Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only				
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs				
EOEA No.: / 38/8 MEPA Analyst Ann Canaday Phone: 617-626-/035				

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:						
Swansea Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan						
Street: N/A						
Municipality: Swansea	Watershed: Mt. Hope/Narragansett Bay and Taunton River					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: TBD					
TBD	Longitude: TBD					
Estimated commencement date: May 2005	Estimated completion date: TBD					
Approximate cost: TBD	Status of projec	Status of project design: 0 %complete				
Proponent: Town of Swansea, Board of Sele	ctmen					
Street: 81 Main Street						
Municipality: Swansea	State: MA	Zip Code: 02777				
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Susan E. Guswa						
Firm/Agency: Tighe & Bond, Inc.	Street: 53 South	Street: 53 Southampton Road				
Municipality: Westfield	State: MA	Zip Code: 01085				
Phone: (413) 562-1600 Fax: (4	13) 562-5317	E-mail: seguswa@tighebond.com				
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory E Has this project been filed with MEPA before? Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA	□No) ⊠No					
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requal Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	Yes (EOEA No lesting:	No 7BB				
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): The Town received \$350,000 of State Revolving Fund (SRF) loan funding for Phase I and Phase II of this planning project. The Town anticipates applying for SRF loans for subsequent planning and construction portions of the project.						
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? [Xinc Yes (Specify U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, RI Dept. of Environmental Management) [Inc No Yes (Specify U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, RI Dept. of Environmental Management)]						
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: <u>It is not yet known what permits will be required as part of the construction for wastewater improvements. The required permits will be determined once the wastewater alternatives have been evaluated and selected in subsequent phases of this project.</u>						

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03): This project is in the planning phase. The extent of work and whether any threshold is met or exceeded is								
unknown at this time. The threshold that is most likely to be met is the wastewater threshold. The other								
potential thresholds may include Land; Rare Species; Wetlands, Waterways & Tidelands; Transportation; and								
Historical & Archaeological Resources. Land Rare Species Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands								
Land L		/aterways, & Tidelands						
Water	⊠ Wastewate □ Air	r 📙	ion					
L Energy	Solid & Hazardous Waste Historical & Archaeological							
ACEC		Archaeological						
Summary of Project Size	Change	Resources Total	State Permits &					
& Environmental Impacts	Existing	onango		Approvals				
	AND			Order of Conditions				
Total site acreage	TBD			Superseding Order of Conditions				
New acres of land altered		TBD		Chapter 91 License				
Acres of impervious area	TBD	TBD	TBD	401 Water Quality Certification				
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		TBD		☐ MHD or MDC AccessPermit☐ Water ManagementAct Permit				
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		TBD						
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		TBD		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit				
STRUCTURES Other P								
Gross square footage	TBD	TBD	TBD	(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:				
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Maximum height (in feet)	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD based on selected				
TRANS	PORTATION			<u>alternative(s).</u>				
Vehicle trips per day	TBD	TBD	TBD	_				
Parking spaces	TBD	TBD	TBD					
WATER/\								
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A	N/A	N/A					
GPD water withdrawal	N/A	N/A	N/A					
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	900,000*	1,200,000*	300,000*					
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	TBD	TBD					
P.	1	1	1	Ī				

^{*}Estimated existing and 20-year projected flows for high and moderate need areas. These areas are currently served primarily by on-site wastewater treatment systems, but off-site solutions are recommended. Refer to Executive Summary and Phase I Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan, Phase I for more information.

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conver	rsion c	of public parkland or other Article 97 public
natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Art		
Yes (Specify)	⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, pre restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	eserva	tion restriction, agricultural preservation
☐Yes (Specify	_)	⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated H	abitat	of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites
of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		_
Yes (Specify	_)	□No To be determined
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does to listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory		
Commonwealth?		J
Yes (Specify)	☐No To be determined
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction resources?		
Yes (Specify)	No To be determined
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is	the pro	oject in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?		\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
☐Yes (Specify)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alter	rnativ	es and the impacts associated with each
alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitiga	สแดก เ	neasures for each alternative (You may
attach one additional page, if necessary.)		

(a) Project Description – The Executive Summary from the Swansea Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan, Phase I (May 2006) is attached to this ENF as Appendix C and a CD-ROM containing the full report is attached to this ENF as Appendix D.

The scope for the CWMP for Swansea contains two phases: I) Needs Analysis and Alternatives and Site Identification and II) Recommended Plan. The objectives of Phase I are to assess the condition of the current wastewater infrastructure in the Town, determine location of need for alternatives to the current systems, identify alternative approaches, and screen potential in-town and regional locations for suitability of existing and new infrastructure to meet the needs. The result of the Phase I analysis is a short list of alternatives that will be further evaluated in Phase II.

Swansea does not have any centralized municipal wastewater infrastructure or connection to regional systems despite very dense population centers, poor soils, and high groundwater. Development in the Town is served by on-site septic tanks and leach fields. Evidence of failing systems and potential contamination of surface water bodies has prompted the Town to undertake this study.

Needs Analysis – Wastewater management needs for the entire Town were determined. The Town was divided into 38 study areas and evaluated relative to the following criteria: existing on-site wastewater disposal problems; lot size; soil permeability; depth to groundwater; and proximity to water resource areas (surface water bodies, wetlands, drinking water supplies). Each criterion was weighted based on potential impact to public health and the environment. Each lot was scored relative to the criteria, and an average score for each study area was determined. Study areas were classified as either high, moderate, or low need areas.

Wastewater Management Alternatives – Three categories of wastewater management alternatives were evaluated:

- 1. Decentralized (on-site, innovative/alternative and cluster) systems <10,000 gallons per day
- 2. Community (satellite and centralized) systems >10,000 gallons per day
- 3. Regional connection to adjoining communities

Continued use of decentralized systems is recommended for low need areas. High and moderate need areas require off-site wastewater alternatives either by community or regional systems. Total 20-year design flows from the high and moderate need areas are estimated to be 1.2 million gallons per day (mgd).

Short-Listed Community Treatment and Groundwater Discharge Sites - A multi-phased screening approach was used to identify the potential sites: 1) physical constraints; 2) environmental and land use constraints; and 3) field investigation. Four sites plus the Bushee Road Wellfield were identified in Swansea for potential wastewater treatment facilities and groundwater disposal systems as shown on the Recommended Wastewater Disposal Sites figure in Appendix C. The limited number of available sites is due to the poor soils and high groundwater that are ubiquitous throughout Swansea. The total capacity for the potential groundwater disposal sites is approximately 0.2 MGD (four sites) with another 0.5 MGD potentially available at the Bushee Road Wellfield.

The Bushee Road Wellfield has four active public supply wells for the Swansea Water District. Additional study is required to: 1) refine the capacity estimate; 2) determine travel time to the wells; 3) develop long-term water table management alternatives including continued well pumping, importing fill, or utilizing an innovative disposal technology such as wick wells. The SWD has indicated that they would consider abandoning the wells if they can successfully replace the supply with a desalinization facility. If the wells are no longer used for public water supply, it would be possible to use them to provide a source of blend water for the brine waste from the planned Swansea desalinization facility.

Short-Listed Regional Alternatives – Three regional facilities including the East Providence, RI WWTF, and Somerset, MA WWTF and Fall River WWTF were identified as favorable alternatives for regional solutions. Flow to the East Providence, RI WWTF would travel through Barrington, RI's collection system. Preliminary communications with the communities has occurred and will be ongoing as evaluation of the alternatives continues. In order to accommodate the flow from the high and moderate need areas, regional alternatives need to be part of the recommended plan for Swansea. The locations of the regional facilities are shown on the Recommended Wastewater Disposal Alternatives figure in Appendix C. An interbasin transfer from Mt. Hope/Narragansett Bay Watershed to the Taunton River Watershed may be triggered.

The Phase II CWMP will include evaluation of discharging raw wastewater into the host community's collection system or sending effluent from a new treatment plant in Swansea to existing discharge points in Somerset or Fall River. Sewer routing will be evaluated including in-street, cross-country and cross-Bay (Swansea to Fall River) alignments.

- **(b) On-site and off-site alternatives and impacts**: Project alternatives are discussed in the CWMP Phase I Report in Appendix D. Section 6 describes the project alternatives and potential impacts. Section 7 presents the groundwater discharge siting evaluation, which included screening for environmental impacts. Project impacts relative to the MEPA evaluation criteria will be determined in Phase II of the CWMP.
- (c) Mitigation measures: Since the project is in the planning phase, potential mitigation measures relative to the MEPA evaluation criteria have not yet been determined.