Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

ENF Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.:/_33.58
MEPA Analys Rick Bounge
Phone: 617-626-//30

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:						
FLYERS BOAT SHO	P + RENTAL, INC.					
Street: 131 A COMMERCIAL S						
Municipality: PROVINCETOWN	Watershed: PROVINCETOWN HARBOR					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude:					
	Longitude:					
Estimated commencement date: 10/04	Estimated completion date: 5/05					
Approximate cost:	Status of project design: /00 %complete					
Proponent: FRANCIS JOHN SANTOS						
Street: P.O. Box 561						
Municipality: PROVINCE TOWN	State: MA Zip Code: 02657					
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	of this ENF May Be Obtained:					
DAVID LAJOIE						
Firm/Agency: FELLO, TWC.	Street: P.O. Box 1366					
Municipality: ORLEANS	State: MA Zip Code: 02653					
Phone: 508-255-8141 Fax: 50	08-255-2954 E-mail: info@felcoenginedving					
X-103						
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?						
☐Yes ☐No Has this project been filed with MEPA before?						
	'es (EOEA No) XNo					
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	before?					
	es (EOEA No) ⊠No					
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reque	estina:					
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	∐Yes ⊠No					
a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09)	☐Yes ☑No					
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	☐Yes ☑No					
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	☐Yes					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including						
the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres):						
NO FINANCIAL ASSIS	STANCE					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?						
IXIYES(Specify CHAPTER 91 WATERWAYS) I IND						
ARMY CORP.						
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: WATERWAYS LICENCE, ARMY CORP GENERAL PROGRAMMATIC PERMIT						
CONT CHIVERAL PROZ	ARAMINIATIC PERMIT					

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

☐ Land ☐ Water	Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate		Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands			
Energy	wastewate Air	* H		ransportation Solid & Hazardous Waste		
ACEC	Regulations	s 📋		Archaeological		
	T		Resources			
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
	AND			☑ Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	16,782 =SF			☐ Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered		0				
Acres of impervious area	LESS THAN 1	0	LESS THANK	401 Water Quality Certification		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		MHD or MDC Access		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		☐ Water Management Act Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0	,	☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit		
STRU	ICTURES			Other Permits		
Gross square footage	4,100±	3100±	8200±	(including Legislative		
Number of housing units	3	0	8,200 [±] 3	Approvals) – Specify:		
Maximum height (in feet)						
TRANSF	PORTATION					
Vehicle trips per day	0	0	0			
Parking spaces	0	0	0			
WATER/W	ASTEWATE	.R				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	880	0	880			
GPD water withdrawal		0				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	<i>පි</i> පිට	0	පිපි උ			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)		0				
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the propresources to any purpose not in according Yes (Specify	dance with Artice ervation restriction restriction?	le 97?) [on, preservation	⊠No			
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site	include Estima	ited Habitat of	Rare Species	s, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of		
Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural C Yes (Specify	ommunities?		⊠No	·		

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district liste in the State Project of Historic Place on the include any structure, site or district liste
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth Yes (Specify
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern? [Yes (Specify) No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)
PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PAGE 3A PROJECT DESCRIPTION
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Francis J. Santos, 131A Commercial Street, Provincetown

(Also see Federal Consistency Compliance letter attached)

Project site is an existing operating marina and boat repair complex. The property abuts Provincetown Harbor, Good Templar Road, and three individual properties. The wetland resource areas include the harbor, land under the ocean, coastal beach, present mean high water, historic tidelands, and land subject to coastal storm flowage with flood zones V4 el. 15, V4 el. 13, and A2 el. 11.

The existing building complex consists of the marine store on the first floor and apartments on the second and third floors.

The project was conceived and designed to expand the existing concrete pile-supported pier approx. 3,100 S.F., to allow for increased work space for boat repair, use, and storage and also to improve the water-dependent use in the harbor area. A Phase II plan will be presented for a future expansion of the existing mooring field and floats.

The project design required coordination of the proposed enlarged pier with the existing concrete pier and other site restrictions, such as existing Chapter 91 License approvals, miscellaneous physical barriers and structures, and the existing developed portion of the site.

Only on-site alternatives were considered. Alternatives of size and location meant a reduced scope, which was contrary to the project purpose to expand the water dependent uses and benefits of an improved boat storage and repair operation. The expanded pier design conforms with the existing pier framework in order to allow for complete repair of the concrete pier, as necessary. The open-pile design reduces the potential environmental impacts.