## Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

## **Environmental Notification Form**

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13535 MEPA Analyst; Ann & Canaday Phone: 617-626-1035

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act. 301 CMR 11.00.

the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmenta	•				
Project Name: Dwelling and Seawall Reconstruction					
Street: 131 Edgewater Road					
Municipality: Hull	Watershed: Weymouth and Weir				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42:16:34.784N				
	Longitude: 70:52:40.296W				
Estimated commencement date: Aug. 2005	Estimated completion date: April 2006				
Approximate cost: \$550,000	Status of project design: 85 %complete				
Proponent: Patrick and Judy Drexel					
Street: 45 Otis Hill Road					
Municipality: Hingham	State: MA	Zip Code: 02043			
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Christina Gill					
Firm/Agency: Ocean and Coastal Consultar	t Street: 36 Cord	dage Park Circle, Suite 217			
Inc.					
Municipality: Plymouth	State: MA	Zip Code: 02360			
Phone: 508-830-1110 Fax: 5	08-830-1202	E-mail: cgill@ocean- coastal.com			
		- COCIONATO CONT			
	IR threshold (see 30 <sup>-</sup> Yes				
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?	Yes	1 CMR 11.03)? ⊠No			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?  Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	Yes  Yes (EOEA No   before?	1 CMR 11.03)? ⊠No ) ⊠No			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?  Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	Yes  Yes (EOEA No  A before?  Yes (EOEA No	1 CMR 11.03)? ⊠No ) ⊠No			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?  Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	Yes  Yes (EOEA No  A before?  Yes (EOEA No	1 CMR 11.03)? ⊠No ) ⊠No			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?  Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA  Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requal Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))  a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09)  a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	Yes (EOEA No A before? Yes (EOEA No Jesting: Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	I CMR 11.03)?  No			
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?  Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA  Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) required a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))  a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09)  a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11)  a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)  Identify any financial assistance or land transfer	Yes (EOEA No A before? Yes (EOEA No uesting:	I CMR 11.03)?  No			

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	old(s) does th	ne project me	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):
☐ Land ☐ ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC ☐	☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulations	r 📄	Transportat Solid & Haz Historical & Resources	ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts			Į.	Approvals
L	AND			○ Order of Conditions     ○ Superseding Order of
Total site acreage	0.29			Conditions
New acres of land altered				Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0.02	0.03	0.05	☐ 401 Water Quality  Certification
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		425		<ul><li>☐ Water Management</li><li>Act Permit</li><li>☐ New Source Approval</li></ul>
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	2100	1700	3800	(including Legislative Approvals) – Specify:
Number of housing units	1	0	1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Maximum height (in feet)	30	5	35	
TRANSI	PORTATION	1		
Vehicle trips per day				]
Parking spaces				
WATER/V	VASTEWAT	ER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use				
GPD water withdrawal				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment				
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)				
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pro- resources to any purpose not in acco	rdance with Art ervation restric restriction?	cicle 97? ) tion, preservati	⊠No	

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of

Yes (Specify) ⊠No
<b>HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES</b> : Does the project site include any structure, site or district liste in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth
Yes (Specify)
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?  ⊠Yes (Specify Weir River ACEC ) □No

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

The waterfront parcel located at 131 Edgewater Road in Hull, Massachusetts is approximately 0.3 acres in size and contains a single-family dwelling built prior to 1978. An existing vertical face, cast-in-place seawall (el. 8.5 feet NGVD) is in disrepair and protects about half of the property from floodwaters in Hull Bay. The Weir River ACEC boundary of mean high water (MHW) intersects the seawall and the adjacent coastal beach located west of the seawall. A coastal bank separates the seawall and the coastal beach from the upland portion of the property. Land subject to coastal storm flowage extends to elevation 11, which is located within 20 feet of Edgewater Road.

Several on-site alternatives for shoreline protection include seawall repair and reconstruction, as well as new protection for an eroding coastal bank on the west side of the property. Replacing the existing seawall and extending it across the entire property in its former footprint would have resulted in a vertical-faced structure requiring the loss of 1,500 square feet of beach, as a result of backfilling. Removing the existing seawall and replacing it with sloped riprap across the entire coastal bank would have resulted in the loss of upland property. The preferred alternative consists of replacing the existing seawall with a slope-face concrete gravity wall and constructing a sloped riprap along the eroding coastal bank. No off-site alternatives were considered.

Two on-site alternatives for the single family dwelling were considered. Remodeling the existing dwelling would leave the structure in violation of zoning and too close to the neighboring dwelling. Reconstructing a new dwelling would meet zoning and floodplain regulations. No off-site alternatives were considered.

Mitigation measures include: removal of a concrete ramp (30 square feet) from the coastal beach; and, enhancement and protection of an adjacent public right-of-way.