Commonwealth of Massachusetts

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

	For Office Use Only	_
Executive	Office of Environmental Affair	r

EOEA No.: MEPA Analysis RIONY ANGUS Phone: 617-626-

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Wayside Commons					
Street: 2 Wayside Road					
Municipality: Burlington	Watershed: Shawsheen				
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coord	dinates:	Latitude: 71°			
19 319699E 47 06035N		Longitude: 42°	29' 09" N		
Estimated commencement date: Su	mmer '05	Estimated comp	oletion date: \$	Summer '06	
Approximate cost: \$10 million		Status of project	t design: 50	%complete	
Proponent: PM Atlantic Burlington, L	LC				
Street: 205 Newbury Street					
Municipality: Framingham		State: MA	Zip Code: 0	01701	
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained	d:	
Doug Vigneau					
Firm/Agency: BSC Group, Inc.		Street: 15 Elkin	s Street		
Municipality: Boston		State: MA	Zip Code: 02127		
Phone: 617-896-4519	Fax: 617	7-896-4302	E-mail:		
		dvigneau@bscgroup.com			
<u> </u>			uvigneau@i	330group.com	
				Jacqroup.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a mar		•			
, ,	_ ⊠\	R threshold (see 301 Yes		□No	
Does this project meet or exceed a mar Has this project been filed with MEPA b	∑\ pefore?	'es	CMR 11.03)?	□No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA b	oefore? □\	res res (EOEA No	CMR 11.03)?		
, ,	oefore? □\ vith MEPA	res res (EOEA No	CMR 11.03)?	□No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed wi	oefore?	res (EOEA No before? res (EOEA No	CMR 11.03)?	□No ☑No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA b	oefore?	res (EOEA No before? res (EOEA No	CMR 11.03)?	□No ☑No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with meaning the same straight and single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.06(8))	pefore? with MEPA 05(7)) reque	∕es (EOEA No before? ∕es (EOEA No esting: ⊠Yes ∐Yes	CMR 11.03)?	□No □No □No □No □No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with meaning the same project on this site been filed with this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8))	pefore? with MEPA 05(7)) reque	/es (EOEA No before? /es (EOEA No esting: ⊠Yes □Yes □Yes	CMR 11.03)?	□No □No □No □No □No □No □No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with meaning the same straight and single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.06(8))	pefore? with MEPA 05(7)) reque	∕es (EOEA No before? ∕es (EOEA No esting: ⊠Yes ∐Yes	CMR 11.03)?	□No □No □No □No □No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with sand Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 201 C	pefore? vith MEPA 05(7)) reque MR 11.09) MR 11.11)	Yes (EOEA No before? Yes (EOEA No esting:	cmR 11.03)?)) the Commonw	□No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with san Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 201 CM	pefore? vith MEPA 05(7)) reque MR 11.09) MR 11.11) I transfer funding or la	res (EOEA No before? res (EOEA No esting: Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Sye	cmR 11.03)?)) the Commonw	□No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with sand Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 201 C	pefore? vith MEPA 05(7)) reque MR 11.09) MR 11.11) I transfer funding or la	res (EOEA No before? res (EOEA No esting: Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Sye	cmR 11.03)?)) the Commonw	□No	
Has this project been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with MEPA been filed with san Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 201 CM	pefore? vith MEPA 05(7)) reque MR 11.09) MR 11.11) I transfer finding or la m the Com with any or	Yes (EOEA No before? Yes (EOEA No esting: Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes rom an agency of too	cmR 11.03)? the Commonw The Project is	□No	

List Local or Federal Po	ermits and Approvals: Ameno	led Order of Conditions; Special Permit
Application for Restaur	ant Use; Site Plan Approval;	Burlington Sewer Allocation Policy
Which ENF or EIR rev	iew threshold(s) does the pro	pject meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):
301 CMR 11.03 (6)(a)	6. – Generation of 3,000 or m	nore New adt on roadways providing access to
a single location.		
Land	☐ Rare Species	Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands
☐ Water	☐ Wastewater	
☐ Energy	☐ Air	Solid & Hazardous Waste
☐ ACEC	Regulations	Historical & Archaeological
		Resources

			Resources			
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
	AND			Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	15.95			(amended Order sought) ☐ Superseding Order of		
New acres of land altered		0.0		Conditions		
Acres of impervious area	10.9	1.71	12.61	Chapter 91 License		
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0.0		Certification MHD or MDC Access		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0.0		Permit Water Management Act Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0.0		New Source Approval DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/		
STRI	JCTURES			Extension Permit		
Gross square footage	207,000	(16,800)	190,200	Other Permits (including Legislative		
Number of housing units	0	0	0	Approvals) - Specify:		
Maximum height (in feet)	30	0	30			
TRANS	PORTATION			A Construction and		
Vehicle trips per day	2,320	8,004	10,324	Demolition Notice (BWP AQ 06) will be filed with		
Parking spaces	743	37	780	DEP.		
WATER/\	VASTEWATE	R				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	15,531	11,089	26,620*			
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	15,531	11,089	26,620*			

Length of water/sewer mains	0	0	0	
(in miles) *no off site				
water/sewer mains				
				<u> </u>

^{*171,200} sf retail @ 50 GPD/1,000 sf = 8,560 gpd plus 516 restaurant seats @ 35 gpd/seat = 18,060 gpd; total 26,620 gpd

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversi	ion c	of public parkland or other Article 97 public
natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Artici		
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation, or watershed preservation restriction?	erva	tion restriction, agricultural preservation
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?		
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the	pro	ject site include any structure, site or district
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Common March 1982	f His	toric and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?	_)	⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of archaeological resources?	of an	y listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the	e pro	ject in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	-	
Yes (Specify)	⊠No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include **(a)** a description of the project site, **(b)** a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and **(c)** potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

The project consists of the redevelopment of the former 207,000 square foot Raytheon Company office complex in Burlington, Massachusetts with a 190,200 square foot "lifestyle center" consisting of mixed retail and restaurant uses and is to be known as Wayside Commons. The project site is 15.95 acres in size and is located in the southwest quadrant of the Interstate 95/Route 128 and Route 3 intersection in Burlington (Please refer to Section 3 – Locus Figures). The project site is mostly developed consisting of a 207,000 square foot Raytheon Company building with 743 parking spaces. Route 128 abuts the site to the north; to the east is Cambridge Street (Route 3), to the southeast Wayside Road and to the southwest South Bedford Street. The site is currently accessed by six curb cuts along Wayside Road and one curb cut on South Bedford Street. The existing site stormwater management system does not meet current best management practices. The drainage system consists of a small number of interconnected catch basins that discharge into a drainage culvert that traverses the site and discharges directly into a small wetlands pocket located in the eastern portion of the site. The project site is currently served by all necessary municipal and private utilities.

The proposed 190,200 sf lifestyle center is likely to consist of 171,200 sf of retail space and 19,000 sf of restaurant space (this amount of restaurant space is contingent upon local approvals). Wayside Commons will combine the community and closeness of an old time village square with the attraction of upscale urban stores and trendy restaurants. Wayside Commons is designed to encourage foot traffic within its rectangular center and will be landscaped handsomely throughout with trees,

flowers, planters and bricks. The existing Raytheon Office building will be razed and all existing pavement and underground utilities will be removed to make room for new underground utilities and pavement. A Construction and Demolition Notice (BWP AQ 06) will be filed with DEP for demolition of the Raytheon buildings and construction of Wayside Commons and all work will conform to DEP Air Quality control regulations (310 CMR 7.01, 7.09 and 7.10). Measures to control dust, noise and odors will be taken to ensure compliance with DEP AQ Regulations. Asphalt, bricks, concrete and structural metal will be recycled to the maximum extent practicable. The Raytheon Office site is subject to regulation under the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP). Raytheon Technical Services Company, LLC is the entity responsible for site compliance with the MCP and it is anticipated that will be achieved by June 2005.

Alternatives considered include the no-build alternative, lesser and greater build-out scenarios and locating the lifestyle center at another undeveloped location. The presented alternative is the preferred alternative. It does not overwhelm existing infrastructure and its chosen size does enable the project to be developed and maintained in a fiscally responsible manner. The no-build alternative (i.e., abandonment of the site) is not realistic given the infrastructure serving this prime real estate location. For many of the same reasons, the site is ideal for the proposed development. The site is centrally located between four major office complexes: (a) Burlington Woods; (b) Burlington Office Park II; American Landmark Office Complex; and, (d) Lahey Clinic. As a result, the development will provide amenities to thousands of employees and reduce their reliance on vehicular trips to access comfortable dining and fine retail establishments.

The project is providing significant mitigation and improvements to the environment at both the local and state levels as follows:

- *Drainage Improvements: The existing site stormwater management system does not meet current regulations or best practices. The proposed stormwater management system is comprised of three major components to mitigate peak rates of runoff and runoff volumes and provide 80% TSS removal.
- *Municipal Wastewater Collection System: The Town's Sewer Allocation Policy calling for 10:1 removal rate has more recently been modified to require an 11:1 removal rate. Therefore, given the increase in flow expected from the project of 11,089 gpd above that which is currently generated, the project will remove (or contribute funds to remove) approximately 122,000 gpd of I/I. As a result of the long ongoing I/I removal program, the majority of I/I problems in the Town of Burlington's Sewer System have been identified and removed. The Proponent will work with the Town to identify currently appropriate mitigation, be it funding assistance or otherwise.
- *Municipal Water System Improvements: A new water service will be connected at three locations to existing water mains, two in Wayside Road and one in Bedford Street. Two connections, a domestic water line and a fire protection line will service all buildings.
- *Site Remediation: As a result of the sale of the property Raytheon Technical Services Company, LLC is conducting a timely and comprehensive clean up of the site to meet MCP requirements.
- *Transportation Improvements: The proponent is committed to contributing \$500,000 towards the construction of phased traffic improvements along Cambridge Street.
- *Proposed Transportation Demand Management Strategies. The project proponent will support and promote strategies to reduce single-occupancy vehicle trips to and from the site. The project proponent will promote all reasonable Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies to reduce employee and customer vehicle trips. The TDM measures are designed to help reduce peak hour and daily vehicle trips through the temporal spreading of the peak hour demand, increased vehicle occupancy rates, and shifts in the mode of transportation away from single occupancy vehicles.
- *Local Contributions: The Proponent is committed to working with the Burlington Bicycle Committee to create an extension of the bike path along Bedford Street to facilitate a connection from that portion of the town. In addition, bicycling amenities such as bike racks and rest areas will be provided on the project site for users of the bike path on Wayside Road that ultimately connects to the Minutemen Bicycle Path. In addition, the Proponent and the Town of Burlington have entered into a Covenant that

codifies the Proponent's comments to the Town. The Covenant is included in Section 10 of the EENF.

As stated, the site is subject to review under the MCP, redevelopment of this site satisfies the principal goals of the 1998 Brownfields Act in several ways. The project fosters urban redevelopment by reclaiming an underutilized site and it saves green space from development. The Project also satisfies Executive Order #385 - Planning for Growth in Several ways. The project will be supported by existing infrastructure and does not rely on extensions of infrastructure beyond that which presently exists. The project represents a revitalization of an underutilized site rather than construction of new facilities or development of areas with significant value in terms of environmental quality or resources. In support of the Single EIR request, EO #385 calls for streamlining of the regulatory process so as to facilitate economic activity consistent with the policy.

LAN

	IIC II	civity consistent with the policy.			
D	SE	<u>CTION</u> – all proponents must fill out t	his section		
1.	A.	esholds / Permits Does the project meet or exceed any review t Yes _X_ No; if yes, specify each threshold:	hresholds related	d to land (see 36	01 CMR 11.03(1)
II. Impacts and Permits - Total site area equals 15.95 acres.A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows:					
		Footprint of buildings Roadways, parking, and other paved areas Other altered areas (landscaping, lawn) Undeveloped areas (wetland*, trees)	Existing 3.50 7.40 3.60 1.45	Change 0.36 1.35 (0.68) (1.03)	Total 3.86 8.75 2.92 0.42
		*No impacts to wetland resources areas are	proposed.		
	con	Has any part of the project site been in active Yes _X_ No; if yes, how many acres of land verted to nonagricultural use? Is any part of the project site currently or project site currently or project site currently or project any part of the site is the subject of a DE	in agricultural us posed to be in ac t and proposed fo	se (with agricultustive forestry use prestry activities	ral soils) will be ? and indicate
	acc	Does any part of the project involve conversion ordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to pose not in accordance with Article 97? Y	the Constitution	of the Commor	
	res No;	Is any part of the project site currently subject triction, agricultural preservation restriction or if yes, does the project involve the release ores, describe:	watershed prese	ervation restriction	on? Yes _X_
	F. in a	Does the project require approval of a new ur n existing urban redevelopment project under	ban redevelopmor M.G.L.c.121A?	ent project or a f Yes _X_N	undamental change lo; if yes, describe:
	G. exis	Does the project require approval of a new ur sting urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B	ban renewal plai ? Yes No _	n or a major mo <u>X</u> ; if yes, desc	dification of an ribe:
		Describe the project's stormwater impacts an			ne project will take to