Commonwealth of Massachusetts

ENF

Executive Office of Environmental Affairs • MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.:13929

MEPA Analyst: Beiony Angus
Phone: 617-626-1029

,

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Proposed Retail Develop	ment 						
Street: Route 2 and Route 2A							
Municipality: Greenfield		Watershed: Deerfield River					
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42° 36' 38" N					
UTM 18, 47 20 213N, 6 99 370E		Longitude: 72° 34' 09" W					
Estimated commencement date: Fall 2007 - Spring 2008		Estimated completion date: Spring 2010					
Approximate cost: \$15 – 18 million		Status of project design: 80 %complete					
Proponent: Greenfield Investors Proper	rty Develop	oment LLC					
Street: 1720 Post Road							
Municipality: Fairfield		State: CT	Zip Code: 06430				
Name of Contact Person From Whom Copies of this ENF May Be Obtained:							
Lauren Gallagher							
Firm/Agency: VHB, Inc.		Street: 101 Wa					
Municipality: Watertown		State: MA	Zip Code: 02471				
Phone: 617-924-1770 x1643 Fax: 617		<u>-924-2286</u>	E-mail: lgallagher@vhb.com				
Does this project meet or exceed a mar Has this project been filed with MEPA b	ďΣ		301 CMR 11.03)? □No				
rias uns project been nieu with MEPA D		Yes (EOEA No.) ⊠No				
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?							
		Yes (EOEA No) 🔲 No				
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.0 a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting: ⊠Yes □Yes □Yes □Yes	□No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No				
Identify any financial assistance or land agency name and the amount of fundin Commonwealth is being sought.							
Are you requesting coordinated review Yes(Specify			te, regional, or local agency?				

List Local or Federal Permits and Approvals: Federal: NPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharge from Construction Activities. City of Greenfield: Order of Conditions (Conservation Commission); Major Development Review (Zoning Board of Appeals, which includes recommendation through the Planning Board); Curb Cut Permit (Department of Public Works)

Which ENF or EIR review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

□ Land □ Water □ Energy □ ACEC	Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations	r 🔯	Transportat Solid & Haz	Vaterways, & Tidelands ion ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
L	.AND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	<u>+</u> 29 ac.			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		<u>+</u> 3.2 ac.		☐ Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	1.8 ac.	<u>+</u> 9.5 ac.	<u>+</u> 11.3 ac.	401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		- 0 -		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		3,460 sf		☐ Water Management Act Permit New Source Approval
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		- () -		│
STRU	JCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	21,400 gsf	±138,600 gsf	±160,000 gsf	(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Number of housing units	- 0 -	-0-	- 0 -	, pprovide, openity.
Maximum height (in feet)	30 ft	<u>+</u> 6 ft	36 ft	
TRANS	PORTATION	1		
Vehicle trips per day	Negligible	±9,220	±9,220]
Parking spaces	<u>+</u> 7	<u>+</u> 597	604]
WATER/V	VASTEWAT	ER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use ¹	±700	<u>+8,100</u>	±8,800	
GPD water withdrawal	NA	NA	NA NA	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment ⁱ	<u>+</u> 630	±7,370	<u>+</u> 8,000	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0.06 water / - 0 - sewer	0.30 water / 0.19 scwer	0.36 water/ 0.19 sewer	

¹ Water and wastewater calculations are based on DEP guidelines (314 CMR 7.15 and 310 CMR 15.203).

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article 97 public
natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?
☐Yes (Specify)
Will it involve the release of any conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation
restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
☐Yes (Specify)
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority
Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
☐Yes (Specify)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district.
listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
Commonwealth?
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
☐Yes (Specify)
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the
project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated
with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative
This out the fact that the fact that the state of the sta

Greenfield Investors Property Development LLC (the "Proponent") is proposing to redevelop an approximately 19-acre development parcel into an approximately 160,000 square foot [sf] retail store with garden center (the "Project"). The development parcel is part of a larger 29-acre site consisting of two lots divided by recently abandoned Gill Road west of the intersection of Routes 2 and 2A (the "Project Site"). The Site is generally bounded by Gill Road on the north, a re-located Site access drive on the east; French King Highway (Route 2A) on the south; and a parcel boundary on the west in Greenfield, Massachusetts (the "Project Site"). See Figure 1.1 for the Site location.

(You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The 19-acre development parcel to the south of Gill Road (the "South Parcel") is largely disturbed due to past gravel operations and, currently, a construction/fuel supply operation. The approximately 10-acre parcel to the north of Gill Road (the "North Parcel") is undeveloped and consists largely of wetland resource areas. Figure 1.2 shows the existing site conditions.

Multiple site development alternatives were considered during the preliminary design stage. Some alternatives proposed a "strip" retail development with larger amounts of retail space and restaurant space compared to the Project; therefore, requiring a large amount of parking spaces. Other alternatives proposed a variation of the types of retail uses (i.e., a supermarket). As with the Project, all alternatives would provide the community benefits of the redevelopment of an underutilized and contaminated parcel, including improved water quality with new stormwater management features and sufficient transportation mitigation. Generally, as described in Chapter 2, *Alternatives Analysis* of this Expanded

ENF, the Project would introduce fewer environmental impacts compared to the site development alternatives considered. Figure 1.3 shows the proposed site conditions.

While the Project will introduce new traffic to the Site and surrounding roadways, numerous transportation improvements are proposed in order to minimize impacts from Project-related traffic. Generally, these include transportation system management (TSM) strategies, including roadway reconfigurations (i.e., exclusive left- and right-hand turning lanes), installation of signage and signal coordination. Additionally, to further reduce traffic on the roadway system and encourage alternative transportation modes to the Project, the Proponent has committed to promote/coordinate transportation demand management (TDM) measures. In summary, with the implementation of the recommended traffic improvement measures and demand management strategies, it has been determined that the surrounding roadway network can adequately and safely accommodate the anticipated traffic increases generated by the proposed retail development. See Chapter 4, *Transportation* for further details.

Based on reviews of the MassHistoric files, there are no known historic properties on or near the Site and the South Parcel does not appear to hold any archaeological significance (as expected due to the highly disturbed and altered state of the parcel due to past gravel operations). However, the North Parcel, which is largely covered by wetlands and is undeveloped, may have archaeological significance in relation to a Native American burying ground. Therefore, the Proponent is committing to donate this 10-acre parcel to the Friends of the Wissatinnewag (FOW) where an agreement is currently being worked out between the Proponent and the FOW and the Narragansett Indian Tribe. Additionally, MassHistoric has requested that a reconnaissance archaeological survey be completed at the Project Site (letter dated November 15, 2006).