Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

EnvironmentalNotification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: ノ**3353**

MEPA Analystic Andrea Dames

Phone: 617-626- 1028

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:					
Westdale Road Relocation					
Street: Off End of Dalton Road to Power Lin	e				
Municipality: Holbrook	Watershed: Cochato River				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude:				
	Longitude:				
Estimated commencement date: Dec. 2004					
Approximate cost: \$650,000.00	Status of project design: 100 %complete				
Proponent: Sprague Realty Trust					
Street: 50 Sprague Street					
Municipality: Hyde Park	State: MA Zip Code: 02136				
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	<u> </u>				
Matthew Watsky, Esq.	,				
Firm/Agency: Matthew Watsky, Attorney at	Street: 30 Eastbrook Road, Suite 301				
Law					
Municipality: Dedham	State: MA Zip Code: 02026				
Phone: 781 329-5009 Fax: 78	1 461-9068 E-mail: Watsky@att.net				
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes					
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?					
☐Yes (EOEA No) X No					
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?					
	∕es (EOEA No)				
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) A Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) A Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) A Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) The second in the se					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): <u>none</u>					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? XYes(SpecifyArmy Corps of Engineers(PGP Category II approved, August 5, 2004)) No					
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Plan commission approval under Wetlands Protection A					

subdivision approval; Conservation Commission Order of Conditions; Local DPW approval for sewer and water connections; and Army Corps of Engineers determination of Category II PGP approval. Project is awaiting DEP approval on Superseding Order and WQC.

☐ Land ☐ Water	☐ Rare Spec		Transporta	
☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	∐ Air ☐ Regulatior	ns [zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			X Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	39			Superseding Order of
New acres of land altered		5.5		Conditions Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0	1.71	1.71	☑ 401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		11,359		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration	-	0		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		New Source ApprovalX DEP or MWRASewer Connection/
STR	UCTURES			Extension Permit Other Permits
Gross square footage	0	17,280	17.280	(including Legislative
Number of housing units		12	12	Approvals) – Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)		35	35	
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day		48	48	
Parking spaces		48	48	
	VASTEWATE	R		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	5280	5,280	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	5,280	5,280	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	Water Main 1,330 l ft.;	Water Main 1,330 l ft.;	
		Sewer main 970 I ft.T	Sewer main 970 l ft.T	

x No

☐Yes (Specify_____

V r	Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
	□Yes (Specify) No
<u>F</u>	RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
and	Yes (Specify The site contains a recently reported Vernal Pool. The Conservation Commission identified reported the pool, and has approved the project.
<u>H</u> ir	HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? [Yes (Specify) [X]
if re	yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
	☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
A E	REAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical invironmental Concern?
	☐Yes (Specify) XNo
_	

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include **(a)** a description of the project site, **(b)** a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and **(c)** potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

This project is a modification of a subdivision road previously approved by the Holbrook Planning Board and the Conservation Commission over ten years ago. That previously approved design, which had received all required permits and approvals (including a Superseding Determination from DEP for the wetlands boundary), included limited fill of bordering vegetated wetlands and replication that were below MEPA thresholds. Subsequent to those approvals, while disputes with the Planning Board were being resolved, the Conservation Commission denied an extension of the earlier Order of Conditions. In the intervening time, the boundary of the regulated wetlands changed, the applicant believes due to a change in hydrology caused by the Town having constructed a sewer line through the wetlands on the project premises and along the upland edge of that wetlands. With the new boundary, the Commission required a new filing for construction of the road. With the new wetlands boundary having shifted the wetlands up gradient, the previously approved plan would result in far greater impacts to the presently delineated wetlands. The present design of the road has shifted construction upgradient as compared to the earlier approved design, to avoid the new areas of wetlands to the greatest extent practical. There is no alternative means of connecting the road other than going through this limited area of wetlands, and the Planning Board has required this connection.

The project was proposed to and approved by the Holbrook Conservation Commission as a limited access roadway crossing, pursuant to 310 CMR 10.53(3)(e). In preparation for that filing, to meet the requirement to show all reasonable alternatives have been considered, the Applicant met on numerous occasions with the Planning Board to evaluate alternatives. The current, proposed plan is the result of efforts to shift the roadway as far as practicable away from the wetlands and to narrow the actual constructed width of the roadway. Indeed – all of the wetlands impacts that would have resulted from the earlier design would be eliminated by this design. The Planning Board has accepted modifications in the alignment of the road, including reverse curves, which make possible the maximum amount of alignment shift and reduction of wetlands impact to the new wetlands. The Planning Board has agreed to reduce the width by eliminating the required construction a sidewalk on one side of the road, but for

public safety has required construction of a sidewalk on the other side.

Construction of the entire road is a mandated requirement of the Planning Board, which has actually required placement of a bond in the full amount for full construction as a condition of approval of a phased construction of a segment of the road. Stormwater management is being handled consistent with the current requirements of the Stormwater Management Guidelines, including deep sump catch basins and water quality swales. There is a current and valid 401 Water Quality Certification that was granted for the subdivision, which will be modified to for the design changes.

Although not required in the wetlands regulations, to mitigate the impacts, 11,787 sq ft of wetlands will be created to mitigate for the loss of 11,359 sq ft of vegetated wetlands. The area of replicated wetlands will be supplemented with an additional 2,768 sq ft of vegetated wetlands provided in the water quality swales.