Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only				
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs				

EOEA No.: 13618
MEPA Analyst Nick Zavolas

Phone: 617-626- 1030

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Barnstable/Sand	dwich, Ro	oute 6A Res	urfacing		
Street: Barnstable, Rte. 6A Main Street; Sandwich, Rte. 6A, Old King's Highway					
Municipality: Barnstable and Sai	ndwich		Watershed:	Cape Cod	
Universal Tranverse Mercator C	oordinate	s:	Latitude: 4	41°42'04"N to 41	°44'56"N
Start X: 377000, Y: 4622720 Finish X 395100, Y: 4617150			Longitude:	70°15'39" W to 7	′0°28'47"W
Estimated commencement date	: Spring, 2	2006	Estimated of	completion date	: Fall, 2006
Approximate cost: \$2,500,000			Status of pr	oject design:	98 %complete
Proponent: Massachusetts High	way Depa	artment (Mas	ssHighway)		
Street: 10 Park Plaza, Room 42	60				
Municipality: Boston		State: MA		Zip Code: (02116
Name of Contact Person From			ENF May Be	Obtained:	
Robert W. Best, Jr., Environmen	ntal Analy	sist		1.00.00	
Firm/Agency: MassHighway		Street: 10 I	Park Plaza		
Municipality: Boston		State: MA		Zip Code:	02116
Phone: (617) 973-7434	Fax: (617) 973-8879		state ma us		
				, obert. beet, @mma	J. 1410.1410.
Does this project meet or exceed a	a mandatoi	rv EIR thresh	old (see 301 CMR	R 11.03)?	
Bood and project most of exceed t	□Y€			⊠No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?					
☐Yes (EOEA No) ☐No					
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? ☐Yes (EOEA No) ⊠No					
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CI	MR 11.05(7))		_	MN-	
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	0040140 44	Ye: ₀๑) □Ye:		⊠No ⊠No	
a Special Review Procedure? (see a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see				⊠No	
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.		∏Ye:		⊠No	
•		_		_	
Identify any financial assistance or					including the
agency name and the amount of f	unding or I	and area (in a	acres): <u>MassH</u>	<u>lighway – 100%</u>	
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?					
☐Yes(Specify) ⊠No					
Link Land on Foderal Demails and	Annrovala:	Monotivo Do	torminations s	of Applicability fo	r both the
List Local or Federal Permits and Barnstable and Sandwich sections			terriiriatior <u>is C</u>	or Applicability 10	DOUTUIE
Dairistable and Sandwich sections	o inte. U	<u>.</u> .			
Revised 10/99 Comment period	is limited. Fo	or information call	617-626-1020		

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):					
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☑ ACEC	☐ Rare Species ☐ Wastewater ☐ Air ☐ Regulations		 ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ☐ Transportation ☐ Solid & Hazardous Waste ☐ Historical & Archaeological Resources 		
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &	
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals	
	LAND			Order of Conditions	
Total site acreage	74.2 acres			Superseding Order of Conditions	
New acres of land altered		-0-		☐ Chapter 91 License	
Acres of impervious area	36.5 acres	-0-	36.5 acres	☐ 401 Water Quality Certification	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		Not Applicable (N/A)		MHD or MDC Access Permit	
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		N/A		│	
Acres of new non-water		N/A		DEP or MWRA	

Total site acreage	74.2 acres			Conditions
New acres of land altered		-0-		☐ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	36.5 acres	-0-	36.5 acres	☐ 401 Water Quality Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		Not Applicable (N/A)		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit ☐ Water Management
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		N/A		Act Permit New Source Approval
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		N/A		☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit ☐ Other Permits
STF	RUCTURES	S		(including Legislative
Gross square footage	N/A	N/A	N/A	Approvals) - Specify:
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A	N/A	N/A	
TRAN	SPORTAT	ION		
Vehicle trips per day	5,900- 11,400	-0-	5,900-11,400	
Parking spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A	
WATER	WASTEW	ATER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD water withdrawal	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A	N/A	N/A	

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the convers	sion (of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural
resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?		- Passa Passacra of Carlot Attack of Public Hattara
Yes (Specify	_)	⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, pres restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	serva	ition restriction, agricultural preservation
☐Yes (Specify	_)	⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Ha	abitat	of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of
Yes (Specify As stated in correspondence dated 2/10/05 and 3/28/05 (attach)	⊠No
Species Program (NHESP), they have determined that portions Estimated Habitat 408 (WH408) and Priority Habitat 1464 (PH 1 project, as currently proposed, will not result in a prohibited "barriers and hay bales are installed along specifically cited see the incorporated into the contract for this proposed project.	s of tl 464). take"	his project occur near or in close proximity to However, NHESP has also determined that this of any rare species provided that siltation
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does th	е рго	piect site include any structure, site or district listed
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Hist	toric a	and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
The project area is located within the boundaries of the Old K MassHighway's Cultural Resources Unit (CRU) will coordinat (MHC) in compliance with state Chapter 254 as applicable. A King's Highway Regional Historic District Commission for rev Yes (Specify	te its A cop riew a	review with the Mass. Historical Commission by of this ENF has been submitted to the Old and comment.
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction resources?	of an	y listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the Environmental Concern? Yes (Specify) Sandy Neck/Barnstable Harbor ACEC When the Sandy Neck/Barnstable Harbor ACEC was designate for a couple of spot locations in both Barnstable and Sandy	∐N d in '	lo 1978, the boundaries established crossed Route
6A at a couple of spot locations in both Barnstable and Sandw 11.03(11)(b)] tripped by this proposed project.	MCN.	This is the only MEPA threshold [301 CMR
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alter	sho	uld include (a) a description of the project ves and the impacts associated with each

alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The Massachusetts Highway Department is proposing to resurface sections of Route 6A in the Towns of Barnstable and Sandwich. In Barnstable, the proposed project begins at the Sandwich town line and proceeds easterly to the beginning of the town portion of Route 6A in Barnstable Village. After skipping over the town portion of Route 6A, the proposed project continues easterly to its end at the Yarmouth town line, a distance of 7.57 miles. In Sandwich, the proposed project begins at the Barnstable town line and proceeds westerly to its end at 1,000 feet east of Chipman Road, a distance of 25,000 feet, or 4.73 miles. The total length of this proposed project in both towns is 64,985 feet, or 12.3 miles. Please see the U.S.G.S. locus maps attached.

Route 6A is classified as a Rural Major Collector/Urban Minor Arterial roadway with two lanes and traffic volumes varying in 2002 from 5,900 vehicles per day Average Daily Traffic (ADT) at the Barnstable/Sandwich town line to 11,400 vehicles per day at the Barnstable/Yarmouth town line. The public right of way varies from 40 feet to 60 feet. The existing roadway is a maximum of 24 feet in width having generally no provisions for either pedestrian or bicycle accommodations. Overall, the existing pavement condition is poor with areas of rutting, cracking, potholes, and raveling evident.

In accordance with the Commonwealth's "Fix it First" policy, the basic purpose of the proposed

project is to improve the safety and serviceability of this roadway by repaving it with 2 inches of bituminous asphalt concrete from edge to edge. Other work items include placement of a variable depth leveling course of asphalt in some locations as required to eliminate the rutting of pavement sections; the placement of loam borrow and seeding or dense graded crushed stone to stabilize shoulders; and the application of new reflectorized pavement markings. No roadway widening or bridge work is proposed. All work will be done within the existing State Highway Layout (S.H.L.O.), predominately within the shoulders of the roadway. No work is proposed within any wetland resource areas. Some work will, of necessity, be done within the buffer zone at some locations, but hay bales and/or silt fence will be placed as directed by the Conservation Commissions and NHESP to eliminate erosion and prevent sediments or other materials from entering the resource areas.

Due to its very limited scope, this proposed project is not subject to the Department of Environmental Protection's (D.E.P.'s) Storm Water Management Policy. However, in compliance with the Commonwealth's "Communities First" policy and MassHighway's ongoing policy of working cooperatively with cities and towns to carry out its mission in an environmentally sensitive manner, M.H.D. worked with the towns involved to develop implementable drainage improvements that will significantly mitigate storm water runoff from Route 6A at a multitude of specific locations, while working within the narrow roadway layout and still maintaining the characteristics of the surrounding areas.

These Best Management Practices (B.M.P.'s) include the elimination of existing direct discharges of runoff to streams and wetlands areas, primarily through the installation of leaching-type catch basins. These leaching basins are designed to capture and infiltrate the "first flush" of storm water. This first flush, or approximately the first one-half inch of precipitation, constitutes 75% of all storm events and carries the bulk of pollutants found in storm water. Drainage sketches depicting these proposed improvements, along with a typical cross section of a leaching-type catch basin, are attached for informational purposes.

The project as proposed is the preferred alternative because it is the most minimally disruptive to the surrounding community and its characteristics. The "no build" alternative is not acceptable because of the deteriorating condition of the roadway where, at one time, it became nearly impassible due to severe potholing. A "bigger build" alternative is not acceptable to the community because it is thought this would significantly change the character of the area.

Relative to the timing of the proposed improvements, following receipt of a Negative Determination of Applicability from the Barnstable Conservation Commission dated 12/15/05, MassHighway was forced to carry out roadway repairs at various locations along Route 6A in Barnstable due to their severely deteriorated condition. Also, the town is proposing to install a new water main soon in the West Barnstable section of Route 6A. The full resurfacing of the Barnstable portion of this proposed project will therefore be held in abeyance for some time. In Sandwich, however, where DEP issued a Superseding Negative Determination of Applicability dated 4/22/05, the roadway resurfacing will be able to go forward as soon as MEPA clearance is received and funding is available.

As a point of information, MassHighway is also currently planning the same type of resurfacing work on a section of Route 6A in the Town of Yarmouth, from the Barnstable town line easterly to Weir Road, a distance of 2.09 miles. This proposed project has the same scope of work as the Barnstable and Sandwich sections and received a Negative Determination of Applicability from the Yarmouth Conservation Commission dated 6/6/05. No MEPA thresholds are tripped by the proposed project in Yarmouth.

Finally, this proposed project will serve to maintain access to important coastal areas along Cape Cod Bay. As such, the project was submitted for review to the Massachusetts Office of Coastal Zone Management (CZM). However, since no federal funds are being used or federal permits required for this project, no formal federal consistency review was found necessary (see attached letter dated 7/19/05).