



*The Commonwealth of Massachusetts*  
*Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs*  
*100 Cambridge Street, Suite 900*  
*Boston, MA 02114*

DEVAL L. PATRICK  
GOVERNOR

TIMOTHY P. MURRAY  
LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

IAN A. BOWLES  
SECRETARY

Tel: (617) 626-1000  
Fax: (617) 626-1181  
<http://www.mass.gov/envir>

June 15, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS  
ON THE  
FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

PROJECT NAME : Gloucester Commons  
PROJECT MUNICIPALITY : Gloucester  
PROJECT WATERSHED : Gloucester Harbor  
EOEA NUMBER : 13779  
PROJECT PROPONENT : Sam Park & Company  
DATE NOTICED IN MONITOR : May 9, 2007

As Secretary of Environmental Affairs, I hereby determine that the Final Environmental Impact Report (FEIR) submitted on this project **adequately and properly complies** with the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (G. L. c. 30, ss. 61-62H) and with its implementing regulations, 301 CMR 11.00.

As described in the Final EIR, the proposed project is divided into two phases. The project site is located on a 36-acre site bounded by the Route 128 Extension to the north, the Fuller Elementary School to the west, residential areas to the southwest and east, and a public playing field to the south. The proposed project entails the phased construction of a mixed-use development that will total approximately 195,000 square feet of retail space, a 100-key hotel, and 100 units of senior care facility with ancillary commercial uses on the ground floor. Phase I will include the 100-unit of senior care facility, 40,000 square feet of stand alone retail, as well as approximately 250 parking spaces and all access road improvements. Phase II will complete the project at a later date with the hotel component, 155,000 square feet of additional retail, and 700 parking spaces. According to information included in the FEIR, Phase I of the project is expected to generate 1,519 vehicle-trips on an average weekday, and Phase II 6,479 for a total build out of 7,998 vehicle trips on an average weekday.

This project is subject to a mandatory EIR pursuant to Sections 11.03(1)(a)(2), 11.03(6)(a)(6), 11.03(2)(b)(1), and 11.03 (3)(b)(d) of the MEPA regulations because it will create ten or more acres of impervious area, generate 3,000 or more new vehicle trips, and 5,000 of

more square feet of bordering or isolated vegetated wetlands. The Draft EIR included several changes including an increase from the previous estimate of 950 new parking spaces to 1,026 new parking spaces. This change triggers Sections 11.03 (6)(a)(7) of the MEPA regulations because the project will construct 1,000 or more new parking spaces at a single location. The project will also require a Sewer Connection/Extension Permit from the Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) and an Access Permit from the Massachusetts Highway Department (MHD). During the review of the EENF and the Draft EIR, MassDEP stated that the project may require a 410 Water Quality Certificate. However, it has been determined that the project will not require a 410 Water Quality Certificate. The project must comply with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for stormwater discharges from a construction site. The project also requires an Order of Conditions from the Gloucester Conservation Commission. Because the proponent is not seeking financial assistance from the Commonwealth for the project, MEPA jurisdiction extends to those aspects of the project that are within the subject matter of required permits and that may cause significant Damage to the Environment. In this case, MEPA jurisdiction exists over land, traffic, wetland and wastewater issues.

Many commenters have written in opposition to the project and have asked that I require the proponent to withdraw the Final EIR or extend the comment period. Under the MEPA regulations, I can neither extend the review period of a FEIR nor require that the proponent withdraw a FEIR to allow additional time for comment. Other commenters have asked that I deny the project on grounds of inadequate information, flawed process, or perceived impacts. MEPA is not a zoning process, nor is it a permitting process. Rather, it is a process designed to ensure public participation in the state environmental permitting process, to ensure that state permitting agencies have adequate information on which to base their permit decisions and their Section 61 Findings, and to ensure that the potential environmental impacts are described fully and avoided, minimized, and mitigated to the maximum extent feasible.

### **Review of the Final EIR:**

#### Transportation:

The FEIR included a revised traffic study prepared in conformance with the EOEEA/EOT Guidelines for EIR/EIS Traffic Impact Assessments and included two access alternatives for the site. The FEIR traffic study has identified the right-in, right-out as a feasible alternative to mitigate the project impacts, and selected the signalized intersection as the preferred access alternative.

MHD's comments express strong reservations regarding the proposed signalized intersection at this location. Based on review of the proponents traffic analysis, MHD concludes that because Route 128 is an access-controlled facility from the Eastern Avenue intersection southward, the addition of a traffic signal would defeat its purpose and add to expected vehicular

delays along the route. MHD believes that the improper location of the signal may lead to an increase in the number of crashes and/or degrade traffic operations for Route 128 where the heavy traffic demand would need to stop for the much lower demand on the adjacent driveway. The southbound approach speed to the proposed signal was measured at 52 MPH, which is significant and considered high speed; a high-speed approach could unnecessarily jeopardize safety at the signalized intersection.

The rotary currently provides full access to the property less than a quarter mile away. MHD has stated in their comment letter that the site generated traffic can be handled by that facility without much difficulty. The configuration of the new City approved "Loop Road" connecting School House Road to access the rotary will still maintain free flowing characteristics on Route 128 with minimum conflicts added. The Level-of-Service analyses indicate acceptable levels-of-service and delays for Blackburn Circle in the future design year. In addition, in consultation with the proponent, MHD has agreed to a right-in and right-out option that would allow proposed trips to enter via an unsignalized intersection off of northbound Route 128. This option would not create too much interference with Route 128 through traffic headed to the intersection with Eastern Avenue, and preserve the free flow conditions on Route 128. MHD has stated that although this alternative is undesirable from a pure traffic operations perspective due to an increased number of conflicts points, it is preferred over other options presented in the FEIR considering regional traffic impacts. Therefore, MHD believes that no further review is necessary based on the feasibility of the right-in, right-out alternative to mitigate the project's traffic impacts. However, as part of the design review process, MHD may consider further review of the different alternatives, including the proposed signal on Rote 128 for access to the site. As discussed below, I will require that MHD make the results of that review available for public comment.

#### Wastewater:

Wastewater generated by the project will discharge to the City of Gloucester's municipal sewer system and ultimately to the City's Water Pollution Control Facility. The project would generate about 55,600 gallons per day (gpd) of wastewater from the proposed mixed uses. Therefore, the project will require a Sewer Connection Permit from MassDEP.

The proponent proposes a sewer pump station on-site for the project that will discharge to the city's sewerage collection system in Perkins Street. As identified in the FEIR, there are capacity restrictions in the city's system between the point of connection in Perkins Street and the main sewer interceptor along the harbor. This project is a tributary to the main line sewer where there are several CSO relief structures that do surcharge and cause sanitary flows to be discharged into the harbor, through CSOs #002, #004 and #004A. Additional flows tributary to these CSOs are an environmental concern and a matter for consideration under the city's Modified Consent Decree (MCD) with MassDEP and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

The City is currently undertaking several projects to address both the short and long-term impacts related to their CSOs. The proponent should work with the City to ensure that there will be no stormwater inflow to the sewer system as a result of this project. In addition, the magnitude of environmental impacts of infiltration and inflow (I/I) to the CSO #002 sewer system warrant that the proponent give consideration to providing the City with I/I mitigation.

The proponent is proposing three activities that must be completed before any wastewater flow is discharged by the project to the City's sewer system. In addition, given the high groundwater levels and localized flooding, the proponent should use watertight construction for all sewer infrastructure and low flow water fixtures and devices discussed below.

- (1) The proponent has agreed to replace 275 ft of 8" sewer in Staten Street with a new 12" PVC sewer, at no cost to the city, to upgrade sewer capacity by alleviating a hydraulic bottleneck and sewer surcharging.
- (2) The city has indicated that there are illicit connections along this same section of Staten Street for both the sanitary sewer and storm drain. MassDEP has advised in their comment letter that the proponent should be required to do the work necessary to detect and redirect all illicit sources within the same general area of the Staten Street sewer replacement project.
- (3) The supporting wastewater engineering reports provided in Appendix D for the FEIR identified an upper flow limit of 250 gpm that could be discharged into the city's sewer system from the proposed development. The proponent needs to provide more information regarding the sizing of the proposed sewerage pump station and wet well to ensure that there is adequate reserve capacity so that there will not be sewer backups or overflows on the property or in the city sewer system. The proponent also should explain why infrastructure improvements to the Perkins Street sewer are not feasible to provide sufficient capacity in the city sewer for all peak flows associated with this project. MassDEP intends to require these analyses in support of the Sewer Connection Permit application.

#### Wetlands:

The FEIR indicates that approximately 33,960 square feet(sf) (30,630 cubic feet(cf)) of Area C and 950 sf (1,400 cf) of Area D of isolated land subject to flooding (ILSF) will be impacted for the Loop Road and an access into the site. Construction of the Loop Road and access drive will fill approximately 5,630 cf of ILSF. Displacement of the ILSF from the adjacent school parking, roads and fields will impact an additional 26,400 cf. I note that the performance standard for ILSF requires that the project not cause flood damage due to filling of the ILSF. However, the table in *Drainage Calculations, Gloucester Crossing*, dated 11/21/06 and revised 4/18/07 shows that the flood storage from elevations 117.19 through 118.2, would not be replaced, which suggests that there could be some flooding during the 2 and 10 year storm events due to displacement of stormwater. It has been determined that the project will not require a 410 Water Quality Certificate. However, I note that MassDEP will require an explanation of whether

this stormwater is being conveyed for storage elsewhere on site. Therefore, the proponent should provide this information to MassDEP prior to the issuance of MassDEP's Sewer Connection/Extension Permit.

#### Stormwater:

As described in the Section above, pre-existing flooding conditions and the proposed filling of approximately 32,030 cubic feet of isolated land subject to flooding, which provides storage for stormwater, complicates controlling stormwater on site. Therefore, I am requiring that the proponent provide to MassDEP a complete plan showing the stormwater management system at a reasonable scale prior to the issuance of MassDEP's Sewer Connection/Extension Permit. a complete plan showing the stormwater management system at a reasonable scale.

The FEIR has demonstrated that the peak rates of runoff would be controlled in post-development, and there is information showing that the stormwater volumes from storm events would be controlled on site. However, as mentioned in the previous Wetlands Section, a table in *Drainage Calculations, Gloucester Crossing*, dated 11/21/06 and revised 4/18/07 shows that the flood storage from elevations 117.19 through 118.2, which will be filled in isolated wetland C would not be replaced. In addition, the total suspended solids (TSS) calculations have overestimated the removal capabilities of the proposed stormwater management system. The TSS Standard 4 is proposed to be achieved with street sweeping, and a 10 percent TSS removal credit was taken in the calculations, (the *Drainage Calculations, Gloucester Crossing*, dated 12/29/06 and revised 4/18/07), for street sweeping in the spring of each year. This is not frequent enough to remove 10 percent of the TSS on an annual basis. Therefore, either the street sweeping plan needs to be improved or the TSS removal credit needs to be eliminated from the calculations. In addition, I advise the proponent to contact MassDEP because the Stormceptor 450i model described in the FEIR was not evaluated and rated for use in Massachusetts by the Strategic Envirotechnology Partnership (STEP), and as such, system efficiency information must be submitted through the Stormwater Management Policy Handbook, Volume II, Appendix D, substantiating the 80% TSS removal claim.

#### Draft Section 61 Finding

The following is a summary of the proposed mitigation for proposed project.

##### *Construction Stormwater Runoff Control*

- use of temporary and permanent seeding of disturbed areas
- maintenance and development of vegetated buffer strips
- use of silt fences and/or hay bales, sediment traps, check dams, and level spreaders to reduce stormwater flow velocity and sediment loads
- use of water quality basins and swales to treat stormwater
- deep sump hooded catchbasins

- on-site infiltration and flow attenuation
- outfall velocity dissipation
- **designation of control areas** for equipment maintenance and repair
- waste handling procedures
- **protective storage areas** for potentially toxic substances
- operations and maintenance plan

#### *Operational Stormwater Runoff Control*

- post development runoff will be treated prior to discharge
- detention basins and underground storage has been designed so that there will be net increase in storm runoff volumes
- stormwater runoff from the Fuller School area, which previously had minor controls, will be controlled as part of the designed system
- deep sump catch basins with hooded outlets will be used throughout the drainage collection system in roadways and parking areas to facilitate pre-treatment
- water quality structures such as Stormceptors, Vortechinics, or equal will be employed
- street sweeping
- operations and management plan

#### *Wetland Resource Areas*

- impacts minimized by use of retaining walls, minimizing road and sidewalk width and general site design
- flooding issue at Fuller School will be resolved

#### *Wastewater*

- Construct on-site system including two pump stations
- Provide upgrades in State Street at no cost to the City

#### *Landscaping*

- water-efficient landscape achieved through selection and location of native plants and landscaping materials  
drought-resistant trees, shrubs, and perennial ground covers will be utilized as practicable to reduce water needs  
planting beds will be covered with a bark or natural mulch and compost, to prevent water loss through evaporation, improve soil condition, and naturally control weeds

#### *Transportation*

- construct Gloucester Crossing Road as a public way between the Route 128 Extension and School House Road
- widen the Route 128 Extension on its approach to Eastern Avenue to provide extended queue lanes and reduce back-ups for traffic to Rockport and Gloucester

- perform a study, if requested, of the pedestrian crossing at Grant Circle
- add capacity at Grant Circle by adding converting the one-lane approaches to two lanes
- add hardware and optimize signal timing and phasing at the Route 128 Extension/Eastern Avenue intersection
- encourage transportation demand management (TDM) measures, including transit use and carpooling, to reduce site access by single-occupant vehicles
- conduct a follow-up study after six months' occupancy of the completed development to monitor traffic volumes and safe operation of site access.
- Phase II: other mitigation measures such as traffic calming, demand responsive signals, signal priority/preemption and intelligent transportation initiatives will be provided.

#### *Transportation Demand Management*

- establish Employee Transportation Coordinator to encourage and facilitate: flextime; compressed workweek; ridesharing
- Provide Cape Ann Transportation Authority (CATA) bus stop location (s)
- sell CATA and MBTA passes at the shopping center to assist employees and customers in obtaining passes. Post the schedules, rates, and routes for CATA bus service and MBTA commuter rail service. Subsidize the cost for transit passes for employees.
- provide preferential parking locations for people who participate in a carpool/vanpool program and other high occupancy vehicles (HOVs).
- encourage suppliers to schedule their deliveries during weekday afternoon non-peak hours to reduce traffic congestion during busy periods. Attempt to utilize service vendors who currently supply retailers in the project area. Advise delivery trucks to avoid local neighborhood streets when making deliveries.
- post signs to direct motorists to Eastbound and Westbound Route 128.
- inclusion of residential space with retail space will likely result in a reduction in project motor vehicle trips as the project's residents will be able to access the project's stores and restaurants without using a motor vehicle. Similarly, proposed pedestrian links to the adjacent neighborhood will allow existing neighbors to access the project's stores and restaurants without using their motor vehicles. Onsite amenities like banking and restaurants will allow project employees to be able to perform errands and eat lunch without having to drive.

#### *Air Quality*

- low VOC emitting indoor materials will be specified for all base building areas, including materials such as paint, adhesives and carpets
- dust control during construction will be managed under the SWPPP
- in accordance with 310 CMR 7.09, appropriate measures will be implemented to ensure that particulate emission from construction activities do not contribute to or cause a condition of air pollution
- promote the use of after-engine emission controls such as oxidation catalysts or particulate filters

*Noise*

- construction equipment will be required to be maintained in good working order, with mufflers, as appropriate.
- Construct sound barriers

Conclusion


I find the FEIR to be adequate, and am allowing the project to proceed to the state permitting agencies. The FEIR presents a complete and definitive description and analysis of the jurisdictional portions of the project and its alternatives, and contains an assessment of its potential environmental impacts and mitigation measures to enable state permitting agencies to understand the environmental consequences of their permit decisions. To the extent that certain aspects of the jurisdictional portion of the project needs additional analysis of technical details, I find that any such issues can be fully analyzed in the state permitting processes.

MHD has stated that there are still some information gaps and issues that need to be resolved prior to the issuance of a MHD Access Permit, but has affirmed that these issues can be resolved during permitting, and does not recommend a Supplemental EIR. I concur with MHD's view and find that the FEIR is generally adequate for purposes of enabling MHD and MassDEP to continue on to permitting review. I note that MHD may further evaluate, through third-party review, the traffic data associated with the left-turn signal on Route 128. If as a result of that review MHD proposes to permit the signal, I require that MHD publish notice of the availability of the report, and associated draft Section 61 Findings, in the *Environmental Monitor* for a twenty-day public comment period. Comments will be directed to MHD for their consideration prior to the issuance of the MHD permit. The proponent and state agencies should forward copies of the Section 61 Findings to the MEPA Office for completion of the file.

To keep all interested parties fully informed of permitting developments, the proponent should provide notification of local public meetings regarding the project to those parties who commented on the ENF, Draft EIR, and Final EIR. I also request that the proponent send to the commenters notices of any relevant state permitting comment periods, meetings, or other opportunities for public input into the state permitting processes.

June 15, 2007

Date



Ian A. Bowles



## Comments received:

6/12/07 – Bruce and Carol Lennan (e-mail)  
6/12/07 – Executive Office of Transportation-MassHighway  
6/11/07 – Marcia F. Hart (e-mail)  
6/11/07 – Department of Environmental Protection/NERO  
6/10/07 – Ellen Solomon (e-mail)  
6/10/07 – David McCarley (e-mail)  
6/9/07 – Carmine Georga (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Conni Manoli (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Mike Carrigan (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Liz Gordon (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Joe Garland (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Andrea Ritchie (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Gerald and Velma Higgins (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Douglas J. Ross (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Paul Lundberg (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Lois Gaudette (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Celia Eldridge (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Peter Perthou (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Jackie Hardy (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Olivia Gale (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Philip E. Perry (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Alexandra Rhineland (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Dorothy Brown, Nancy Cooke and Mary Jane Ellis (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Jon Hardy (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Victoria K. Gaudette (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Pamela M. Winninghoff (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Gregg Cademartori (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Ellen Solomon (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Regina D. Sternlicht (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Heidi Wakeman (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Shane Merritt (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Dr. David Rabin and Heather Atwood (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Christopher Martin (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Mr. & Mrs. Michael Ronan (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Carol A. Kelly (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Carmine Gorga (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Hugo and Carol Burnham (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Sharon “Bo” Abrams (e-mail)

6/8/07 – John Tagiuri (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Tracie G. Lee (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Karen Pratt Budrow (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Anne Rearick and William Alexander  
6/8/07 – David Wise  
6/8/07 – Matthew J. Parisi  
6/8/07 – Pamela Campbell Cleaves  
6/8/07 – Becky Bernie  
6/8/07 – Sybil Militello  
6/8/07 – Elaine Bleau-Richards  
6/8/07 – Ronald Richards  
6/8/07 – Pat Towler (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Keith Gustafson (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Anne T. Ogilvie (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Mary Jo Montagnino (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Maryanne Smith Koeller (e-mail)  
6/8/07 – Bonnie Savoie (e-mail)  
6/7/07 – Anne Rearick and William Alexander (e-mail)  
6/7/07 – George Belezos (e-mail)  
6/7/07 – Elsie Hansen (e-mail)  
6/7/07 – Debbie Hird  
6/7/07 – Jack Gale  
6/7/07 – John M. Hill, Jr. (e-mail)  
6/7/07 – Lars-Erik Wiberg (e-mail)  
6/7/07 – Ellen Solomon (e-mail)  
6/7/07 – JoeAnn Hart (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Judith w. Walcott (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Suzanne R. Gosselin (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Jim Greenwood (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Lisa Smith (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Peter Anastas (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Ellen Nelson (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Janie Lufkin Shea (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Joe Ciaramitaro (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Deborah Gardner (e-mail)  
6/6/07 – Mr Nicola a. Barletta  
6/6/07 – Peter W. Beacham  
6/6/07 – Bob Ritchie  
6/6/07 – Laurie Hagar  
6/6/07 – Mr. Neal Jacobs  
6/5/07 – Ken Riaf  
6/5/07 – Mary John Boylan

6/5/07 – John S. Gale, M.D.  
6/5/07 – Steve Mitchell (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Steve Vavak (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Barbara Braver (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Michelle Smith (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Corinne Waring (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Deaken Banks (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Peter Jenner (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Catherine Banks (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Justin Smith (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Elizabeth Enfield (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Paul Goldberg (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Stephen Pardee (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Evelyn Grace Stewart (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Millicent Bruce (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Pat Lerch (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Nancy C. Hendrickson (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Hartley Ferguson (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Nancy C. Hendrickson (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Leslie Asare (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Noel Mann (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – Susan Steiner (e-mail)  
6/5/07 – albert C. roth (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Margaret Flavin (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Helen Soussou (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Barbara Kudisch (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – John M. Hinckley (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Ryan Banks (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Lisa Rigsby (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Jackie Hardy (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Mary John Boylan (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Josette C. Goldish (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Gail Jenner (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Jack Hill (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Ann Fisk (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Mathis Baumgaertel (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Paige Sieber (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Captain Peter Couture (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – D.J. Tardif, Jr. (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Mary John Boylan  
6/4/07 – Beverly Nameche and Stephen Payne (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Lis (e-mail)

6/4/07 – Jann West (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Ken Riaf (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Martha Bowen (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Mark Carlotto, Ph.D. (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Jill Berson (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – William Taylor (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Dianne J. Eason (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Jim Capillo (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Janet Green Garrison (e-mail)  
6/4/07 – Susan Frey, Ph.D. ND  
6/4/07 – Ruth Mordecial  
6/3/07 – Prudence Fish (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Baraka Robin Berger (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Barbara Gale (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – David Coffin (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Kirsten T.A. Wiberg (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Chester Brigham (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Susan Erony (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Sharrn Cohen (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Beverly Palmacci (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Kathleen M. Miller, R.N., M.A. (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Frank Garrison (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Tom Cox (e-mail)  
6/3/07 – Amy Bell Ross (e-mail)  
6/2/07 – Lukas V. Baumgaertel (e-mail)  
6/2/07 – Tom Schaefer (e-mail)  
6/2/07 – Claire Tomlinson (e-mail)  
6/2/07 – Catherin M. Mccarthy (e-mail)  
6/2/07 – Barbara Jansson (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Christine Morey (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Lee and Kurt Goldschmidt (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Dan Shay (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Sally Bell (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Herb Baker (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Tim Greiner (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – John Muelleln (e-mail)  
6/1/07 - Anne Rosenfeld (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Rick Gonsalves (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Barb Parsons (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Yhanna Coffin (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Brenda Malloy (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Helen Featherstone (e-mail)

6/1/07 – Jay Featherstone (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Geoffrey H Richon (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Mark A. Farber (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Ruth Mordecal (e-mail)  
6/1/07 – Rev. Richard Emmanuel  
6/1/07 – Susan Fehrman  
5/31/07 – Nancy Shaw (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – May Lou Nye (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Gordon Baird (e-mail)  
5/31/07 - Colette Sudbay (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Joe Burns (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Amanda Nash (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Susan Steiner (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Jill Buchanan (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Nicole Bogin (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – David A. McCarley (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Linda J. Amero (e-mail)  
5/31/07 – Frank Stadler (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Eric Holdsworth (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Elizabeth Lewis (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Ann Banks (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Jane Beddus (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Clara and Bill Wainwright (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Janet Rice (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Elena Saporta (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Mary M. Weissblum (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Ed Touchette (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Lawrence Swift (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Janet Rice (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Howard Richardson (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Robert Sullivan (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Jay McLauchlan (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Leslie Wind (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Henry Ferrini (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – C. Sash Ludwig (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Veronica Morgan (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Gina Fettig (e-mail)  
5/30/07 – Mary John Boylan (e-mail)  
5/29/07 – Molly Lutcavage (e-mail)  
5/29/07 – Kathy Eckles (e-mail)  
5/28/07 – Isabel Sloane (e-mail)  
5/27/07 – Grace Schrafft (e-mail)

5/27/07 – Claudia Schweitzer (e-mail)  
5/26/07 – Joy dai Buell (e-mail)  
5/26/07 – Gail Burchard (e-mail)  
5/25/07 – Joie Busby (e-mail)  
5/23/07 – Christopher Farmer (e-mail)  
5/18/07 – Joie Busby

IAB/ACC/acc