## Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

MEPA Analyst: Briony Angus

Phone: 617-626-1029

## **NPC**

## **Notice of Project Change**

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review of a NPC in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act and its implementing regulations (see 301 CMR 11.10(1)).

Project Name: Weed It Now 2002	EOEA #: 12747			
Street: Jug End Road				
Municipality: Egremont <sup>(1)</sup>	Watershed: Housatonic River			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:	Latitude: 42.150347			
	Longitude: 73.448737			
Status of project construction: 50%complete				
Proponent: The Nature Conservancy				
Street: 404 LeGeyt Road				
Municipality: Sheffield		Zip Code: 01257		
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this NPC May Be Obtained:				
Jason Krzanowski	<u> </u>			
Firm/Agency: Hill - Engineers, Architects, Planners, Inc. Street: 50 Depot Street				
Municipality: Dalton		Zip Code:01226		
Phone: (413) 684-0925 x 146 Fax: (41	3) 684-02 <u>6</u> 7 E	-mail: jkrzanowski@hillengineers.com		
In 25 words or less, what is the project chang	e? The project cha	ange involves inclusion of		
additional Commonwealth land in areas to receive invasive plant species control.				
See full project change description beginning on page 3.				
Data of CNIC Clina and the Configuration in the Configuration	-UBA	2		
Date of ENF filing or publication in the Environment	<u>ai Monitor</u> : 4/10/200	2		
Was an EIR required? ☐Yes ☒No; if yes,				
was a Draft EIR filed? ☐Yes (Date:	)			
was a Final EIR filed?	)			
was a Single EIR filed?	)			
Have other NPCs been filed? ☐Yes (Date(s):	) 🖾No			
If this is a NPC solely for <u>lapse of time</u> (see 301 CMR 11.10(2)) proceed directly to "ATTACHMENTS & SIGNATURES" on page 4.				

PERMITS / FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE / LAND TRANSFER
List or describe all new or modified state permits, financial assistance, or land transfers not previously reviewed: N/A

previously reviewed: N/A
Are you requesting a finding that this project change is insignificant? (see 301 CMR 11.10(6)) ⊠Yes □No; if yes, attach justification.
Are you requesting that a Scope in a previously issued Certificate be rescinded?  ☐Yes ☑No; if yes, attach the Certificate
Are you requesting a change to a Scope in a previously issued Certificate? ⊠Yes ☐No; if yes, attach Certificate and describe the change you are requesting:

Summary of Project Size	Previously	Net Change	Currently		
& Environmental Impacts	reviewed		Proposed		
	LAND				
Total site acreage	1118	150	1268		
Acres of land altered	0	0	0		
Acres of impervious area	0	0	0		
Square feet of bordering vegetated wetlands alteration	0(2)	18,200	18,200		
Square feet of other wetland alteration	1,012,334	(712,334)	300,000		
Acres of non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways	0	0	0		
STRUCTURES					
Gross square footage	0	0	0		
Number of housing units	0	0	0		
Maximum height (in feet)	0	0	0		
TRANSPORTATION					
Vehicle trips per day	0	0	0		
Parking spaces	0	0	0		
WATER/WASTEWATER					
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	0	0		
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0		
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	0	0		
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0		

Does the project change involve any new or modified:  1. conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?
PROJECT CHANGE DESCRIPTION (attach additional pages as necessary). The project change description should include:
(a) a brief description of the project as most recently reviewed     (b) a description of material changes to the project as previously reviewed,     (c) the significance of the proposed changes, with specific reference to the factors listed 301 CMR 11.10(6), and
(d) measures that the project is taking to avoid damage to the environment or to minimize and mitigate unavoidable environmental impacts. If the change will involve modification of any previously issued Section 61 Finding, include a proposed modification of the Section 61 Finding (or it will be required in a Supplemental EIR).
(a) The project described the WIN project focus within the 1,118-acre Jug End Reservation. The noted wetland resource on site is Fenton Brook, and impacts were noted within that Resource Area's buffer zone (23.24 acres). Please see Attachment D for the original project description with target species and proposed herbicidal controls. This are is subject to a Special Use Permit.
(b) The applicant wishes to add work areas to those discussed for Weed It Now 2002. The areas lay both north and south of the buffer zone 23 +/- acres impact, and includes an additional 150 acres to the north of the original work area.
Also, this NPC revises the Resource Area Impacts. The project as originally presented accounted for the buffer zone around Fenton Brook. More detailed initial studies, intended to direct delineation work for the Notice of Intent, indicate <u>upward</u> revisions to Resource Area delineation boundaries and <u>downward</u> revisions of aggregate invasive species control areas within those boundaries. Impacted areas are now categorized by Riverfront Areas, Inland Bank, and Bordering Vegetated Wetlands.

The majority of work will occur east of Fenton Brook, near a gravel pit and maintained fields, and extend up the valley. The impacts to the south are estimated from site observations. The impacts to the north are based on a Notice of Intent filing, with field delineation of wetlands.

(c) The project will use the same methods for controls; only the affected areas are changing. the refinements to the impact calculation effectively reduce the project footprint. Pursuant

to 310 CMR 11.10(6), the physical dimensions of the project will be reduced by 69% to 318,200 sf; the impact will be lower than that previously presented, from 23.34 ac to an estimated 0.25 ac BVW/IVW and 30lf of Inland Bank, and 6.9 ac Riverfront Area (Note: this Riverfront Area impact is actual impacts in the cumulative Resource Area, subsuming that area previously defined and as Buffer Zone). The project has already commenced, with completion still proposed for October 2008. There are no new permits, permit classes, financial assistance or land transfers associated with the project. There will be no substantive delay in the realization of the environmental benefits of the project, as the benefits will be realized long-term.

(d) Avoidance / Minimization / Mitigation: The project's core purpose is to mitigate existing alterations to plant and wildlife habitats by plants that encroach on and overwhelm existing ecosystems. The work locations are determined by outside factors (e.g., birds and weather that deposit invasive plant seeds), so avoidance is moot – the program attacks the plants are where they live. The methods chosen to control the subject plants are deemed the least damaging to the environment, and will avoid the potential for unintended plant or animal losses.

The only way to further minimize or avoid any possible environmental impacts is to not to the work, and this represents a far greater impact to the environment, as these plants will continue to spread and overwhelm the native plant species.

The end result will be forest and wetland habitats that will better foster the native plant species.

- (1) ENF listed South Egremont, a "village" but not a "municipality".
- (2) ENF listed 23.24 acres of *buffer zone* along Fenton Brook. See revised estimates within the narrative.