Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office



Environmental NF Notification Form

	ffice Use Only
Executive Office	of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: MEPA Analyst Phone: 617-626-	3733 111 829E

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

		J				
Project Name: Mill Valley Farms						
Street: Fairway Drive						
Municipality: Dartmouth	Watershed: Buzzards Bay					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coord	Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 41.611° N			
N 4608770 E 335052		Longitude: 70.986° W				
Estimated commencement date: 3/2006		Estimated completion date: 12/2013				
Approximate cost: \$20 million		Status of project design: 100 %complete				
Proponent: Markland Real Estate De	evelopme	nt, LLC				
Street: 331 Union Street						
Municipality: New Bedford		State: MA	Zip Code: 02740			
Name of Contact Person From Who Wagner	m Copies	of this ENF May	y Be Obtaine	d: Christopher		
Firm/Agency: Carr Research Labora	tory, Inc.	Street: 251 We	Street: 251 West Central Street, Ste. D			
Municipality: Natick		State: MA	Zip Code: (01760		
Phone: 508-651-7027	Fax: 508	3-647-4737	E-mail: chris	s@carr-research		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes No Has this project been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) No Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) No						
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.0 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting:		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No		
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fur		- -		ealth, including		
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ☐Yes(Specify) ☑No						
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro Order of Conditions and 401 Water Qua		ication pending				

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

⊠ Land ☐ Water	Rare Spec			Vaterways, & Tidelands		
Energy	☐ Wastewate	,	Transportat	tion zardous Waste		
ACEČ ACEČ	Regulation	s 📋		Archaeological		
			Resources			
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
	LAND			Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	22.922			Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered		17.282		Chapter 91 License		
Acres of impervious area	0.0	5.72	5.72	☑ 401 Water Quality		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		3806		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		3814	i	☐ Water Management Act Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0.0		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit		
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits		
Gross square footage	0	129,881	129,881	(including Legislative		
Number of housing units	0	58	58	Approvals) – Specify:		
Maximum height (in feet)	0	35	35	Note: Approvals pending		
TRANS	PORTATION			Note. Approvais pending		
Vehicle trips per day	1558	68	1626			
Parking spaces	0	28	28			
WATER/W	VASTEWATE	R				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	19,140	19,140			
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	19,140	19,140			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	Water 0.80 Sewer 0.43	0.0	Water 0.80 Sewer 0.43			
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the proresources to any purpose not in accor	ject involve the dance with Artic	de 97?	public parklar ⊠No	nd or other Article 97 public natura		
Will it involve the release of any conse	ervation restriction			agricultural preservation		
restriction, or watershed preservation		. , ,	71Nia			
Yes (Specify	 		₫No			
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site	e include Estima	ated Habitat of	Rare Species	s, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of		
Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural C Yes (Specify	Communities?	١		. ,		
		<i>J</i>	⊠No			

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOL	JRCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed
in the State Register of Historic Place or the Yes (Specify	Inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwoolth?
If yes, does the project involve any demolition resources?	n or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
☐Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL C Environmental Concern?	CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
☐Yes (Specify) 🔲 No
∟Yes (Specify)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The site in question has several existing condominium residences in an adjacent development; the applicant has submitted a Notice of Intent to the conservation commission of Dartmouth detailing a proposal for a phased construction of an additional 58 condominium units. Several areas of wetlands exist on the site, and the Paskamansett River bounds the site to the west. Please see the enclosed plans showing existing conditions for the locations of all wetland flags and the mean annual high water line of the river.

Among the proposals for the project is a wetland crossing and other associated wetland filling along an old cart path, totaling 3,806 square feet. The purpose of the crossing would be to access a large parcel of upland area on the other side, where another phase of the real estate development would occur. The cart path would become the access road to and from the parcel. Additionally, filling of a non-jurisdictional isolated vegetated wetland of 3,814 square feet is proposed. Mitigation is proposed which will include wetland replication of 4,035 square feet, a box culvert and catch basins for drainage and stormwater management, and haybales and silt fencing. The enclosed plans provide design specifications for all aspects and phases of the project.

It is the best professional judgment of Carr Research Laboratory, Inc. that no practicable alternatives exist for the proposed wetland crossing on this site. The property owned by the applicant does not have any upland access to the area on the other side of the wetlands. The only upland access to this area is from an adjacent parcel of land which is not owned by the applicant. The project has been designed to minimize the amount of wetland filling needed to cross the wetland. In addition, the proposed mitigation would result in a replication area greater than that of the proposed wetland filling. The road has also been designed to minimize any wetland filling. It is our best judgment that no change or further reduction in alterations would allow the project to meet its purpose.