Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only	7
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs	1
EOEA No.:13263	ı
MEPA Analyst: ANNE CANAN	Ju
Phone: 617-626-	1/
1055	

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Caleb's Pond Dredging						
Street: Off the end of Caleb's Pond Road, Ch	nappaquiddick					
Municipality: Edgartown	Watershed: Islands					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 41°22'35"					
N 4581500 E 374300	Longitude: 70°30'12"					
Estimated commencement date: 12/1/2004	Estimated completion date: 2/1/2005					
Approximate cost: \$90,500.	Status of project design: 75%complete					
Proponent: Town of Edgartown						
Street: Attn: Harbormaster, P.O. Box 739						
Municipality: Edgartown	State: Mass. Zip Code: 02539					
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Burton B. Bryan						
Firm/Agency: Robert L. Fultz & Associates	Street: 74 Colonial Road					
Municipality: Marshfield	State: Mass. Zip Code: 02050					
Phone: 781-659-2003 Fax: 781-6	E-mail: bbbryan1@earthlink.net					
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes Yes Yes Yes (EOEA No) Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) Yes (EOEA No)						
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reques a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from the agency name and the amount of funding or land	☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No ☐Yes ☐No					
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? Yes(Specify						
Commission; U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Permit						

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

Land Water Energy ACEC	Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations	r 🗍	Transportat Solid & Haz	ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts		_		Approvals
Total site acreage New acres of land altered Acres of impervious area Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration Square feet of new other wetland alteration Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways STRU Gross square footage Number of housing units Maximum height (in feet) TRANS Vehicle trips per day Parking spaces	AND O O O N/A PORTATION N/A O VASTEWATE O O	1.29 ¹ 0 0 56,250 ¹ 0 R 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	Approvals Order of Conditions Superseding Order of Conditions Chapter 91 License 401 Water Quality Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit Water Management Act Permit New Source Approval DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit Other Permits (including Legislative Approvals) — Specify: 1"New" area includes only the coastal beaches in Disposal Areas A-D. It does not include the dredged area (92,000 s.f.) which has been previously dredged, or Disposal Area E (68,500 s.f.), previously used for disposal.
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pronatural resources to any purpose not Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any consrestriction, or watershed preservation	in accordance v ervation restrict	vith Article 97?)	> ⊠No	

Yes (Specify) 🖾 No	
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimate Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?	ted Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites o	f
Yes (Specify) 🖾 No	
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Do	pes the project site include any structure, site or district	
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inver Commonwealth?	entory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the	
Yes (Specify) 🖾 No	
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destru- archaeological resources?		
Yes (Specify)	
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN:	l: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical	
Environmental Concern?		
Yes (Specify) 🖾No	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description	ption should include (a) a description of the project sit	е,
(b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternation	latives and the impacts associated with each	

(b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The project involves dredging Caleb's Channel, an area at the mouth of Caleb's Pond on Chappaquiddick Island (see attached locus map). The channel leading to Caleb's Pond is one of a series of channels proposed for dredging in the Town's Dredging Master Plan dated March 25, 1996. This channel was dredged in 1938 to a width of 70 feet, and has been shoaling to the extent that it is now in great need of dredging. This waterway is used predominantly by shellfishermen to gain access to shellfish beds within Caleb's Pond.

The Town of Edgartown, through its Dredge Advisory Committee, proposes to correct the shoaling problem by dredging approximately 92,000 square feet of the waterway to a depth of four feet below mean low water. The area dredged will be 30 feet wide at the bottom for a distance of 1700 feet, and the volume of sediment removed will be approximately 8,400 cubic yards. It is proposed to use a hydraulic dredge and pump the sediments to beaches above mean low water on the Martha's Vineyard and Chappaquiddick shores, where disposal will serve as beach nourishment in five areas. Areas A, B, and C are located along the east shore of Edgartown Inner Harbor north of Caleb's Channel, Area D is south of Caleb's Channel, and Area E is located on the west shore of the Inner Harbor.

Dredging will be conducted in the winter, a time of minimum biological activity, and will avoid periods of fish runs and spawning activity.

Alternatives

- 1. No Build- No dredging is conducted. Considerable shoaling in the proposed project area will continue to make it difficult or impossible for vessels to enter Caleb's Pond. The waterway is used primarily by shellfishermen to access shellfish beds within the pond.
- 2. Dredging is conducted, spoils are dewatered and trucked to upland disposal site, possibly a landfill. The project would not then provide the multiple benefits of storm damage prevention and flood control that beach nourishment would. There is not a suitable site for dewatering in the vicinity of the project area. This alternative is more costly than the proposed alternative.