Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 12932 MEPA AnalystArthur Pugsley Phone: 617-626-1029

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: College of the Holy Cross Parking Garage				
Street: College Street				
Municipality: Worcester	Watershed: Middle River			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42-14-25 N Longitude: 71-48-36 W			
Estimated commencement date: Jan. 2003	Estimated completion date: November 200			
Approximate cost: \$7.1 million	Status of project design: 75 %complet			
Proponent: College of the Holy Cross				
Street: One College Street				
Municipality: Worcester	State: MA Zip Code: 01610-2395			
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Paul F. Avery				
Firm/Agency: Oak Engineers	Street: Brown's Wharf			
Municipality: Newburyport	State: MA Zip Code: 01950			
Phone: 978 465-9877 Fax: 9	78 465-2986 E-mail:			
	pavery@oakengineers.com			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?	_Yes X No			
Has any project on this site been filed with MEP				
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) req a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	uesting:			
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): \$7 million of financial assistance is being provided through Mass Development Finance Agency Revenue Bond				
Are you requesting coordinated review with any Yes(Specify	other federal, state, regional, or local agency?) X No			

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:

Site Plan Approval – Worcester Planning Board Order of Conditions – Worcester Conservation Commission Sewer Connection Permit – Worcester Sewerage Department

Sewer Connection Permit - Worcester Sewerage Department Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03): Land Rare Species ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ີ Water Wastewater X Transportation Eneray Air Solid & Hazardous Waste ACEC Regulations Historical & Archaeological Resources **Summary of Project Size** Existing Change Total State Permits & & Environmental Impacts **Approvals** LAND X Order of Conditions Total site acreage 2.5 Acres ☐ Superseding Order of Conditions New acres of land altered 0 ☐ Chapter 91 License Acres of impervious area 1.1 (0.9)401 Water Quality 0.2 Certification Square feet of new bordering n MHD or MDC Access vegetated wetlands alteration Permit Square feet of new other ☐ Water Management wetland alteration Act Permit New Source Approval Acres of new non-water DEP or MWRA dependent use of tidelands or Sewer Connection/ waterways Extension Permit **STRUCTURES** Other Permits Gross square footage (including Legislative ±152,000 ±152,000 Approvals) - Specify: Number of housing units 0 0 0 Maximum height (in feet) ±58 ±58 **TRANSPORTATION** Vehicle trips per day 0 0 0 Parking spaces 133 316 449 WATER/WASTEWATER Gallons/day (GPD) of water use 0 200 200 GPD water withdrawal 0 0 0 GPD wastewater generation/ 0 200 200 treatment Length of water/sewer mains 0 0.1 0.1 (in miles)

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the convers	ion	of public parkland or off	per Article 07 public natural
resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?			rei Article 91 public natural
☐Yes (Specify	_)	X No	
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, pres restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	erva	ation restriction, agriculti	ural preservation
☐Yes (Specify)	X No	
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Ha Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?			al Pools, Priority Sites of
☐Yes (Specify)	X No	
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of History (Specify If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction	oric)	and Archaeological Ass X No	ets of the Commonwealth?
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction resources?	or ar	ny listed or inventoried h	istoric or archaeological
Yes (Specify) X No	
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is th	e nr	niect in or adjacent to a	n Aron of Critical
Environmental Concern?			TATEA OF CITICAL
Yes (Specify)	X No	, (1) .
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description of both on-site and off-site alternative alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigat attach one additional page, if necessary.) The project consists of constructing a 443-space parking gar an existing 133-space paved surface lot at the College of the approximately 174 acres. The project site itself is an approx the area of the existing parking lot as well as the embankment stories of parking plus an attendant's office and restroom.	s ar on age Hol	nd the impacts associon measures for each alto with an adjacent 6-space by Cross. The overall cately 2.5-acre portion of the	ated with each ternative (You may e surface lot on the site of ampus area is the campus which includes
The project will is proposed in order to alleviate an existing project itself will not result in any additional vehicle trips or	park traf	cing shortage on the Hol Tic impacts on surround	ly Cross campus. The
The project site is not located in proximity to resource areas Order of Conditions will be required from the Worcester Contextends a protection zone to activities within 100 feet of stor Middle River.	sub nser	ject to the Wetlands Pro	otection Act. However, an
The preferred alternative being proposed provides the greate environmental impacts. Other alternatives considered were	st yi as fo	ield of additional parkin ollows:	g spaces with minimal

No-Build: During 2001, Holy Cross performed an overall assessment of their parking needs including an inventory of existing parking spaces. The results of this study concluded that the campus just meets the minimum parking requirements set forth by the City of Worcester. The College, however, has experienced a shortage of on-campus parking. The No-Build alternative would not mitigate this problem and result in potential impacts from off-campus parking on surrounding streets. Accordingly, this alternative was not considered viable.

Additional Surface Parking: The College considered several locations on campus for additional surface parking. However, these alternatives would have resulted in greater environmental impacts by paving areas which are currently undeveloped and resulted in a lesser net increase in available parking.

Alternate Location: The College considered an alternate location adjacent to playing fields east of the location being proposed. The alternate location was on a vegetated embankment and therefore would not have displaced existing surface parking. However, the alternate location would have resulted in environmental impacts to the embankment and adjacent playing fields. In addition, the topography in that location posed challenges in terms of both construction logistics and traffic circulation.

Mitigation measures include storm water management. Best Management Practices, including deep-sump catch basins and grit separators will be used to treat storm water in accordance with the DEP's Storm Water Management Policy. Rooftop runoff from the garage will be routed to storm drains, consistent with runoff from the existing parking lot at the site. Floor drains within the garage itself will be routed to the sanitary sewer. The potential increase in peak runoff will be mitigated by an underground detention structure.

The project itself will not generate additional vehicle trips and therefore will not create off-site traffic impacts.

The garage will be visible from Interstate 290 and surrounding streets. The College will be working closely with the project architect to ensure that the façade and overall aesthetics are compatible with prevailing architectural themes of the campus.