Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental	Affairs

EOEA No.: 14447 MEPA Analyst: Poevi Pate 1 Phone: 617-626-1029

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Gloucester Inner Har	bor Dred	ging, North Chan	nel		
Street: Inner Harbor, North Channel					
Municipality: Gloucester		Watershed: North Coastal			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: N 42°36'52"			
N 15483318.461, E 1195679.923		Longitude: W 70°39'10"			
Estimated commencement date:		Estimated completion date:			
Approximate cost: \$5,200,000		Status of project design: 100 %complete			
Proponent: City of Gloucester & MA	Departm	ent of Conservati	ion and Recr	eation	
Street: 349 Lincoln Street Bldg.45			·		
Municipality: Hingham		State: MA	Zip Code: ()2043	
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained	<u>:</u>	
Ceasar C. Duarte Jr.					
Firm/Agency: CLE Engineering, Inc.		Street: 15 Cree	ek Road		
Municipality: Marion		State: MA_	Zip Code: 02738		
Phone: 508-748-0937	Fax: 508	3-748-1363	E-mail: cDuar	te@CLEEngineering.c	
Does this project meet or exceed a man	\	R threshold (see 301 es	CMR 11.03)?	⊠No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA b		es (EOEA No.	,	⊠No	
Has any project on this site been filed w	_	`)	MINO	
That any project on this are been med v		es (EOEA No)	⊠No	
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting: YesYesYesYesYes		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fur the MA Department of Conservation and	nding or la	nd area (in acres):	Funding ava	ailable through	
Are you requesting coordinated review Yes(Specify: MA DE PGP Catg II; MA DEP 401 Water Quality Management (CZM) Consistency States	P NOI; MA	A DEP Ch. 91 Wate tion (WQC) & MA	<u>erways; US De</u>	ept. of ACOE	

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:

- MA DEP Order of Conditions issued 02-06-09

 MA DEP Ch.91 Waterways Pending

 US Dept. of ACOE PGP Catg II Pending

 MA DEP 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) Pending

 MA Office of Coastal Zone Management (CZM) Consistency Statement Pending

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):					
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Species ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ☐ Wastewater ☐ Transportation ☐ Air ☐ Solid & Hazardous Waste ☐ Regulations ☐ Historical & Archaeological Resources				
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &	
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals	
	LAND			Order of Conditions	
Total site acreage				Superseding Order of Conditions	
New acres of land altered					
Acres of impervious area					
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration					
Square feet of new other wetland alteration					
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways					
STRI	JCTURES				
Gross square footage					
Number of housing units				Approvais) — Opecity.	
Maximum height (in feet)				MA DEP Order of Conditions	
TRANS	PORTATION			US Dept of ACOE PGP2 MA CZM Consistency Statement	
Vehicle trips per day					
Parking spaces					
WATER/V	VASTEWATE	R			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use					
GPD water withdrawal					
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment					
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)					

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? ☐ Yes (Specify
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)
(a.) The purpose of this project is to perform dredging of the Gloucester Inner Harbor – North Channel between the Federal Channel and the existing bulkheads / piers. The proposed project involves removing approximately 20,700 CY of dense clay near Jodrey State Pier, and approximately 51,200 CY from the North Berth area from the USCG station northward. The dredging will allow deeper drafts for commercial vessels to use these areas even during lower tidal stages of the Gloucester Inner Harbor - North Channel.
(b.) No action would result in the restriction of vessel size in the waterway between the Federal Channel and the existing shore/structures and impede the navigation of vessels. No action would have negative impacts on public safety and local waterborne commerce. Therefore the dredging alternative

- Channel and the existing shore/structures and impede the navigation of vessels. No action would have negative impacts on public safety and local waterborne commerce. Therefore the dredging alternative was found to be the only viable option. Multiple disposal options were considered by CLE engineering such as open water disposal, upland and beneficial reuse. For each case, the feasibility of the alternative was analyzed relative to the volume of dredged material, the availability of a suitable disposal site and the cost of disposal. With these guidelines in mind, Open Water disposal was deemed the most feasible option. Upland disposal is extremely expensive and requires a great deal of wasted resources; beneficial reuse is not feasible because the majority of the material is dense clay making it unavailable for beach nourishment.
- (c.) The following measures will be taken to avoid potential impacts to fish habitat, and shellfish spawning: No dredging or disposal will occur between February 15th thru July31st of any year.