Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Conservation Commission, MEPA Certificate; 401 WQC

| For Office Use Only |
|---|
| Executive Office of Environmental Affairs |
| MEPA Analyst Briony Angus Phone: 617-626-1029 |
| _ |

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

| Project Name: Cathodic Prote | ction Syste | m | | | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Street: Chestnut Street to Route | 146A | | | | |
| Municipality: Uxbridge, MA | Watershe | ed: Bla | nckstone | | |
| Universal Tranverse Mercator Cod | Latitude: | N 42.0 | 028250 | | |
| N 4656249.5 E 282612.97 | Longitude | e: W -7 | 71.625950 | | |
| Estimated commencement date: | Estimated | d comp | pletion date: Winter 2007 | | |
| Fall / Winter 2007 | | | | | |
| Approximate cost: \$125,000 | Status of | projec | ct design: 100 %complete | | |
| Proponent: Algonquin Gas Trans | smission, L | LC | | | |
| Street: 890 Winter Street | | | | | |
| Municipality: Waltham | State: MA | ١ | Zip Code: 02451 | | |
| Name of Contact Person From W | hom Copies | of this EN | F May | / Be Obtained: | |
| John Zimmer | | | | | |
| Firm/Agency: ENSR | Street: 95 | State | e Road | | |
| Municipality: Sagamore Beach | | | <u> </u> | Zip Code: 02562 | |
| | | | | | |
| Phone: 508-888-3900 x 226 | Fax: 508-8 | 88-6689 | E-ma | il: jzimmer@ensr.aecom.con | |
| Does this project meet or exceed a normal Has this project been filed with MEP. Yes (EOEA No. Has any project on this site been filed with MEP. Yes (EOEA No. Has any project on this site been filed with MEP. | nandatory EIF | R threshold s ⊠No) ⊠No before?) ⊠No | | | |
| Does this project meet or exceed a new Has this project been filed with MEP. Yes (EOEA No. Has any project on this site been filed) | nandatory E IF Yes A before? d with MEPA 11.05(7)) reque ICMR 11.09) Y CMR 11.11) | R threshold s No No No before? No esting: Yes No | | | |
| Does this project meet or exceed a meet and this project been filed with MEP. Yes (EOEA No. Has any project on this site been filed Yes (EOEA No. Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 fee 301) | nandatory EIF Yes A before? d with MEPA 11.05(7)) reque Y CMR 11.11) Y and transfer fr | R threshold s No No No before? No esting: (es No (e | (see 301 | t CMR 11.03)? | |
| Does this project meet or exceed a meet and the project been filed with MEP. Yes (EOEA No. Has any project on this site been filed Yes (EOEA No. Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Identify any financial assistance or later the project of the pro | n andatory E IF Yes A before? d with MEPA 11.05(7)) reque Yes CMR 11.09 Yes Yes | R threshold S No No Defore? No Sesting: Ses No | (see 301 | the Commonwealth, including the | |

| Which ENF or EIR review thres Land Water | nold(s) does tr ☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate | es 🔯 ' | | /aterways, & Tidelands |
|--|--|--------|-------------|---|
| Energy ACEC | ☐ Air ☐ Regulations | | Solid & Haz | cardous Waste Archaeological |
| Summary of Project Size | Existing | Change | Total | State Permits & |
| & Environmental Impacts | | | | Approvals |
| ı | _AND | | | ☑ Order of Conditions |
| Total site acreage | 5.45 | | | Superseding Order Conditions |
| New acres of land altered | | 0 | | Chapter 91 License |
| Acres of impervious area | 0 | 0 | 0 | │ |
| Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration | | 11,730 | | ☐ MHD or MDC Acco Permit |
| Square feet of new other wetland alteration | | 0 | | ☐ Water Managemer Act Permit |
| Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways | | 0 | | ☐ New Source Appro |
| STRI | JCTURES | | | ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection Extension Permit |
| Gross square footage | 0 | 0 | 0 | Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) — Speci |
| Number of housing units | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Maximum height (in feet) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TRANS | PORTATION | | | |
| Vehicle trips per day | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Parking spaces | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 |
| WAS | TEWATER | | | <u></u> |
| Gallons/day (GPD) of water use | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| GPD water withdrawal | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 |
| GPD wastewater generation/ treatment | N/A | N/A | N/A |] |
| Length of water/sewer mains (in miles) | N/A | N/A | N/A | 1 |

| CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public |
|---|
| natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? |
| ☐Yes (Specify: ☐No |
| Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation |
| restriction, or watershed preservation restriction? |
| ☐Yes (Specify) |
| |
| RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority |
| Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? |
| ⊠Yes (Specify: PH1470, PH1435, WH254) |
| PH 1196, PH 149, EH 849, & EH 574 |
| |
| HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or |
| district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of |
| the Commonwealth? |
| ☐Yes (Specify) |
| If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or |
| archaeological resources? |
| □Yes (Specify) |
| |
| AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical |
| Environmental Concern? |
| □Yes (Specify) ⊠No |
| |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

Algonquin Gas Transmission, LLC ("Algonquin") is proposing to install an approximately 3,168 liner-foot cathodic protection system in the vicinity of its existing underground natural gas pipelines located between Chestnut Street and Quaker Highway (Route 146A), in Uxbridge, Massachusetts. The proposed system will include a utility pole, an electric current rectifier mounted on the utility pole, and a factory packaged MATCOR linear anode system. The proposed system is to be located within Algonquin's existing permanent Right-Of-Way ("ROW") and connected to Algonquin's existing pipeline system (see Section VII).

Cathodic protection systems are necessary to prevent natural gas transmission pipelines from corroding. The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) has established specific levels of cathodic protection that pipeline operators are required to maintain on their systems. The primary regulations are set forth in Chapter 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 192, USDOT Regulations for "Transportation of Natural and other Gas by Pipeline," Subpart I "Requirements for Corrosion Control".

Cathodic protection systems are typically used to protect buried steel facilities from corrosion and periodically, these systems need to be replaced or supplemented. Recent monitoring of cathodic protection levels on Algonquin's pipeline system has shown that additional cathodic protection is required between Chestnut Street and Quaker Highway (Route 146A) to protect the existing pipelines. The proposed system has been designed to increase the cathodic protection levels on the pipeline system in this area and will maintain the pipelines in accordance with USDOT regulations.

The proposed cathodic protection system will be constructed in the vicinity of Algonquin's existing underground natural gas pipelines located between Chestnut Street and Quaker Highway (Route 146A) in Uxbridge, Massachusetts (see Section III, Figure 1). The site currently contains an existing 75-foot wide Algonquin ROW, and existing 24-inch and 30-inch diameter natural gas pipelines. Algonquin maintains

the pipeline ROW on a regular basis in an herbaceous/scrub shrub cover for operational and monitoring purposes.

Possible alternatives to the proposed project are limited because cathodic protection systems are the only means of providing increased cathodic protection. Siting of cathodic protection systems must include connection to the pipeline, a power source and proximity to the general location of where the increased protection is needed. Also, cathodic protection systems are generally wetland dependent facilities because of their need to be located in saturated conditions.

For the reasons cited below, Algonquin believes that the preferred alternative is the only feasible and prudent alternative that achieves the project objectives.

NO BUILD

The proposed cathodic protection system will provide significant benefit to the public by maintaining safe and reliable natural gas transmission to local markets. Algonquin's existing pipeline system must continue to remain reliable to meet demand, maintain uninterrupted service and remain in compliance with USDOT regulations. The No Build Alternative will not meet the project purpose of providing adequate cathodic protection on the pipeline system to meet USDOT regulations and ensure the continued safe operation of the pipelines. Therefore, the No Build Alternative is not a feasible option.

LOCALIZED CATHODIC PROTECTION SYSTEM WITHIN THE EXISTING ROW (PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE)

The preferred alternative involves installing an approximately 3,168-foot long cathodic protection system within the existing maintained ROW to achieve the project objective of increasing cathodic protection levels on the pipeline system to meet federal regulations. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission recognizes that siting of ancillary pipeline facilities within existing rights-of-way minimizes impacts to unaltered areas.

Constructing the cathodic protection system within the existing maintained ROW would significantly reduce impacts to adjacent forested areas. The conditions found within the ROW permit the system to operate in permanently saturated medium. The placement of the cathodic protection system in and near wetlands is an important design element associated with this type of facility. The cathodic protection system must be designed with low resistance to the ground to avoid high voltage gradients in surrounding soils. To achieve this affect, the cathodic protection system is usually located in or adjacent to wetland areas, where the ground has low electrical resistance because of the saturated soils.

The preferred alternative was determined to be superior to the No-Build alternative. The preferred alternative would increase cathodic protection levels where needed, which the No-Build Alternative does not. It also allows the installation of the cathodic protection system within an existing maintained ROW, which reduces resource area impacts, construction costs and time.

The open cut method of cathodic protection installation will temporarily impact bordering vegetated wetlands. The disturbed areas will be fully restored to pre-project conditions, following the installation of the cathodic protection system. Algonquin understands the sensitive nature of the project area and will require the contractor to use all appropriate measures to the impact on wetland system. Algonquin shall conduct the proposed work in accordance with their Erosion and Sedimentation Control-Best Management Practices Work Plan and standards for work within wetlands.