Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13622 MEPA Analyscoliendre Buckley

Phone: 617-626-1044

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:						
TROUT FAMILY HOME						
Street: FORDHAM WAY						
Municipality: NEWBURY		Watershed: MERRIMAC RIVER				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: N42 47.6'				
		Longitude: W70 48.4'				
Estimated commencement date: FALL 2005		Estimated completion date: JULY 2006				
Approximate cost: 275,000		Status of project design: 75 %compl				
Proponent: HARRY TROUT						
Street: 36 FORDHAM WAY						
Municipality: NEWBURY		State: MA	Zip Code: (01951		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
BILL DECIE						
Firm/Agency:KAIROS ENVIRONME	Firm/Agency:KAIROS ENVIRONMENTAL		Street: P.O. BOX 1088			
Municipality: NEWBURYPORT		State: MA	Zip Code: (01950		
Phone: 978 388 6566	Fax: 978	3 388 3626	E-mail:kairo	sinc@msn.con		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes Yes Yes Yes (EOEA No) Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) Yes (EOEA No) Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting:						
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301C) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.11)	☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No		
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of ful NONE	transfer fr nding or la	om an agency of t nd area (in acres):	he Commonw	ealth, including		
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? XYes(Specify_DEP—WETLANDS)No						
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro	ovals: ORE	DER OF CONDITION	ONS: DEP #05	50-0785		

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	old(s) does th	ne project me	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):		
Land Water Energy ACEC	Wastewater Air		Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands Transportation Solid & Hazardous Waste Historical & Archaeological Resources			
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
Ĺ	AND			✓ Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	0.34			Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered		0.04		Conditions Chapter 91 License		
Acres of impervious area	0.03	0.04	0.07	☐ 401 Water Quality		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		2087		☐ Water Management Act Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		o		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit		
STRU	ICTURES			☑ Other Permits		
Gross square footage	634	2087	2721	(including Legislative		
Number of housing units	0	1	1	Approvals) - Specify: LOCAL BY-LAW		
Maximum height (in feet)	20	32	32	MOENT ION NO.		
TRANS	PORTATION					
Vehicle trips per day	4	0	4			
Parking spaces	2	0	2			
WATER/W	/ASTEWATI	ER	<u> </u>			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	300	300			
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	220	220			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A		N/A			
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the proresources to any purpose not in according Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any conserestriction, or watershed preservation Yes (Specify	dance with Arti ecity ervation restrict	cle 97?) [N o		

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of

Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? Yes (Specify) ⊠ No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventor Yes (Specify	Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or desiresources?	ruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
Yes (Specify) 🗖No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNITION OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL ENVIRONMEN	
Yes (Specify) 🗖No
description of both on-site and off-site alternatives ar potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for necessary.)	d the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if
Fordham Way on Plum Island in the Tow	o residential lots located to the west side of n of Newbury. The two lots have a combined area
	an area classified as "barrier beach" by Coastal
	tered to by the Department of Environmental
	on the improved street known as Fordham Way. an existing two car garage with an asphalt
	garage. To the south and west are existing single
family homes, with a vacant lot found to t	he north. A continuous band of single family homes
	the east side of Fordham Way. Further east of the
	tely 150 feet east of the property line of Lots 45 and
	he topography is comparatively level paralleling the
street, and along the south property line.	Elevations vary from the north property line to the
south with the front of the two lots at the	same approximate elevation as Fordham Way (22 at

The existing site vegetation is diversified. South and east of the proposed dwelling location is an upland lawn with landscaping; including coniferous shrubs and pine trees. To the north and west of the proposed dwelling, American beach grass is found in varying densities. On the east slope, there are two separate areas of a dense growth of Rosa rugosa. All vegetation to be effected by the construction process is to be removed and transplanted prior to any building [see Attach. C & D]

the north corner to 18 at the south). Forty feet west of Fordham, Lot 46 drops off sharply to elevation 8: eighty five feet west of Fordham Way. Basically, the rear of the two lots consists of a depressed area with fairly steep slopes to the east, west and south. The northern slope is gentler in topography as elevations increase onto the vacant lot 47, eventually reaching the mid-twenties. In July 2005, a determination was issued by FEMA that the parcels were

(b) Alternatives considered for the site were, as follows:

located in flood zone C [see Attachment B].

1) The "no build" alternative was not seriously considered. The owner wishes to improve the property which he purchased in 1986 for the purpose of eventually constructing a dwelling on the parcel. The project proposes the construction of a single family home on the two lots to be combined into one (presently taxed as separate lots). The proposed building will be constructed on pilings a minimum of three feet above existing grades in compliance with the Town of Newbury Plum Island by-law (PIOD) and existing zoning regulations. The new structure will be connected to the existing garage by means of a raised, covered walkway

which will allow the proponent the continued use of the garage.

- 2) There are <u>no off-site alternatives</u> for the project. Build able lots on Plum Island are extremely expensive, selling in the hundred of thousands; therefore, it is economically unfeasible for the proponent to consider construction elsewhere on the island.
- 3) On site alternatives consist of three possibilities: (i) expand existing garage: This alternative was dismissed for three reasons: (A) Per the Town's PIOD, an expansion of the garage would effectively necessitate its razing; including the removal of the foundation, floor, etc. The PIOD requires that, a project with a proposed work cost exceeding 50% requires a building to be placed on raised pilings and to meet all present building codes. This alternative would create extra expense to the owner and not significantly decrease site alterations; and, in fact could increase alterations due to the excavation necessary for foundation/floor removals. (B) By removal/razing, the applicant would lose the use of the existing "grandfathered" garage. (C) Expansion of the garage footprint north or west would result in the loss of several large pine trees
 - (ii) Downsize the proposed dwelling: The house has been designed such that the living quarters for the owner and wife shall be on the first floor with only guest bedrooms on the upper level. After several revisions by the architect, the ground footprint is proposed to be 1709 SF, not including deck or garage connector [Attach. E]. Additional downsizing will not significantly decrease the proposed alteration.
 - (iii) Alternative locations: No real alternative location exists on the property. Building conforms to all zoning setbacks.
- (c) Mitigation is proposed on the property. The project has been designed to comply with 310 CMR 10.28. During the construction process, snow or similar fencing shall completely enclose the work area. Access shall be from Fordham Way over an existing lawn area which is to be removed on the completion of construction, as stated in the Construction Schedule & Planting Scheme [Attach. C & D]. All existing vegetation to be effected by building construction is to be removed and transplanted (see Attach. D for details on mitigation).

