

ENF Environmental Notification Form

<i>For Office Use Only</i> <i>Executive Office of Environmental Affairs</i>	
EOEA No.:	<u>12946</u>
MEPA Analyst:	<u>Arthur Popsky</u>
Phone:	617-626- <u>1029</u>

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Great Pond View Estates		
Street: Upper Gore Rd. and Old Douglas Rd.		
Municipality: Webster	Watershed: French River	
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates: Massachusetts Coordinate System	Latitude: 42-02-35 N	Longitude: 71-49-30 W
Estimated commencement date: Spring 2003	Estimated completion date: Unknown	
Approximate cost: \$3.5 Million	Status of project design: 90 %complete	
Proponent: Sammin LLC		
Street: 1290 Worcester Road		
Municipality: Framingham	State: MA	Zip Code: 01702
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained: Gregory M. Valiton, P.E.		
Firm/Agency: Andrews Survey & Engineering	Street: 104 Mendon St. – P.O. Box 312	
Municipality: Uxbridge	State: MA	Zip Code: 01569
Phone: (508)278-3897	Fax: (508)278-2289	E-mail: gvaliton@andrews-engineering.com

- Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?
 Yes No
- Has this project been filed with MEPA before?
 Yes (EOEA No. _____) No
- Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?
 Yes (EOEA No. _____) No
- Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting:
- a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) Yes No
 - a Special Review Procedure? (see 301CMR 11.09) Yes No
 - a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No
 - a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11) Yes No

Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): NONE

Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?
 Yes (Specify _____) No

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Planning Board Definitive Subdivision Approval); Conservation Commission (Notice of Intent)

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?

Yes (Specify _____) No

HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?

Yes (Specify _____) No

If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?

Yes (Specify _____) No

AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?

Yes (Specify _____) No

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (*You may attach one additional page, if necessary.*)

(a) The proposed project will construct approximately 12,000 L.F. of road, along with stormwater management and utility systems, to serve 100 lots in a single family residential subdivision on the existing, undeveloped, 204 Ac. +/- site. In addition, municipal water and sewer service will be brought to the site by constructing 2.9 mi. +/- of extensions in Upper Gore Road (note that extension of the water is dependent upon finding, and acquiring, a suitable location for a booster pump station; if no location is found, the project will be served by private wells).

(b) Alternatives ranging from "no-build" to construction of roadway and utilities to serve up to 140 single family house lots were considered. The "no-build" option is not economically viable for the owner and the alternative resulting in up to 140 lots was not considered favorable in terms of costs and impacts, therefore, the option proposed was selected. The proposed development, in our opinion, provides the greatest benefit to the owner while having the lowest practical level of impact on the wetlands and traffic in the area since only 100 lots will be developed upon completion of the roadway proposed and an area will dedicated to the Town as Open Space.

(c) Mitigation for site impacts will be provided through the use of "Best Management Practices" during construction for sediment and erosion control; construction of facilities to meet the DEP's Stormwater Management Standards; full replication of wetlands to be altered; and extension of municipal sewer and, possibly, water to the site.