Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs • MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

Fo	r Office Use Only
	ice of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: MEPA Anal Phone: 617-62	Daisan Llann

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Gateway Centre Redevelopment Project				
Street:: Coggeshall Street				
Municipality: New Bedford	Watershed: Buz	zzards Bay		
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 041° 39' 23.32" N			
N: 4613225 E: 190339798E	Longitude: 070° 55' 26.82" W			
Estimated commencement date: May 06	Estimated completion date: May 07			
Approximate cost: 25,000,000.00	Status of project design: 25 % complete			
Proponent: The Home Depot – Brian Leahy,	Senior Real Esta	ate Manager		
Street:: 15 Dan Road				
Municipality: Canton	State: MA	Zip Code: 02021		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:			
Shaun Kelly Firm/Agency: Vanasse & Associates, Inc.	Street: 40 N.F.	Durings Of Dr. Ot 044		
Municipality: Andover	State: MA	Business Ctr. Dr., Ste 314		
	8-688-6508	Zip Code: 01810		
Thome: 370-474-0000 Fax. 97	0-000-0000	E-mail: skelly@rdva.com		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ☑Yes ☐No				
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?		_		
Yes (EOEA No) No Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?				
	es (EOEA No)		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) Who a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Pyes No a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No				
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): Not Applicable				
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ☐Yes (Specify) ☒No				

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: City of New Bedford Planning Board site plan review, City of New Bedford Conservation Commission review, Zoning Board of Appeals (sign variance)

only of New Dealord Conservan	on commissic	ii icvicvv, 230	iiiig Doaid (of Appeals (sign variance)
Which ENF or EIR review thresh	nold(s) does th	e project me	et or exceed	d (see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land [☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC [☐	☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulations	r 🔯	Transportat Solid & Haz	ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
L Total site acreage	AND 15.50			☑ Order of Conditions☐ Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0		⊠ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	14.93	-1.13	13.80	☐ 401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	273,804	-71,930	201,874	(including Legislative Approvals) - Specify:
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A	ripprovato) opcony.
Maximum height (in feet)	Undetermined	N/A	36	
TRANSI	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	300ª	12,220	12,520	

Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	850	9,985	10,835	
GPD water withdrawal	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	850	9,000	9,850	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	

WATER/WASTEWATER

Undetermined

Parking spaces

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public

N/A

668

^aEstimated based on existing traffic activity at site.

natural resources to any purpose not in accordance v Yes (Specify	. —
Will it involve the release of any conservation restricti	
restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	ion, procervation restriction, agricultural preservation
Yes (Specify) 🖾 No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estim	nated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Commu	ınities?
Yes (Specify) 🛛 No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inv	Does the project site include any structure, site or district
Commonwealth?	Tomory of Frictions and Allohadological Addets of the
Yes (Specify: There are no State or Nat	tional Register listed properties located on, or
within the immediate vicinity, of the Project Site.	The Project Site comprises the Bennett
Manufacturing Historic Area (NBE.S), which is inc	cluded in the Inventory of Historic and
Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth. Lo	ocated on the Project Site are deteriorated
remnants of the Bennett Manufacturing Corporati	ion Mill (NBE.614), and the Columbia Spinning
relative to the historical/archaeological resources	ded in the MHC Inventory.) Additional information
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or dest archaeological resources?	
archaeological resources?	truction of any listed or inventoried historic or
archaeological resources? ☑ Yes (Specify: The Proposed Project invremnants of the Bennett Manufacturing Corporati	truction of any listed or inventoried historic or volves the demolition of the partially collapsed ion Mill buildings (NBE.614) and the Columbia
archaeological resources?	truction of any listed or inventoried historic or volves the demolition of the partially collapsed ion Mill buildings (NBE.614) and the Columbia
archaeological resources? ☑ Yes (Specify: The Proposed Project invremnants of the Bennett Manufacturing Corporations (NBE.167).)	truction of any listed or inventoried historic or volves the demolition of the partially collapsed ion Mill buildings (NBE.614) and the Columbia No
archaeological resources? ☑ Yes (Specify: The Proposed Project invremnants of the Bennett Manufacturing Corporati Spinning Company Mill building (NBE.167).) ☐ NAREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCER	truction of any listed or inventoried historic or volves the demolition of the partially collapsed ion Mill buildings (NBE.614) and the Columbia No
archaeological resources? \(\sum \) Yes (Specify: The Proposed Project inversements of the Bennett Manufacturing Corporations Spinning Company Mill building (NBE.167).) \(\sum \) AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERTION Environmental Concern?	volves the demolition of the partially collapsed ion Mill buildings (NBE.614) and the Columbia No RN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
archaeological resources? ☑ Yes (Specify: The Proposed Project invremnants of the Bennett Manufacturing Corporati Spinning Company Mill building (NBE.167).) ☐ NAREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCER	truction of any listed or inventoried historic or volves the demolition of the partially collapsed ion Mill buildings (NBE.614) and the Columbia No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The proposed project involves the development of an approximate 201,874 square foot (sf) retail center to be located along Coggeshall Street at its intersection with the Interstate 195 westbound ramps, in New Bedford, Massachusetts. The site contains approximately 15.5 acres and currently consists of vacant land and a number of vacant and occupied mill buildings in varying stages of demolition and disrepair. The proposed development entails the construction of approximately 201,874 sf of retail space, including a 104,886 sf Home Depot home improvement store, with a 27,988 sf enclosed garden center. The proposed development includes parking for 668 vehicles. The project will also include redevelopment of approximately half an acre of land along the Acushnet River for a landscaped pedestrian walkway and a waterfront park.

Primary access to the project site is proposed via a signalized driveway at the Interstate 195 westbound ramps and Coggeshall Street. As part of the development program, the existing unsignalized highway on-/off-ramp will be upgraded to provide a state of the art signalized gateway from the I-195 corridor, representing an enhancement over existing traffic operations.

Secondary access and egress for the plaza is proposed via a right-turn in/right-turn out only driveway onto Coggeshall Street west of the signalized driveway.

Alternatives considered for the proposed project include:

- No-Build condition
- 2. Preferred Build condition
- 3. Alternate Build condition

No-Build Condition: This alternative would retain the existing buildings on-site, with existing structures to remain in their current condition of varying stages of demolition and disrepair.

Preferred Build Condition: This alternative includes the demolition and removal of the existing buildings on-site and the redevelopment of the site with the proposed project.

Alternate Build Condition: The project proponent has considered and carefully examined the feasibility of reusing the existing buildings on-site. Some of the building are in such a serious state of disrepair that reuse could not be considered. Other buildings were examined for reuse, either in whole or in portions of the building facades. Due to the requirements for environmental remediation of soils underneath building foundations, asbestos removal, building loads required for the proposed uses and required traffic improvements, the reuse of the existing building was determined to be not feasible. However, in recognition of the historic significance of the remaining structures, the proponent has proposed to complete recordation records of the buildings under the guidelines of the Massachusetts Historical Commission as well as the erection of a building memorial monument to be located adjacent to the waterfront pathway on the site.

<u>LAND SECTION</u> – all proponents must fill out this section

_			
	Thea	ملمامطه	. / Dawsita
1.	111163	snoius	s / Permits

Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1)?
 Yes_X_No; if yes, specify each threshold:

II. Impacts and Permits

A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows:

	<u>Existing</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Total</u>		
Footprint of buildings	4.22	0.42	4.64		
Roadways, parking, and other paved areas	6.34	2.82	9.16		
Other altered areas (describe)	4.37	-4.37	0		
(former building and paved areas, impervious beneath shallow debris)					
Undeveloped areas	0	0	0		

- B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years? ____ Yes _X_ No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?
- C. Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use? ____ Yes_X_ No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest management plan:
- D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in