Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 132 44 MEPA Analyst: B; 11 GA96 Phone: 617-626-1025

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00

Project Name: The Villages at Bufton Farm	
Street: Chace St.	
Municipality: Clinton	Watershed: Nashua River
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates	: Latitude: 42.4140 N
Zone 19 (XY) 280739, 4699182	Longitude: -71.6648 W
Estimated commencement date: Aug 1, 20	04 Estimated completion date: October 1, 2007
Approximate cost: \$12 Million Dollars	Status of project design: 100 %complete
Proponent: 2100 Corp	
Street: 2100 Lakeview Ave.	
Municipality: Dracut	State: MA Zip Code: 01826
Name of Contact Person From Whom Cop Mark O'Hara	ies of this ENF May Be Obtained:
Firm/Agency: O'Hara Engineering Serv., Ll	C Street: 200 Market Street, Unit B51
Municipality: Lowell	State: MA Zip Code: 01852-1876
Phone: (617) 312-4629 Fax: ((978) 441-1331 E-mail: mark.ohara@verizon.net
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory [Has this project been filed with MEPA before? [Has any project on this site been filed with ME	□Yes (EOEA No) ⊠No
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) re a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	·
Identify any financial assistance or land transfe the agency name and the amount of funding of	er from an agency of the Commonwealth, including r land area (in acres): none
Are you requesting coordinated review with an Yes(Specify	y other federal, state, regional, or local agency?) ⊠No
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Local: Order of Conditions (Issued: Appendix State: DEP Sewer Extension Permit (Applicat Federal: NPDES Storm-water Discharge (Appl	A) Flexible Development Special Permit (Pending ion to follow Planning Board approval) ication to follow Planning Board approval)

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Spec ☑ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulation	er 🗍	 ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands ☐ Transportation ☐ Solid & Hazardous Waste ☐ Historical & Archaeological Resources 	
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	36.60			Superseding Order of
New acres of land altered		9.9		Conditions Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0	3.7	3.7	☐ 401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		
STR	UCTURES			Extension Permit
Gross square footage	0	104,000	104,000	Other Permits
Number of housing units	0	64	64	(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	0	32	32	1
	PORTATION			ORDER OF CONDITIONS: Order of Conditions issued by
Vehicle trips per day	0	604	604	the Clinton Conservation Commission on February 18,
Parking spaces	0	128	128	2004 (see Appendix A)
	ALACTE ALATE		120	DEP Sewer Extension Permit:
	VASTEWATE			Permit application awaiting final report from utility pipeline
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	18,260	18,260	consultant and Final vote of the Clinton Planning Board.
GPD water withdrawal	0	18,260	18,260	Required removal of infill/infiltration has been
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	18,260	18,260	completed.
	Water = 0 Sewer = 0	Water = 0.61 Sewer = 0.65	Water = 0.61 Sewer = 0.65	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles) CONSERVATION LAND: Will the processources to any purpose not in accor Yes (Specify Vill it involve the release of any consestriction, or watershed preservation Yes (Specify	Sewer = 0 ject involve the dance with Artiervation restrict	Sewer = 0.65 conversion of cle 97? jon, preservation	Sewer = 0.65 public parklar	

Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
Yes (Specify) No (source: NHESP BIOMAP)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? ☐ Yes (Specify)
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify) ☐No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?
DPO JECT DECORIDATION TO

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

Description of project site: The property is located on the south east side of Chace St. in Clinton Massachusetts and contains 36.6 acres of land. The property includes open land and woodland composed of a mix of hardwoods, softwoods and a variety of upland and wetland communities. This is typical of properties of this size in the Clinton area and typical of New England in general, in that much of the land consists of previously cleared lands historically used for farming and grazing. The project is the first in the Town to use the newly adopted Flexible Development Zoning (special permit) that incorporates open space, units for those 55-and-over, traditional single family homes and income restricted housing. On the southern portion of the property, the project will include thirty-two (32) single family house lots and a new public road that will be approximately 2,300 feet in length. A private roadway and twenty (20) 55-and-over detached housing units as well as twelve (12) town-homes will be located on the northern portion of the property. The site design is intended to retain natural topography and tree cover and conserves sensitive resources to the greatest extent possible. The site abuts conservation land owned by the Town of Berlin. Preservation of existing trails has been provided, along with a gravel parking area that will be made available to the public for use of these trails. Approximately 75% of the property will be maintained as permanent open space. The site contains some wetland resource areas as shown on the attached plans (see appendix B and C). No rivers, ponds or other surface waters exist on the site. A pond is located just off the site to the north of the property. The development plan is based upon the concept of keeping development away from sensitive resources in order to ensure their protection. Measures will be taken to control erosion and sedimentation during construction. Property uses should not generate hazardous substances or involve discharges that would impact sensitive areas. The proposed residences will be serviced by public water and sewer, eliminating the risk of seepage and runoff from failed subsurface septic systems affecting the water quality in streams, ponds and ground water on and downgradient from the site. The proposed storm water management system is designed to reduce peak storm water runoff and to allow the infiltration of storm water as much as possible. The site's drainage system conforms to the Massachusetts DEP Storm Water Management Policy, March 1997. The project received a unanimous vote of the Clinton Conservation Commission to issue the Notice of Intent. (See appendix A: Order of Conditions).

Alternatives: Conventional Subdivision: As provided for in the Town of Clinton Zoning Bylaw, the site is capable of being developed as a conventional residential subdivision. In this scenario, impacts associated with the construction of the Flexible Development would still occur (traffic, schools, public services, loss of meadow/brushland/forest). The development plan would not concentrate development to the extent show on the Flexible Development plan. A conventional subdivision of 43 homes would be constructed on large lots, eliminating the opportunity for publicly accessible open space and would result in a greater impact on sensitive areas. Unique natural features conserved by the Flex plan would be affected.

Alternatives: No-Build: The no build scenario is the baseline by which all other development schemes are compared. In this instance the no-build scenario will <u>reduce</u> the amount of publicly accessible open space in the community. The land is now privately owned and is not open for public use. Public facilities and services would not be impacted by the no-build alternative, but the legal right of the landowner would be impinged upon as the land is capable of supporting the proposed use.