Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only				
EEA#:				
MEPA Analyst:				
The information requested on this form electronically for review under the Mas				
Project Name: Auburn Commerce				
Street Address: 50 & 190 Washington Street, Auburn, MA				
Municipality: Auburn	Watershed: Blackstone			
Universal Transverse Mercator	Latitude: 42.2	Latitude: 42.205544		
Coordinates:	Longitude: -71	1.812991		
19T 267776.76 E4676429.20 N				
Estimated commencement date:	Estimated cor	Estimated completion date: 12/31/21		
Project Type: Industrial	Status of proje	ect design: 80%complete		
Subdivision				
Proponent: 190 Washington Auburn LLC				
Street Address: 997 Millbury Stree	t			
Municipality: Worcester	State: MA	Zip Code: 01607		
Name of Contact Person: Clayton Williams				
Firm/Agency: Eastland Partners, I	nd Street Addre	ss: 997 Millbury Street		
Municipality: Worcester	State: MA	Zip Code: 01607		
Phone: 978-460-0133 Fax:	508-647-1919	E-mail:		
		cwilliams@eastlandpartners.com		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?				
✓Yes □No				
1.a.2, Creation of 10+ Acres of Impervious Surface				
If this is an Expanded Environmental Notification Form (ENF) (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) or a Notice of Project Change (NPC), are you requesting:				
Two tice of Froject Change (W. C), are you requesting.				
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) ☑Yes ☐No				
a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09) ☐ Yes ☑No				
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM	IR 11.09) 🔲 Ye			
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	IR 11.09)	s ☑No s ☑No s □No		
	IR 11.09)	s ☑No s ☑No s □No		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) (Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis	IR 11.09) ☐ Ye R 11.11) ☐ Ye: ☑ Ye s must be included	s ☑No s ☑No s ☑No l in the Expanded ENF.)		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) (Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis Which MEPA review threshold(s) does	R 11.09) ☐Ye R 11.11) ☐Ye:	s No s No s No in the Expanded ENF.) or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)?		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) (Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis Which MEPA review threshold(s) does (6).b.14, (6).b.15; Greater than 300	IR 11.09)	s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No lin the Expanded ENF.) or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)? sees and 2,000 Average Daily Trips		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) (Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis Which MEPA review threshold(s) does (6).b.14, (6).b.15; Greater than 300 1.a.2, (1) 2.b.1, (1) 2.b.2; Creation (1)	IR 11.09)	s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No lin the Expanded ENF.) or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)? sees and 2,000 Average Daily Trips		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) (Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis Which MEPA review threshold(s) does (6).b.14, (6).b.15; Greater than 300 1.a.2, (1) 2.b.1, (1) 2.b.2; Creation (25+ Acres of Land	R 11.09)	s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No lin the Expanded ENF.) or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)? sees and 2,000 Average Daily Trips		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) (Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis Which MEPA review threshold(s) does (6).b.14, (6).b.15; Greater than 300 1.a.2, (1) 2.b.1, (1) 2.b.2; Creation (1)	R 11.09)	s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No s \(\overline{\text{No}}\) No lin the Expanded ENF.) or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)? sees and 2,000 Average Daily Trips		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) (Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis Which MEPA review threshold(s) does (6).b.14, (6).b.15; Greater than 300 1.a.2, (1) 2.b.1, (1) 2.b.2; Creation 25+ Acres of Land Which State Agency Permits will the pro-	IR 11.09)	s No s No s No s No or in the Expanded ENF.) or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ses and 2,000 Average Daily Trips of Impervious Area, Alteration of Agency of the Commonwealth,		

Summary of Project Size & Environmental Impacts	Existing	Change	Total	
LAND				
Total site acreage	84.7 Acres			
New acres of land altered	5.14 Acres (NEPCO)	30.3 Acres	35.44 Acres	
Acres of impervious area	0 Acres	13.54 Acres	13.54 Acres	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		4,353 SF (Permanent)		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		490 SF LUW; 82' Bank (Temporary)		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		
STRUCTURES				
Gross square footage	0	145,800 SF	145,800 SF	
Number of housing units	0	0	0	
Maximum height (feet)	35 Feet	35 Feet	35 Feet	
TRANSPORTATION			100	
Vehicle trips per day (Weekday)	0	1,760 ADT	1,760 ADT	
Vehicle trips per day (Saturday)	0	2,056 ADT	2,056 ADT	
Parking spaces	0	469	469	
WASTEWATER			1.4	
Water Use (Gallons per day)	0	3,074 GPD	3,074 GPD	
Water withdrawal (GPD)	0	0	0	
Wastewater generation/treatment (GPD)	0	3,074 GPD	3,074 GPD	
Length of water mains (miles)	0	0.284 Miles	0.284 Miles	
Length of sewer mains (miles)	0	0.39 Miles	0.39 Miles	
Has this project been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EEA #) ☑No				
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EEA #) ☑No				

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION – all proponents must fill out this section

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Describe the existing conditions and land uses on the project site: See Section 1.0

Describe the proposed project and its programmatic and physical elements: See Section 1.0

NOTE: The project description should summarize both the project's direct and indirect impacts (including construction period impacts) in terms of their magnitude, geographic extent, duration and frequency, and reversibility, as applicable. It should also discuss the infrastructure requirements of the project and the capacity of the municipal and/or regional infrastructure to sustain these requirements into the future.

Describe the on-site project alternatives (and alternative off-site locations, if applicable), considered by the proponent, including at least one feasible alternative that is allowed under current zoning, and the reasons(s) that they were not selected as the preferred alternative:

See Sections 1.0.2 and 1.0.4

NOTE: The purpose of the alternatives analysis is to consider what effect changing the parameters and/or siting of a project, or components thereof, will have on the environment, keeping in mind that the objective of the MEPA review process is to avoid or minimize damage to the environment to the greatest extent feasible. Examples of alternative projects include alternative site locations, alternative site uses, and alternative site configurations.

Summarize the mitigation measures proposed to offset the impacts of the preferred alternative: **See Sections 6 and 7**

If the project is proposed to be constructed in phases, please describe each phase: AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project within or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify_____) if yes, does the ACEC have an approved Resource Management Plan? ___ Yes __ No; If yes, describe how the project complies with this plan. If yes, describe and assess the potential impacts of such stormwater runoff/discharge to the designated ACEC. RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated and/or Priority Habitat of State-Listed Rare Species? (see http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/regulatory review/priority habitat/priority habitat home.htm) ☐Yes (Specify) HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? ☐Yes (Specify_ _) Mo If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic