#12750 Dick Foster 417-626-1026

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF Environmental Notification Form

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Brodie Mountain Ski Resort						
Street: Williamstown Road (Route 7)						
Municipality: New Ashford		Watershed: Housatonic				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coord	dinates:	Latitude: 42°-35'-30"				
643200,4716800		Longitude: 73°-15'-15"				
Estimated commencement date: July, 2002		Estimated completion date: July, 2007				
Approximate cost: \$20,000,000		Status of project design: 25 %comple				
Proponent: Brodie Mountain Ski R	Resort					
Street: Route 7		3				
Municipality: New Ashford		State:		Zip Code:		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:						
Vincent P. Guntlow						
Firm/Agency: Guntlow & Associate	es		Street: 55 North Street			
Municipality: Williamstown		State:		Zip Code:	01267	
Phone: 413-458-2198	Fax: 41	3-458-2	712	E-mail:		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? ☐ Yes ☑ No Has this project been filed with MEPA before? ☐ Yes (EOEA No) ☑ No						
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) No						
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) Yes No a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)						
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): none						
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ☐Yes (Specify) ☒No						
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals:						
Local approval required from Planning Board under Planned Unit Resort District review for						

the initial phase of the project. The proposed master plan was previously approved by the Planning Board. The project will also require filing a Notice of Intent with the Conservation

Commission. No Federal permits are anticipated. Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03): ☐ Land ☐ Rare Species ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ☐ Water ☐ Wastewater ☐ Transportation ☐ Energy ☐ Air ☐ Solid & Hazardous Waste

Historical & Archaeological

Regulations

ACEC

	Resources				
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &	
& Environmental Impacts	1			Approvals	
	AND			Order of Conditions	
Total site acreage	505+/-			Superseding Order of Conditions	
New acres of land altered		11.2		☐ Chapter 91 License	
Acres of impervious area	6.7	8.9*	15.6	401 Water Quality Certification	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		☐ MHD or MDC Access Permit	
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		7390 SF outer riparian zone			
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		New Source Approval ■	
STRI	JCTURES			DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit	
Gross square footage	66,890	336,150	403,040		
Number of housing units	69**	263	332	DEP-Groundwater	
Maximum height (in feet)	40	50	50	discharge permit	
TRANS	DEP-Distribution				
Vehicle trips per day (peak)	1200	1750	2950	System Modifications	
Parking spaces	468	470	938		
WAS	TEWATER			*new impervious area includes 1.6 acres of new roadway under the PWED grant	
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	48,000***	58,000	106,000	**most existing units will be replaced. This number includes existing year round mobile homes.	
GPD water withdrawal	40,000****	66,000	106,000	***existing use based on current permitted well yields.	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	40,000	66,000	106,000	****Flow based on Title 5 flows. Existing snow making	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0.5	0.8	1.3	withdrawals not included.	

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public
natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
☐ Yes (Specify
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? Yes (Northern Spring Salamandar)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or
district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of
the Commonwealth?
☐Yes (Specify)
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify)
DRO IECT DESCRIPTION. The project description should include (a) a description of the
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the
project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated
with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative
(You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

- Brodie Mountain Resort is proposing a new residential development at the existing ski resort off of Old Route 7 in New Ashford, Massachusetts. The ski resort is located on approximately 500 acres with the vast majority of the property dedicated to the recreational uses. The lower (easterly) portion of the land has modest grades where the developed areas are located. The existing resort was primarily developed in the 1960's and 1970's. Existing structures include motel units, rental units, ski lodges and operational facilities. Also included within the developed area is a campground that includes year round trailers. A majority of these buildings will be razed and new buildings constructed. It is anticipated that full build out of the project will occur in two (2) or more phases over five (5) or more years. The ENF form has been completed for the full build out project. The residential development site was chosen primarily by the location at Brodie and the associated recreational uses. The project is located just off of Route 7 near the Lanesborough and New Ashford town lines. Typical dwellings will be two to three stories in height and contain two (2) bedrooms units. Building density will be less than one (1) unit per acre with the equivalent of approximately 332 units of 2 bedrooms. Residential construction will likely include a combination of whole ownership and timeshare units. Other improvements will include new or renovated recreational and resort facilities (lodge, clubhouse, small commercial area, water park, maintenance building, etc.). Site development will include construction of associated infrastructure utilities and surface improvements. Access within the resort will be improved with a reconstructed road and drainage system by the town under a PWED grant.
- b) Onsite alternatives have included constructing dwelling units at varying densities on the site. The project will have a density far less than allowed within the Planned Residential Unit Development. A greater density would require more land alteration than the applicant would like for the site and create more of a demand on onsite utilities than is preferred. Offsite alternatives are limited due to the project requirement of being located near the recreational amenities, availability to provide onsite utilities, and the availability of developable property. Project impacts are minimized at this site due to the current

developed nature of the location and the reconstruction of existing facilities.

c) The proposed project minimizes on-site and off-site impacts. The site is located adjacent to a major state highway within the county, water demand for the project will be provided by on-site wells, wastewater will be treated to below the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act before disposal or reuse, drainage will be treated and detained to predevelopment levels, and based on the type of use there should be negligible demand for town services. The site is owned by the applicant and there were no other alternative sites identified with the required characteristics and features.

LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section

1.

II.

Thresholds / Permits						
A. Does the project meet or exceed any review this						
X Yes No; if yes, specify each threshold: The review thresholds that will be met for full						
build-out are the creation of five or more acres	of impervious	area and alte	eration of 25 or			
more acres of land.						
Impacts and Permits						
A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed ch		project site, as Change	s follows: Total			
Footprint of buildings	1.5	3.7	5.2			
Roadways, parking, and other paved areas _	5.2	5.2	10.4			
Other altered areas (ex. ski slopes, new grading)	105	4.0	109			
Undeveloped areas	393.3	0	380.4			
B. Has any part of the project site been in Yes X No; if yes, how many acres of land converted to nonagricultural use?						
C. Is any part of the project site currently Yes X No; if yes, please describe current whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM	and proposed	forestry activiti	es and indicate			
D. Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes _X No; if yes, describe:						
E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or w No; if yes, does the project involve the release or n if yes, describe:	atershed prese	ervation restrict	tion?Yes_X_			
F. Does the project require approval of a new urbain an existing urban redevelopment project under Mescribe:	an redevelopme M.G.L.c.121A?	ent project or a Yes _X_	fundamental change _No; if yes,			
G. Does the project require approval of a new urba existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B?						
H. Describe the project's stormwater impacts and, to comply with the standards found in DEP's Storm include open and closed drainage systems utilithe stormwater discharge standards. Phasing areas during construction. All proposed work will be upgraded as appropriate to meet the sta	nwater Managerizing BMP's, a of the project will meet Police	ment Policy: T s required by will minimize	he project will the Policy to meet exposed disturbed			