

**Commonwealth of Massachusetts**  
**Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs**  
**Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) Office**

**Environmental Notification Form**

**For Office Use Only**

EEA#: \_\_\_\_\_

MEPA Analyst: \_\_\_\_\_

*The information requested on this form must be completed in order to submit a document electronically for review under the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.*

Project Name: Cart Creek and Orchard Street Stream Crossing Replacement		
Street Address: Orchard Street (Public Way)		
Municipality: Town of Newbury	Watershed: Parker River	
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates: <b>42T 631160.44 4701408.64</b>	Latitude: 42.4539168 Longitude: 70.5950940	
Estimated commencement date: 7/1/2021	Estimated completion date: 10/31/2021	
Project Type: Stream Crossing Replacement	Status of project design: 0 %complete	
Proponent: Town of Newbury, MA		
Street Address: Project locus is in Orchard Street, a public way		
Municipality: Newbury	State: MA	Zip Code: 01922
Name of Contact Person: James Surette, Director Public Works		
Firm/Agency: Department of Public Works	Street Address: 12 Kent Way	
Municipality: Town of Newbury	State: MA	Zip Code: 01922
Phone: 978-455-0112	Fax:	highway@townofnewbury.c

Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If this is an Expanded Environmental Notification Form (ENF) (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) or a Notice of Project Change (NPC), are you requesting:

a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))

☐ Yes ☒ No

a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09)

☐ Yes ☒ No

a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)

☐ Yes ☒ No

a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)

☐ Yes ☒ No

(Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis must be included in the Expanded ENF.)

Which MEPA review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)?

Work in ACEC (Great Salt Marsh); New structure in regulated floodway

Which State Agency Permits will the project require?

Section 401 Water Quality Certificate, (Ch 91 requirements under review)

Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an Agency of the Commonwealth, including the Agency name and the amount of funding or land area in acres:

MVP Action Grant Funding - \$126,324 with a 26.2% match - total \$171,196

Summary of Project Size & Environmental Impacts	Existing	Change	Total
<b>LAND</b>			
Total site acreage	.12		
New acres of land altered		.04	
Acres of impervious area	.12	.04	.1204
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration			
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		165 (salt marsh) 305 (Bank) 6810 (LSCSF) 1115 (LUW) 6810 (RFA)	
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0	
<b>STRUCTURES</b>			
Gross square footage	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A
Maximum height (feet)	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>TRANSPORTATION</b>			
Vehicle trips per day	1255	0	1255
Parking spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>WASTEWATER</b>			
Water Use (Gallons per day)	N/A		
Water withdrawal (GPD)	N/A		
Wastewater generation/treatment (GPD)	N/A		
Length of water mains (miles)	.03		
Length of sewer mains (miles)	N/A		
Has this project been filed with MEPA before? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (EEA # _____) X No			
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (EEA # _____) X No			

## **GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION – all proponents must fill out this section**

### **PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Describe the existing conditions and land uses on the project site: See attached.

Describe the proposed project and its programmatic and physical elements: See attached.

*NOTE: The project description should summarize both the project's direct and indirect impacts (including construction period impacts) in terms of their magnitude, geographic extent, duration and frequency, and reversibility, as applicable. It should also discuss the infrastructure requirements of the project and the capacity of the municipal and/or regional infrastructure to sustain these requirements into the future.*

Describe the on-site project alternatives (and alternative off-site locations, if applicable), considered by the proponent, including at least one feasible alternative that is allowed under current zoning, and the reasons(s) that they were not selected as the preferred alternative:  
See attached.

*NOTE: The purpose of the alternatives analysis is to consider what effect changing the parameters and/or siting of a project, or components thereof, will have on the environment, keeping in mind that the objective of the MEPA review process is to avoid or minimize damage to the environment to the greatest extent feasible. Examples of alternative projects include alternative site locations, alternative site uses, and alternative site configurations.*

Summarize the mitigation measures proposed to offset the impacts of the preferred alternative:  
See attached narrative and technical report-Appendix D.

If the project is proposed to be constructed in phases, please describe each phase:  
N/A

### **AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN:**

Is the project within or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?

☒ Yes    Great Salt Marsh

☐ No

if yes, does the ACEC have an approved Resource Management Plan? \_\_\_\_ Yes    ☒ No;

If yes, describe how the project complies with this plan.

Will there be stormwater runoff or discharge to the designated ACEC? \_\_\_\_ Yes    ☒ No;

If yes, describe and assess the potential impacts of such stormwater runoff/discharge to the designated ACEC.  
During construction erosion control measures will be monitored daily. Post construction SW impacts attached.

### **RARE SPECIES:**

Does the project site include Estimated and/or Priority Habitat of State-Listed Rare Species? (see [http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/regulatory\\_review/priority\\_habitat/priority\\_habitat\\_home.htm](http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/regulatory_review/priority_habitat/priority_habitat_home.htm))

☐ Yes    ☒ No

### **HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES:**

Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?

☐ Yes    ☒ No

If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources? ☐ Yes    ☒ No