Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only	
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs	
EOEA No. / 3435	
MEPA AND SLING EGINGTO	e î
MEPA And Stroking Egling To Phone: 617-626-10 24	"

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name:			
Planned Unit Residential Development know	vn as "Berkshire	Country Meadows"	
Street: North Street			
Municipality: Pittsfield	Watershed: Housatonic		
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: -73.24549		
N4705205.266, E644196.075 (Zone 18)	Longitude: 42.48577		
Estimated commencement date: April 2005	Estimated completion date: April 2008		
Approximate cost: \$13,500,000.00	Status of project design: 100 %complete		
Proponent: Kowalczyk Development Corp			
Street: 130 Raymond Drive			
Municipality: Dalton	State: MA	Zip Code: 01226	
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies	of this ENF May	/ Be Obtained:	
Robert B. Tynan			
Firm/Agency: White Engineering, Inc.	Street: 55 South Merriam Street		
Municipality: Pittsfield	State: MA	Zip Code: 01201	
Phone: (413) 443-8011 Fax: (41	3) 443-8012	E-mail:whiteeng@aol.com	
Has this project been filed with MEPA before? Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) reque a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	Yes (EOEA No before? Yes (EOEA No esting:	NoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNoNo	
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer for the agency name and the amount of funding or la none	rom an agency of t nd area (in acres):	he Commonwealth, including	
Are you requesting coordinated review with any o Yes(Specify			
List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Notice of Intent/Order of Conditions Special Permit BRP WP 13 Major Sewer Extension NPDES Phase 2 Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the p	roject meet or exc	eed (see 301 CMR 11.03):	

☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC	☐ Rare Spec ☑ Wastewate ☐ Air ☐ Regulation	er 🗍	Transportat Solid & Haz	zardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
New acres of land altered Acres of impervious area Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration Square feet of new other wetland alteration Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways STRU Gross square footage Number of housing units Maximum height (in feet) TRANS Vehicle trips per day Parking spaces	JCTURES 0 0 PORTATION 0 /ASTEWATE 0 0	356 215	5.8 122,440 50 35 356 215 19,470 19,470	□ Order of Conditions □ Superseding Order of Conditions □ Chapter 91 License □ 401 Water Quality
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	19,250	19,250	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	.56	.56	
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project site Rare Specify CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project site Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Conservation of the project (Specify Specify Specif	rvation restriction restriction?	n, preservation Held Habitat of F]No restriction, a No	gricultural preservation

TISTURICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any attract
in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? Yes (Specify
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
Tyes (Specify
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?
Environmental Concern?
Type (Specify
□ res (opeciny) ⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description about the last to the project description about the last to the last
TOULD DESCRIPTION: The project description should be a little of the contract

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include **(a)** a description of the project site, **(b)** a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and **(c)** potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

The project site is a 39 Acre parcel on the east side of U.S. Route 7, approximately ¼ mile south of Pontoosuc Lake. The parcel consists of gentle to moderate slopes to a point at the center of the southern boundary of the property. Most of the existing site drains to an intermittent stream at this location, which then exits the property to the south. There is an existing wet meadow in the center of the parcel associated with this intermittent stream. The property is 100% vegetated with roughly 1/3 open meadow, 1/3 low growth brush, and 1/3 mature forest.

On-site alternatives explored for this project included a proposed multi-family residential development, a commercial sporting (golf) operation, a standard zoning residential development, and a no-build scenario. The resulting impacts for each alternative were greater than those projected for the proposed planned unit residential development. The analysis of various residential developments and the commercial operation revealed greater impacts to the environment from an increase in impervious areas, habitat destruction, traffic generation, and impacts on municipal services. The no-build analysis revealed that a property abutter was planning to purchase the property for future development. Off-site alternatives explored include constructing the proposed development in a different location. This alternative yields the same result as the no-build scenario. In addition, there were no similar parcels available that present a feasible marketable development ensuring a successful completion to the project.

On-site mitigating measures explored for the identified alternatives included clustering the proposed residences, reducing the number of proposed dwelling units, preserving open space, and providing stormwater management measures. The parcel cannot support the various alternatives while minimizing the above specified impacts. On-site mitigating measures explored for the proposed project include construction of a bridge over the wetlands crossing, however this option is not financially feasible, and would still require alteration of the wetland. The proposed project includes replicating the proposed wetland alteration by nearly 170%. Other on-site mitigating measures incorporated into the proposed project include maintaining a private ownership arrangement, therefore operations and maintenance responsibilities are clearly defined and will be monitored by the residents. Off-site mitigating measures examined include connecting to existing public utilities in different locations. The proponent also explored providing a larger replication area for the wetland alteration off-site, however it was determined that the new wetland would not provide the benefits to the wildlife whose habitat is being altered.