Commonwealth of Massachusetts **Executive Office of Environmental Affairs** ■ **MEPA Office**



Environmental Notification Form

	For Office Use Only			
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs				
	13030			

EOEA No.: 🗸

MEPA Analyst Deiedee Buckley Phone: 617-626-1044

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:				
Notch Road Landfill				
Street: Notch Road				
Municipality: Adams	Watershed: Deer Field			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coord	Latitude: 75°7'23.97" W			
	Longitude: 42°38'36.40" N			
	Estimated completion date: March, 2004			
Approximate cost:	Status of project design: 15 %comple			
Proponent: Specialty Minerals, Inc.				
Street: 260 Columbia Street			T	
Municipality: Adams		State: MA	Zip Code:	
Name of Contact Person From Who Mark White				
Firm/Agency: Environmental Partner	s Group	Street: 350 Linc	oln Street –	Suite 216
Municipality: Hingham		State: MA	Zip Code:	02043
Phone: 781-749-6771	Fax: 781	1-749-6607	E-mail:mnw	@envpartners.com
Does this project meet or exceed a mar	⊠\ efore?	⁄es		□No
Has any project on this site been filed w	ith MEPA	/es (EOEA No before? /es (EOEA No		⊠No □No
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.0 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting:		□No □No □No □No
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fu	transfer fi nding or la	rom an agency of t nd area (in acres):	the Common N/A	wealth, including
Are you requesting coordinated review Yes(Specify		ther federal, state,) 🗵	regional, or l No	local agency?
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro	ovals:			

Which ENF or EIR review three	shold(s) does t	the project me	eet or excee	d (see 301 CMR 11.03):		
☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Spec ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulation	cies 🔲 er 🔛	Wetlands, V Transporta Solid & Ha	s, Waterways, & Tidelands rtation Hazardous Waste Il & Archaeological		
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
Total site acreage	LAND			☐ Order of Conditions☐ Superseding Order of		
New acres of land altered		8.0±		Conditions		
Acres of impervious area				☐ Chapter 91 License☐ 401 Water Quality		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		N/A		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		N/A		☐ Water Management_ Act Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		N/A		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit		
STR	UCTURES			☑ Other Permits		
Gross square footage	N/A	N/A	N/A	(including Legislative		
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A	Approvals) – Specify: DEP:		
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A	N/A	N/A	Site Suitability		
TRANS	PORTATION			(BWP SW01) Landfill Facility Plan		
Vehicle trips per day				(BWP SW 27-?)		
Parking spaces						
WATER/\	NASTEWATER	₹				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A	N/A	N/A			
GPD water withdrawal	N/A	N/A	N/A			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A	N/A	N/A			
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the proresources to any purpose not in accor Yes (Specify	dance with Artic	ie 97?) on, preservation	☑No n restriction, a			
Yes (Specify	e include Fetima) 🛭 🖂	No Pare Species	Vornal Dada Driadi Cit		
Tare Species, or Exemplary Natural C	communities?	_		, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of I for NHESP response		

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?
☐Yes (Specify
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
□Yes (Specify) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Littlionnental Concerns
Yes (Specify) No Waiting for EOEA response
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)
Specialty Minerals (SMI) is a division of Minerals Technology, Inc. and is located west of
Route 8 in Adams, Massachusetts with a street address of 260 Columbia Avenue. SMI operates a
limestone quarrying and processing operation that produces a variety of calcium carbonate products used
in floor tiles, paint, paper, plastics, sealants and food-grade products.

Coproducts are generated as part of the limestone processing that are either recycled or, if markets are not available, are disposed of on-site as waste mineral solids. Two of these coproduct streams, the FS dust and the pond solids, are considered by the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to be solid waste under the Massachusetts Solid Waste Regulations (310 CMR 19.000) and therefore are handled and managed accordingly, including disposal in a DEP-permitted landfill facility. SMI has previously permitted two onsite minerals management areas, the Dollar Farm and Power Line areas, which are generally located in the middle of SMI's property. Because these two areas have either already or are close to reaching their capacity, SMI is proposing to construct a third minerals management area, the Notch Road area, that is contiguous to and adjoins the other two facilities.

The Notch Road minerals management area will be approximately 15-acres in area and is to be permitted, constructed and operated in accordance with DEP regulations for solid waste facilities. The combined quantity of coproducts to be placed into this area averages approximately 325 tons per day. The facility is to be used soley for SMI's operations and no materials other than SMI's coproducts will be placed in it. No public use or access to the project will be allowed. The landfill area will be outside established wetland and stream buffer areas.

The onsite alternatives considered for the project consist of a) not permitting another disposal facility, b) relying soley on recycling/beneficial reuse for disposal, or c) permitting a new facility. The offsite alternative consists of disposal at an offsite landfill. SMI is committed to recycling the coproducts to the greatest extent possible, but cannot be assured that adequate markets will be available to rely on this approach as a long-term management strategy. The existing onsite facilities are nearing capacity, and therefore SMI needs to permit another facility to maintain compliance with the Solid Waste Regulations. Offsite disposal was determined to be infeasible due to the significant hauling and disposal costs associated with this alternative.

Onsite disposal will have no impacts on groundwater or surface water resources, as demonstrated by monitoring of the existing disposal facilities. Nuisance conditions of dust and noise are controlled using mitigation measures that were implemented for the two existing disposal facilities and in accordance with a DEP-approved operations plan.