data for use in developing the WQC for the project.

2

As the project proceeds through the State permitting process, the proponent should identify the potential impacts of Phase II associated with an additional season of dredging, and identify what measures will be taken to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the additional impacts from the second phase. The ENF has acknowledged the placement of time of year restrictions to limit impact to marine resources; however the proponent should work with DMF and the National Marine Fisheries Service during the permitting process and provide further information or alternatives to demonstrate that impacts in the Phase II area have been minimized and/or mitigated.

The proponent should take steps to ensure that the stability of the timber bulkhead on-site will not be compromised by removal (and resulting slumping) of sediment in this location. Finally, the proponent should clarify their authority to perform all of the dredging operations in this location, as most of the improvement dredging footprint, and some of the maintenance dredging footprint, are outside the Victoria Marina property boundary.

Habitat

The Porter River in the vicinity of the project site has been designated by the Division of Marine Fisheries (DMF) as mapped shellfish habitat for soft shell clams (*Mya arenaria*) and provides essential habitat for the passage and continued juvenile development of rainbow smelt (*Osmerus mordax*), alewife (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) and blueback herring (*Alosa aestivalis*), and the spawning and juvenile development of winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*). However, DMF has stated that dredging may result in turbidity and changes in water quality that are detrimental to the critical life stages of the species listed above. Furthermore, the proposed dredging may also cause indirect impacts, including increased vessel use, resulting in additional pollutant load, scour and erosion from vessel wake, and propeller dredging. Portions of the area to be dredged will include intertidal habitat. At the recommendation of DMF, the proponent should investigate ways to minimize the proposed Phase II improvement dredging of intertidal mudflats where possible.

To minimize impacts to sensitive marine fisheries resources the proponent should place time of year restrictions on the construction period, with no silt producing in-water work conducted from February 1 through October 1 of any year. Additionally, turbidity barriers should be placed around the work area and strictly monitored and maintained to limit migration of sediment into adjacent resource areas.

Archaeology

The Massachusetts Board of Underwater Archaeological Resources (BUAR) has stated that upon preliminary review of its files and secondary literature sources, no record of any underwater archaeological resources was found within the project area. Therefore, following review of the project characteristics, the BUAR has concluded that the project is unlikely to negatively impact submerged cultural resources. However, should heretofore-unknown submerged cultural resources be encountered during the course of the project, the BUAR expects that the project proponent will take steps to limit adverse affects and notify the BUAR, as well as other appropriate agencies in accordance with the BUAR's Policy Guidance for the Discovery of Unanticipated Archaeological Resources (updated 9/28/06).

Stormwater

As recommended by CZM, the I encourage the proponent to work with the Town of Danvers to proactively address the sedimentation issued associated with the Porter River and its tributaries, particularly with regard to the possibility that stormwater runoff entering the river via outfalls may be carrying significant loads of sediment. This sediment may be contributing to the rapid rate of accretion in the area and may limit the effectiveness and sustainability of the dredging project.

Based on the information in the ENF and after consultation with relevant public agencies, I find that no further MEPA review is required at this time. The project may proceed with obtaining required State permits.

<u>June 8, 2007</u> Date

Ian A. Bowles

Ian A. Bowles

Comments received:

05/29/2007	Office of Coastal Zone Management
05/29/2007	Board of Underwater Archaeological Resources
05/29/2007	Division of Marine Fisheries

IAB/HSJ/hsj

4