Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: 13747 MEPA Analyst Beiony Angus Phone: 617-626-

1016. 017-020-1029

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Dadayalana 1.55				
Project Name: Redevelopment of former Bradlees Shopping Plaza				
Street: Merrill Road				
Municipality: Pittsfield	Watershed: Hou	ısatonic		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42° 27			
	Longitude: 73° 1			
Estimated commencement date: Spring, 2005	Estimated completion date: Spring, 2006			
Approximate cost: \$1.8 million	Status of project design: 25%complete (site design)			
Proponent: Berkshire Development, LLC		G		
Street: 41 Taylor Street				
Municipality: Springfield	State: MA	Zip Code: 01103		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	of this ENF Mav	Be Obtained:		
David Thompson				
Firm/Agency: Coler & Colantonio, Inc.	Street: 55 Bobal	la Road		
Municipality: Holyoke	State: MA	Zip Code:		
Phone:413-313-0121 Fax: 413	3-313-0190	E-mail:dthompson@col-col.com		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reque a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Identify any financial assistance or land transfer fr	/es /es (EOEA No before? /es (EOEA No esting: ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes ☐Yes	□No □No □No □No □No □No □No □No □No		
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?				
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Spec. Permit for use-City Council; Spec. Permit for constr. in flood plain-Comm. Dev. Board; Site Plan Appr. for use-Comm. Dev. Board; Wetlands NOI; Sign permits; Building permits; Site demo. permit-DPW; Utility conn. permits- DPW; Mass. Highway Access Permit; NPDES-US EPA				

Which ENF or EIR review thres Land Water Energy ACEC	☐ Rare Spec ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulation	ies 🗍 er 🔯	Wetlands, V Transportat Solid & Haz	Vaterways, & Tidelands tion cardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	10.30			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0		Conditions Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	9.83	-0.26	9.57	│
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/
STR	UCTURES			Extension Permit Other Permits
Gross square footage	110,777	198	110,975	(including Legislative
Number of housing units	0	0	0	Approvals) – Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	20	8	28	
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	665	6,170	6,835	
Parking spaces	560	40	600	
	VASTEWATE			
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	9,392	7,623	17,015	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	9,392	7,623	17,015	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0.1	0	0.1	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the processources to any purpose not in according Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any conservation, or watershed preservation Yes (Specify	ervation restricti	on, preservation	⊠No	

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of

Yes (Specify)	⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the proje in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic an Yes (Specify)	id Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? ⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any resources?	listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
Yes (Specify)	□No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the projective invironmental Concern?	ect in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No

<u>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</u>: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

This project involves the renovation of an existing shopping center that was originally opened in the mid-1960's as a Bradlees Department Store. The site of this center is at the intersection of Routes 9 and 8, at the main entry point to the City from the east. The surrounding area is extensively developed with industrial and commercial uses. The Bradlees store closed approximately ten years ago. At approximately 88,400 s. f. of floor area, it represented the majority of retail space at the center. An additional retail space of approximately 13,200 s. f. was attached to the northern end of the Bradlees at a later date. Of this, approximately 5,000 s. f. is an active Papa Gino's pizza restaurant and the remainder is vacant. A freestanding 6,500 s. f. restaurant and 2,500 s. f. bank are also currently in operation on the site. The proposed renovation includes demolition of the 13,500 s. f. retail space at the north end of the Bradlees structure, plus about 11,500 s. f. of the Bradlees building shell; renovation of the remaining Bradlees structure into 3 new retail facilities; the addition of 15,000 s. f. of new retail space attached to the north end of the renovated Bradlees shell; and the construction of a new 3,900 s. f. freestanding structure. The existing freestanding restaurant and bank will remain. The existing parking lot and site utilities will be substantially reconstructed, and the main entrance to the new center will be signalized. A detailed traffic impact report has been prepared in cooperation with the Massachusetts Highway Department District 1 office, and is included with this filing. This shopping center is a prominent feature and currently an eyesore to those entering the city from the east and city officials are anxious that the renovations go forward for this reason. There are no off-site alternatives to this project.