Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only				
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs				
EOEA No.: 13097 MEPA Analyst Arthur Rugs				
MEPA Analyst Arthur Pugs ze Phone: 617-626-1029				

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Marina Bay Maintena	ance Dred	dging			
Street: 333 Victory Road					
Municipality: Quincy		Watershed: Dorchester Bay			
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42° 18' 02"N			
19 332963E 4684939N		Longitude: 71° 01' 35"W			
Estimated commencement: January, 2004		Estimated completion date: March, 2004			
Approximate cost: \$500,000		Status of project design: 60 %complete			
Proponent: Marinas USA, L.L.P.					
Street: 333 Victory Road				*:	
Municipality: Quincy		State: MA	Zip Code	: 02171	
Name of Contact Person From Who David Klinch, PWS	m Copies	s of this ENF May	Be Obtain	ed:	
Firm/Agency: ENSR		Street: 2 Technology Park Drive			
Municipality: Westford		State: MA	Zip Code		
Phone: 978/589-3000 x3528	Fax: 97	8-589-3100	E-mail: dl	klinch@ensr.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a man Has this project been filed with MEPA be Has any project on this site been filed with Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010	efore? with MEPA 05(7)) reque	Yes (EOEA No before? Yes (EOEA No esting:)	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CI a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)		∐Yes ∐Yes		⊠No ⊠No	
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): N/A.					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? [Yes(Specify					
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: <u>City of Quincy Order of Conditions (obtained),</u> MA DEP Chapter 91 Permit, MA DEP 401 WQC, USACE Category II PGP, MA CZM Federal Consistency Statement					

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	olu(s) does il	ie project me	01 01 00000	1 (See 301 CMR 11.03).
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Wastewater ☐ Transportation ☐ Air ☐ Solid & Hazard			ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	AND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	35.8 (all water)			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0		
Acres of impervious area	0	0	0	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		107,800 sf		☐ Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		o		☐ New Source Approval☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRI	JCTURES			☑ Other Permits
Gross square footage				(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Number of housing units				
Maximum height (in feet)				CZM Consistency Statement
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day				
Parking spaces				
WATER/V	VASTEWATE	R		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use				
GPD water withdrawal				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment				
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)				
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the processources to any purpose not in accommod linear Market Specify Will it involve the release of any construction, or watershed preservation The Conservation of the project single species of the project speci	rdance with Art ervation restric restriction? te include Estin Communities?	icle 97?) tion, preservat) nated Habitat	⊠No ion restriction ⊠No	, agricultural preservation

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Doe	es the project site include any structure, site or district listed
	f Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
☐Yes (Specify) 🔲 No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruct resources?	ction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
☐Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN:	ls the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	
Yes (Specify) ⊠No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

A. Project Site & Summary

The Marina Bay facility, located within Quincy Harbor, Massachusetts, is an existing 36+/- acre private 685 slip marina. The marina is protected by a 2,200 foot long breakwater, and existing water depths within the marina range from 3 to 12 feet. No maintenance dredging is known to have occurred at the marina in the past, and accumulation of sediment has impaired use of the marina for navigation and berthing within the marina (see attached figures). Marinas USA LLP is currently applying for all permits required to allow *maintenance* dredging of approximately 9,000 c.y. of sediment to restore adequate depth for berthing and navigation to those parts of the active marina which are currently impaired. This dredging will temporarily disturb approximately 107,800 s.f. (2.47 ac.) of Land Under the Ocean and Land Containing Shellfish to depths of up to 2 feet below existing ocean bottom. No new fill or structures are proposed as part of this project, and no expansion of capacity or services beyond those historically provided are proposed.

B. Alternatives Analysis and Temporary Project Impacts

The preferred alternative for this project (combination methodology) includes mechanical dredging of +/- 7,000 c.y. of sediment with offshore disposal, and hydraulic dredging of +/- 1,000 c.y. of sediment, onsite dewatering, with upland (landfill) disposal. This combination methodology is based upon in-place chemical analysis of sediment proposed to be dredged, as described in Section 4.0 of the attached report. Alternatives to this include a) mechanical dredging and open ocean disposal of all dredged material, b) hydraulic dredging, onsite dewatering, and upland (landfill) disposal of all dredged material, and c) a No-Action alternative. It is believed that the preferred alternative, which employs both hydraulic and mechanical dredging and disposal of dredged material at both open-ocean and upland (landfill) disposal sites based upon the chemical profile of the material is the least environmentally impactive and most efficient means of completing this project. Alternative c), No Action alternative, would limit the use of Marina Bay for its intended purpose and is unacceptable. Alternative b), involving bringing all dredged material to a landfill is not cost-efficient and provides no environmental benefit over the preferred alternative. Only that portion of dredged material deemed unsuitable for open-ocean disposal based on chemical characteristics is proposed to be brought to a local landfill under the preferred alternative. Alternative a), involving open-ocean disposal of all dredge material may not be viable because not all of the dredge material is expected to pass the toxicological testing criteria required by the U.S. Army Corps and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under Marine Protection Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 and Clean Water Act statutes. Should all material tested be deemed suitable for open-ocean disposal, this alternative will be pursued further. Under the preferred alternative, all material which passes biological and chemical testing will be disposed of at an open-ocean disposal site, such as the Massachusetts Bay Disposal Site (MBDS), while the remainder will be brought to a local landfill. Under the preferred alternative, approximately 107,800 s.f. of Land Under the Ocean and Land Containing Shellfish will be temporarily disturbed. No impacts to Salt Marsh or other wetlands are proposed as part of this project.

C. Potential On-Site and Off-Site Mitigation Measures

Measures proposed to reduce environmental impacts resulting from the proposed dredging activities are detailed in Section 4.3 of the attached report, and include installation of erosion control and sediment migration control measures such as hay bales and silt fence around upland dredge material handling areas, suspended siltation curtains around the dewatering fluid discharge point, and the use of settling basins and real time turbidity monitoring during fluid discharge to Marina Bay. No permanent impacts to wetland resource areas are proposed as part of this project, and no off-site mitigation options have been explored at this time.