

ENF Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
 EOE No.: **14084**
 MEPA Analyst: **Rick Bourée**
 Phone: 617-626-**1130**

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Cranberry View Estates		
Street: Howland Road		
Municipality: Freetown	Watershed: Taunton	
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 41 47 42 N Longitude: 71 01 59 W	
Estimated commencement date: Sept., 2007	Estimated completion date: Sept., 2008	
Approximate cost: \$600,000	Status of project design: 90 %complete	
Proponent: King's Point Holdings, Inc.		
Street: P.O. Box 174		
Municipality: Prides Crossing	State: MA	Zip Code: 01965
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Todd M. Pilling, P.E.		
Firm/Agency: Pilling Engineering Group, Inc.	Street: 1135 Pearl Street	
Municipality: Brockton	State: MA	Zip Code: 02301
Phone: 1-508-580-1145	Fax: 1-508-580-2408	E-mail: pilling@comcast.net

- Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?
 Yes No
- Has this project been filed with MEPA before?
 Yes (EOEA No. _____) No
- Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?
 Yes (EOEA No. _____) No
- Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting:
- a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) Yes No
 - a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09) Yes No
 - a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No
 - a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No

Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): N/A

Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?
 Yes (Specify _____) No

- List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:
 Planning Board – Definitive Subdivision
 Conservation Commission – Notice of Intent
 Natural Heritage Endangered Species Program - MESA Review

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

- | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Land | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rare Species | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Wastewater | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Energy | <input type="checkbox"/> Air | <input type="checkbox"/> Solid & Hazardous Waste |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ACEC | <input type="checkbox"/> Regulations | <input type="checkbox"/> Historical & Archaeological Resources |

Summary of Project Size & Environmental Impacts	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits & Approvals
LAND				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order of Conditions <input type="checkbox"/> Superseding Order of Conditions <input type="checkbox"/> Chapter 91 License <input type="checkbox"/> 401 Water Quality Certification <input type="checkbox"/> MHD or MDC Access Permit <input type="checkbox"/> Water Management Act Permit <input type="checkbox"/> New Source Approval <input type="checkbox"/> DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/Extension Permit <input type="checkbox"/> Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) – Specify:
Total site acreage	29			
New acres of land altered		10.5		
Acres of impervious area	0	3.5	3.5	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		1980		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		
STRUCTURES				
Gross square footage	0	20,000	20,000	
Number of housing units	0	16	16	
Maximum height (in feet)	0	35	35	
TRANSPORTATION				
Vehicle trips per day	0	112	112	
Parking spaces	0	0	0	
WATER/WASTEWATER				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	7040	7040	
GPD water withdrawal	0	7040	7040	
GPD wastewater generation/treatment	0	7040	7040	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?

Yes (Specify _____) No

Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?

Yes (Specify _____) No

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?

X Yes (Specify Eastern Box Turtle) - No

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?

Yes (Specify _____) X No

If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?

Yes (Specify _____) No

AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?

Yes (Specify _____) X No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

A. Cranberry View Estates is a 16 lot subdivision on approximately 29 acres, being a portion of a 200 acre property owned by Kings Point Holdings, Inc. The subdivision is adjacent to a cranberry bog and evidence of bog usage is present. Several areas indicate gravel removal. A portion of the site is presently being harvested for tress. There are cart paths throughout the site, but mainly is forested. Approximately 15 years ago, this land was subdivided, but never constructed.

B. As this is a previously approved subdivision, these modifications are being done relative to the protection of the endangered species on the property. The new layout better protects the turtle nesting area. This design also utilizes the existing cranberry bog reservoir to mitigate stormwater rates and volumes. The alternative is on site drainage basins which would involve large quantities of tree and earth removal.

C. On site creation of deed restricted areas, on site improvement of turtle access to the existing potential nesting area. Off site reimbursement money for land previously sold to the Division of Fisheries & Wildlife.