Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Offic	ce Use	Only	
Executive Office of	Envir	onmental A	ffairs
EOEA No.: 134 MEPA Analyst An Phone: 617-626-	nE	CANAC	lay

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Michael Gaspar	d Home	addition	, , ,		
Street: 356 Bay Lane	·				
Municipality: Centerville		Watershed: Cape Cod			
Ugiversal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: N 41° Longitude: 70°	38' 28"	s: ~	
Estimated commencement date: Jan. '06		Estimated completion date: June 106			
Approximate cost: \$80,000		Status of project design: complete %complete			
Proponent:Michael Gaspard					
Street 225 Gosnold St.					
Municipality: Hyannis		State: MA	Zip Code:	02601	
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies				
Robert Per	•	,			
Firm/Agency: Cape Cod Enginee		nStreet: 50 Le1	and Rđ		
Municipality: Brewster		State: MA	Zip Code:	02631	
Phone: 508-896-4861	Fax: 50	8-896-4861	E-mail: bob	perry@capecod.ne	
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes Yano Has this project been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) Yano Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) Yano					
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.0 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting: Yes Yes Yes Yes		XXNo XXNo XXNo XXNo	
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): none					
Are you requesting coordinated review Yes(Specify				local agency?	
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro Mass DEP Superceding Ord Town of Barnstable Build	er of C	onditions	servation	Order of Cond.	

which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):				
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Species XX Wetlands, Waterways, & Tide ☐ Wastewater ☐ Transportation ☐ Air ☐ Solid & Hazardous Waste ☐ Regulations ☐ Historical & Archaeological Resources			tion zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts		3		Approvals
	LAND			☑ Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	4.5 ac.			Superseding Order of
New acres of land altered		o.1 ac		Conditions ☐ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0.1	0		☐ 401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0	:	New Source Approval DEP or MWRA
STRI	JCTURES			Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
Gross square footage *	2.970	1,335	1 205*	Other Permits
Number of housing units	1	0	4,305*	(including Legislative Approvals) - Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	24	9	33	rippiovaloj Opcony.
TRANS	PORTATION			* All floors
Vehicle trips per day				w/in house plus garage
Parking spaces				
WATER/V	VASTEWATER			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	330	0 %	330 *	Design
GPD water withdrawal		-	****	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	330 😁	0	330	Design
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)				
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pronatural resources to any purpose not i Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any conserstriction, or watershed preservation Yes (Specify	n accordance w ervation restricti	ith Article 97?) [on, preservati	∑ No	

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of

Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? ☐Yes (Specify	Near, not within. Near, not within.
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Docisited in the State Register of Historic Place or the inven Commonwealth? Yes (Specify	tory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction archaeological resources?	ction of any listed or inventoried historic or
Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Environmental Concern?	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitiattach one additional page, if necessary.)	on should include (a) a description of the project ternatives and the impacts associated with each
• •	Please see attached.

PROJECT NARRATIVE (NA-1)

The area subject to this notice is land in Centerville, MA off of Bay Lane. Please see the locus maps for the exact location. The specific site is directly adjacent to an extensive marsh system that was formerly a cranberry growing land area now overgrown with a thick common reed community. The work site is a residential dwelling site with open lawn areas and semi-formal landscaping. The driveway is partially paved and otherwise stone surfaced. The old ditches within this wetland are still visible and appear on the USGS map for the area but are not rivers due to the straight line manmade appearance, historical agricultural function and low - to - nil flow characteristics. No flow was observed in the ditches during the very wet spring of 2005. Tidal flow is severely restricted from passing beneath Bay Lane from the Bumps River into the embayment south of locus. The 100 year coastal flood plain with base flood elev. = 11 surrounds and includes portions of the project area.

The Request for Departmental Action (Superceding Order of Conditions) for which the ENF is required relates heavily to the appellants declaration that the project site lies within a Riverfront Area. As mentioned above, the old, straight-line irrigation ditches were not found to be rivers by this office nor by the Barnstable Conservation Commission due to the uniform shape, former agricultural function and no observed flow during the spring of 2005. The larger water body to the south was not found to be a river by the Barnstable Conservation Commission nor by this office during the formulation and review of the Notice of Intent primarily due to shape and flow characteristics. Due to the appellant's declaration that the subject waterbody is a river we undertook a study of the mean high water line along the shore along the property boundary with this main waterbody that more resembles an embayment or pond. Rather than debate the identity of the resource area that may or may not have relevant jurisdiction to the project area, we conclude that the presumed 200 ft. Riverfront Area (RA) does not intersect with the land area proposed for the dwelling addition construction. The proposed mitigation program will lie within the RA but the proposed mitigation activity is exempt from Rivers Act performance standards: planting of native shrubs in an existing lawn area greater than 50 ft. from the riverbank (MHW). The presumed RA is delineated on the site plan and stems from the observed MHW line of the embayment.

The bog and ditch wetland is distinctly defined and also incorporates a minor coastal bank (below the BFE) formation along the marsh edge on the lawn. The general land area of this neighborhood portion includes what may be considered discontinuous coastal bank segments nearer to the dwelling due to the past alterations relating to the driveway and house construction. The primary resource areas of note are the expansive, phragmites — populated bog (BVW) area to the south and west, the 100 year flood plain and the minor coastal bank near the existing lawn edge. A series of flagged stations, 1 thru 7, delineate the edge of the top of the bank of a small edge ditch and the minor coastal bank adjacent to and within the existing lawn area. With exception of the flood plain and any perceived, discontinuous coastal bank segments, the bog and the minor coastal bank represents the closest clearly defined wetland resources to the dwelling.

The proposed project involves work on the dwelling.

The Proposed Project.

The specific work on the dwelling is listed on the plan and involves minimum excavation. The excavation is required for post support footings for the porch and the added deck supports. The support locations are shown on the plan. A short foundation wall is proposed on the driveway side of the existing garage. Minimal equipment is needed and, if necessary the post supports on the work limit side of the project can be dug by hand. The bulk of the project-related activity occurring at the site will be foot traffic and related construction efforts around the building. Minimal vegetation will be disturbed in the course of the activity. All areas disturbed shall be restored to a pre-construction condition. An approximate 170 s.f. aluminum framed greenhouse adjacent to the existing dwelling is proposed for removal with the resulting land area restored with native shrubs and ground cover.

A work limit is shown on the plan. The work limit is designated and established with a silt fence or other guard as dictated by the Conservation Commission. We propose a properly dug in, staked, woven vinyl sheet silt fence. Straw bales are a suggested buttress for the fabric.

Our conclusion is that with work limits in place and properly maintained, the work proposed at the property as outlined in this notice will have no adverse impact upon the relevant wetland interests. Alternatives discussion follows:

- Alternatives discussion is limited to the prospect of adding to the existing dwelling in a practical
 manner. Upland is limited on the lot, restricting the alteration of the dwelling to the area of the
 dwelling itself as well as the subject lot.
- Alternatives considered are 'substantially equivalent economic alternatives' involving approximately
 equal floor area improvement for the existing dwelling.
- 1. Expand the dwelling footprint to gain the additional space. Primary impact would be the direct disruption and occupation of land area within the wetland buffer zone. Construction impact will be outward of the newly occupied footprint further expanding the temporary ground alteration. The consequential impact would be a loss of buffer zone ground area outward from the dwelling. Building addition portions within the flood zone area of the lot will require post supports or other elevated type of foundation to be elevated sufficiently to become habitable space.
- 2. Expand the 'walkout' portions of the existing dwelling. No habitable space is permitted for residential dwellings below the base flood elevation within which lies the existing cellar walkout area. Additional space at this walkout level will not meet Mass. Building Code regulations for habitable space.
- 3. Add the second floor to the dwelling. This alternative offered a practical way of gaining useable space within the dwelling footprint without the accompanying permanent land alteration within the buffer. The associated deck and porch supports are of a minimum size, proposed within currently altered land areas. The alternative results in a means of getting the older, non-code, habitable space out of the older, flood susceptible dwelling portions (walkout cellar) and replacing it on the proposed second floor.