

**Commonwealth of Massachusetts**  
**Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs**  
**Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) Office**

COPY

RECEIVED

MAR 06 2020

MEPA

**Environmental Notification Form**

*For Office Use Only*

EEA#: 16175

MEPA Analyst: Page Czepiga

*The information requested on this form must be completed in order to submit a document electronically for review under the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.*

|   |   |                          |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| Project Name: West St. and Fox Den Wildlife Park, Worthington, MA |   |                          |
| Street Address: West Street, Worthington, MA                      |   |                          |
| Municipality: Worthington   | Watershed: Westfield River                                  |                          |
| Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates:                        | Latitude: 42° 23' 45.03" N.<br>Longitude: 72° 56' 59.58" W. |                          |
| Estimated commencement date: in process                           | Estimated completion date: April 2020                       |                          |
| Project Type: Boundary Line Correction                            | Status of project design: 100 %complete                     |                          |
| Proponent: Susannah T. Brown and Douglas R. Schnare               |   |                          |
| Street Address: 493 West Street                                   |   |                          |
| Municipality: Worthington   | State: MA   | Zip Code: 01098          |
| Name of Contact Person: Leonard C. Jekanowski                     |   |                          |
| Firm/Agency: Jekanowski Law Offices                               | Street Address: 241 King Street                             |                          |
| Municipality: Northampton   | State: MA   | Zip Code: 01060          |
| Phone: 413-584-5900   | Fax: 413-584-5959   | E-mail: len@jeklegal.com |

Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?

Yes  No

If this is an Expanded Environmental Notification Form (ENF) (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) or a Notice of Project Change (NPC), are you requesting:

a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))  Yes  No

a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09)  Yes  No

a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)  Yes  No

a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)  Yes  No

*(Note: Greenhouse Gas Emissions analysis must be included in the Expanded ENF.)*

Which MEPA review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03)?

**310 CMR 11.03 (1) 5. Release in an interest in land held for conservation.**

Which State Agency Permits will the project require?

None.

Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an Agency of the Commonwealth, including the Agency name and the amount of funding or land area in acres: \_

Department of Fish and Game (Boundary clarification) See Letter of support, Division of Fisheries & Wildlife (attachment no. 7.)

| Summary of Project Size & Environmental Impacts  | Existing  | Change | Total |
|--|-----------|--------|-------|
| <b>LAND</b>  |           |        |       |
| Total site acreage   | 3.7 + 2.3 |        |       |
| New acres of land altered  |           | 0      |       |
| Acres of impervious area   | 0         | 0      |       |
| Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration   |           | 0      |       |
| Square feet of new other wetland alteration  |           | 0      |       |
| Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways   |           | 0      |       |
| <b>STRUCTURES</b>  |           |        |       |
| Gross square footage   | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Number of housing units  | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Maximum height (feet)  | n/a       | n/a    | n/a   |
| <b>TRANSPORTATION</b>  |           |        |       |
| Vehicle trips per day  | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Parking spaces   | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| <b>WASTEWATER</b>  |           |        |       |
| Water Use (Gallons per day)  | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Water withdrawal (GPD)   | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Wastewater generation/treatment (GPD)  | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Length of water mains (miles)  | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Length of sewer mains (miles)  | 0         | 0      | 0     |
| Has this project been filed with MEPA before?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes (EEA # _____) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No             |           |        |       |
| Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?<br><input type="checkbox"/> Yes (EEA # _____) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |           |        |       |

**GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION – all proponents must fill out this section**  
**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Describe the existing conditions and land uses on the project site: The existing conditions are that of undeveloped, forested land used for wildlife management. There will be no change to these conditions at the conclusion of this project.

Describe the proposed project and its programmatic and physical elements: This project arises from an Act of the Massachusetts Legislature (See Attachment No. 6; hereinafter the "Act"), and constitutes the resolution of a real estate title matter that must be cured by a Boundary Line Agreement and two reciprocal release Deeds between the Commonwealth and the proponents herein. The proponents are abutters to Fox Den Wildlife Park in Worthington, MA. An ENF is required because the project involves land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Constitution of the Commonwealth. There are no known environmental impacts and no physical elements on the ground. In fact, there will be no change to the Assessors' maps for either party. The project requires mutual agreement between the parties, certain documentation and the recording of said Boundary Line Agreement and reciprocal Deeds at the Hampshire County Registry of Deeds; the said Boundary Line Agreement and two Release Deeds are attached (see attachments 5.b. and 5.c.) as well as the pertinent, recorded Plan dated 10/24/1986, revised 2/25/1987 and further revised 3/9/1988 (attachment 5.a.).

*NOTE: The project description should summarize both the project's direct and indirect impacts (including construction period impacts) in terms of their magnitude, geographic extent, duration and frequency, and reversibility, as applicable. It should also discuss the infrastructure requirements of the project and the capacity of the municipal and/or regional infrastructure to sustain these requirements into the future.*

Describe the on-site project alternatives (and alternative off-site locations, if applicable), considered by the proponent, including at least one feasible alternative that is allowed under current zoning, and the reasons(s) that they were not selected as the preferred alternative:

\_\_\_N/A. The purpose is to clarify boundaries. There are no other legal alternatives to resolve the subject title issue and thus fulfillment of the Act.

*NOTE: The purpose of the alternatives analysis is to consider what effect changing the parameters and/or siting of a project, or components thereof, will have on the environment, keeping in mind that the objective of the MEPA review process is to avoid or minimize damage to the environment to the greatest extent feasible. Examples of alternative projects include alternative site locations, alternative site uses, and alternative site configurations.*

Summarize the mitigation measures proposed to offset the impacts of the preferred alternative:  
\_\_\_\_\_none required

If the project is proposed to be constructed in phases, please describe each phase:  
\_\_\_\_\_n/a\_\_\_\_\_

**AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN:**

Is the project within or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?

Yes (Specify \_\_\_\_\_)  
 No

if yes, does the ACEC have an approved Resource Management Plan? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No;  
If yes, describe how the project complies with this plan.

Will there be stormwater runoff or discharge to the designated ACEC? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_X\_ No;  
If yes, describe and assess the potential impacts of such stormwater runoff/discharge to the designated ACEC.