Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affair	5
EOEA No.: / 3833 MEPA Analyst://o//y Johnson Phone: 617-626-1033	,

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Little Namskaket Cre	ek Salt M	larsh Restoration	Project		
Street: Skaket Beach Road					
Municipality: Orleans		Watershed: Cape Cod			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 41d 47' 25"			
E: 416,077 N: 4,626,771		Longitude: -70d 0' 36"			
Estimated commencement date: September '06		Estimated completion date: November 2006			
Approximate cost: \$150,000		Status of project design: 100% %complete			
Proponent: Town of Orleans					
Street: 19 School Road		State: MA	•		
Municipality: Orleans	Municipality: Orleans		Zip Code: 0)2653	
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained	d:	
William R. Hall, Jr., P.E., BCEE			****		
Firm/Agency: Stearns & Wheler, LL0	<u> </u>		Street: 1545 Iyannough Road – Route 132		
Municipality: Hyannis			Zip Code: 02601		
Phone: (508) 362-5680	Fax: (50	8) 362-5684	E-mail: wrhalljr@		
			stearnswhel	er.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a man Has this project been filed with MEPA b Has any project on this site been filed w	efore?	Yes Yes (EOEA No)	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 41.06) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	05(7)) requ :MR 11.09)			⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fu Office of Coastal Zone Management W	nding or la	and area (in acres):	<u>\$49,021</u>		
Are you requesting coordinated review Yes(Specify				ocal agency?	
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro Engineers PGP.	ovals: <u>Lo</u>	ocal Notice of Inter	nt; Permit pend	ding: Army Corps o	

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☑ ACEC	Rare Specture Rare Specture Rastewate Air Regulation	er	ransportat olid & Haz	/aterways, & Tidelands ion ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
Total site acreage	LAND			✓ Order of Conditions✓ Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0.05 (Direct, Temporary) 0.02 (Direct, Permanent)		⊠ Chapter 91 License ⊠ 401 Water Quality Certification
Acres of impervious area	<0.2	0	<0.2	MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		☐ Water Management Act Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		7.0 (Indirect, Permanent)		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		Extension Permit Other Permits (including Legislative
STR	UCTURES			Approvals) – Specify:
Gross square footage	140	525		
Number of housing units	0	0		
Maximum height (in feet)				
TRANS Vehicle trips per day	PORTATION			
Parking spaces			 	
WATER/	VASTEWATE	ER.		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use				
GPD water withdrawal				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment				
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)				
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the proresources to any purpose not in acco Yes (Specify	rdance with Ar	ticle 97?) ⊠	No	

restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? Yes
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern? Yes (Inner Cape Cod Bay ACEC)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (<i>You may attach one additional page, if necessary.</i>)
A. The proposed project site lies upstream of a culvert that flows beneath Skaket Beach Road into the Little Namskaket Creek salt marsh. This culvert is identified on the Cape Cod Atlas of Tidally

A. The proposed project site lies upstream of a culvert that flows beneath Skaket Beach Road into the Little Namskaket Creek salt marsh. This culvert is identified on the Cape Cod Atlas of Tidally Restricted Salt Marshes as Site OR-3. Both the downstream salt marsh and the upstream brackish wetlands are classified as ACEC, and are estimated habitat of rare wildlife (see attached figures). It is believed that the upstream wetland was isolated from the salt marsh sometime in the early 20th century, probably for agricultural purposes. The earthen berm defining the isolation became Namskaket Road, and later Skaket Beach Road, a town way. Increasing the tidal exchange through this culvert will result in restoration of approximately 7 acres of former salt marsh habitat.

The existing culvert itself consists largely of a 15-inch corrugated metal pipe (CMP) that crosses beneath Skaket Beach Road near the intersection with Gull Lane. It has experienced significant corrosion, and a section nearest the downstream end was recently replaced with 24-inch corrugated polyethylene pipe after the CMP collapsed. The Town's Highway Department has identified the repair/ replacement of the entire culvert as a high priority, and has recognized the significance of the structure in relation to the makeup of the upstream wetland.

B. Three alternatives are considered for this site: no action, replacing with a culvert of the same size as the existing culvert, and replacing the culvert with the proposed 4'x5' culvert.

If no action is taken, the existing culvert will continue to deteriorate, along with the roadway above the culvert. This will result in completely stopping the minimal amount of tidal flow that passes through currently. In addition, the road would deteriorate to such an extent that it would be impassable.

Replacing the culvert with a new culvert of the same size would prevent further deterioration of the pipe and roadway, but would not improve the tidal flow to the upstream marsh area.

The previous two alternatives are not feasible options. The culvert must be replaced, and the

installation of a 4'x5' culvert is seen as the most feasible alternative. A culvert of the same size as the existing culvert would be a lost opportunity to restore a seriously degraded salt marsh. In addition, the larger culvert will provide flood control for the upstream marsh area by means of the proposed tide gate. The tide gate is proposed to protect the low-lying properties surrounding the upstream marsh during extreme tidal surges.

C. This project is intended as a wetlands restoration project. The increased size of the culvert will allow an increased volume of water to flow to the upstream māršh. As mentioned previously, this is a seriously degraded salt marsh with abundant phragmites. The increased culvert size is anticipated to restore sufficient tidal flow to approximately 7 acres of the landward marsh. This restoration counteracts the minimal changes that will be made to resource areas in the vicinity of the culvert, mostly associated with grading of the stream channel to provide a smoother flow to the culvert and placement of rip rap for erosion control.