Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13157 MEPA Analyst: Nick ZAVO IAS Phone: 617-626-1030

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Bousquet Ski Area Snowmaking System Water Withdrawal Permitting						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Street: 101 Dan Fox Drive						
Municipality: Pittsfield	Watershed: Ho					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinate	· · — - · · · · · ·	Latitude: 42° 25' 10.69"N				
1806 41 654E 49 97 591N		Longitude: 73° 16' 41.78"W				
Estimated commencement date: 11/03		Estimated completion date: 3/03				
Approximate cost: \$10,000		Status of project design: 50 %complete				
Proponent: Bousquet Ski Area Attn: George Jervas						
Street: 101 Dan Fox Drive						
Municipality: Pittsfield	State: MA	Zip Code: 01201				
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
Brett W. Kamienski						
Firm/Agency: SK Design Group, Inc.	Street: 2 Fede					
Municipality: Pittsfield	State: MA	Zip Code: 01201				
Phone: (413) 443-3537 Fax	x: (413) 445-5376	E-mail: bkamienski@sk-				
		designgroup.com				
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?						
Has this project been filed with MEPA before? ☐Yes (EOEA No) ☑No						
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? ☐Yes (EOEA No) ☑No						
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 1 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11 a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	☐ Yes 1.09) ☐ Yes .11) ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No				
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres):						
Are you requesting coordinated review with 	any other federal, sta	te, regional, or local agency? ⊠No				
List Local or Federal Permits and Approval	s: Water Managemer	nt Act Permit, Notice of Intent &				

Which ENF or EIR review thresho	old(s) does th	e project me	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	Rare Specie Wastewater Air Regulations	· 📋	Transportati Solid & Haz Historical & Resources	ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits & Approvals
& Environmental Impacts	A A I I D			Order of Conditions
	181			Superseding Order of
Total site acreage		0		Conditions
New acres of land altered	+0 0	±2.1	±4.4	Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	±2.3	· 	14.4	Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		⊠ Water Management Act Permit □ New Source Approval
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	±46,518	±26,100	±72,618	(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Number of housing units	0	36	36	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Maximum height (in feet)	±35	0	±35	Will file a Notice of Intent and
TRANS	obtain Order of Conditions prior to any work onsite.			
Vehicle trips per day	100	0	100	See Watershed Management
Parking spaces	150	0	150	Act Permit Withdrawal Application Exhibit A.
WATER/WASTEWATER				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	182,950 November thru February	67,050	250,000±	
GPD water withdrawal	182,950 November thru February	67,050	250,000±	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	0	0	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the proresources to any purpose not in acco	rdance with Art	icle 97?)	⊠No	
Will it involve the release of any cons restriction, or watershed preservation	restriction?	tion, preserva	tion restriction ⊠No	i, agricultural preservation
☐Yes (Specify	-2-	,	MIAO	

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated H Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? ⊠Yes (See Exhibit X – Letter from Natural Heritage	
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does to in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic Yes (See Exhibit X – Letter from Massachusetts H	istoric and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? Historical Society) No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction resources?	n of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is	the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternative alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigattach one additional page, if necessary.)	ves and the impacts associated with each

Bousquet Ski Area is located on the south and north sides of Dan Fox Drive at the former a.) intersection with Tamarack Road in Pittsfield, Massachusetts. The site consists of a ski area and water slide park with associated ski slopes, chairlifts, water slides, and pools. A tavern/restaurant building, ski patrol house, and maintenance garage are the three structures located on the portion of property south of Dan Fox Drive. A proposed 36-unit development will be located the eastern portion of the ski area. The proposed development design does not exceed any MEPA thresholds and is not associated with the Water Management Act Permitting. A man-made pond, a pump house, and storage barn are located on the north side of Dan Fox Drive. The man-made pond is fed by a large wetland system to the west of the site (See USGS Locus Map - Figure #1) and during snowmaking operations, a groundwater well located on the south side of Dan Fox Drive just south of the maintenance garage. The well water is directed to the pond through The water from this pond is used for the a culvert that runs under Dan Fox Drive (See Site Plan). snowmaking activities for the ski area. A separate drinking water well for the ski area is located to the south of the parking lot to the east of the tavern building. There are several wetland systems that exist on the property. These wetland systems are associated with the man-made pond and the effluent and influent streams that are connected to the pond. The wetlands are flagged and field located (See Site Plan).

Bousquet Ski Area is surrounded by woodland to the east, south, and west on the portion of property south of Dan Fox Drive. The portion of the property on the north side of Dan Fox Drive is surrounded by woodland to the west, Berkshire West Athletic Club to the north, and Dan Fox Drive to the east and south.

b & c.) Bousquet Ski Area is presently seeking a Water Management Act Permit from the MDEP for water withdrawal from the water well and man-made pond. The work currently proposed at the Bousquet Ski Area site consists of a pump test of the well used for the snowmaking activities on the site. In addition, the well and pond will undergo a snow making simulation for 5 days during the winter when atmospheric conditions are appropriate. The pump test and snowmaking simulation will provide determination of any impact to sensitive receptors (ponds, streams, wetlands and surrounding wells). The pump test and simulation will be in accordance with the Water Management Act Pumping Test Design Guidelines For Non-Potable Wells. As part of the pump test, water levels from the man-made pond, the Bousquet production well (non-potable well), and surrounding wells will be measured to determine if the water draw down from the snowmaking activities will exceed the recovery capacity of the aquifer, as well as impact the drinking water wells of the neighboring properties. The water levels from the above mentioned sources will

be monitored at a pre-determined time frequency as set forth by the WMA Pump Test Guidelines. The planned pumping rate will be the maximum rate at which the snowmaking system can operate. Historic operation of the snowmaking system pumped approximately 120,000 gallons per hour or 2000 gallons per minute. Although, a large portion of this volume is returned to the pond via the return lines on the snowmaking system. The rate will be monitored continuously during the snowmaking simulation.

The alternatives for the project include No-Permit Alternative, which would put Bousquet Ski area in violation of the Water Management Act, and the Preferred Alternative, which is to obtain a Water Management Act Permit and operate in accordance with rules and regulations.

No Permit Alternative — The worst case scenario for the No Permit Alternative would be the shutdown of the Ski Area due to lack of man-made snow. The second scenario would be for the site to continue to withdraw groundwater and surface water for snowmaking activities during the months of November, December, January, and February without proper permitting from the MDEP. Under the second scenario, Bousquet Ski Area would be in violation of the Water Management Act and would be subject to substantial monetary fines. Both scenarios of the No Permit Alternative would be not economically feasible.

<u>The Preferred Alternative</u> – To conduct a pump test and snowmaking simulation to obtain a Water Management Act Permit for the withdrawal of 250,000 gallons per day through the months of November to February for snow making operations at Bousquet Ski Area.

<u>Alternative Sites</u> – The site is suitable for obtaining a Water Management Act Permit for a variety of reasons as follows;

- 1. The site has been used a ski area since the 1950's and a snowmaking system has been operated at the site since 1956:
- 2. A new Non-Potable Well was installed in 2001 for the purpose of providing water for snowmaking activities;
- 3. A surface water pond on the site has been utilized as a water source for the snowmaking activities at the site;
- 4. A drainage system has been implemented on the site that allows snowmelt to return to the surface water pond on site.