Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use On	ly
Executive Office of Environm	ental Affairs
EOEA No.: 13874 MEPA Analyst: Aisling Phone: 617-626-1024	Eglington

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Stoney Brook Estate	es			
Street: 290 Reservoir Street				
Municipality: Holden		Watershed: Wachusett Reservoir		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coord		Latitude: 042° 2		
Zone 19,263717 Easting,4691169 I	Northing	Longitude: 71° 5	52' 05.21W	
Estimated commencement date: June 2007		Estimated completion date: June 2011		
Approximate cost: \$10,000,000		Status of project	t design:	100 %complete
Proponent: C.B. Blair Enterprises,	Inc.			
Street: 87 Main Street				
Municipality: Rutland		State: MA	Zip Code: 0	1543
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained	•
Christopher M. Lucas				
Firm/Agency: Coler & Colantonio, I	nc.	Street: 101 Accord Park Drive		
Municipality: Norwell		State: MA	Zip Code: 0:	2061
Phone: 781-982-5473	Fax: 78 1	1-982-5490	E-mail:clucas	@col-col.com
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?				
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CM a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 CM a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	MR 11.09)	esting: Yes Yes Yes Yes		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres):				
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ☐Yes(Specify) ☒No				
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro	ovals: Plan	ning Board, EPA N	PDES NOI	

Which ENF or EIR review thresh	nold(s) does th	ne project me	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):			
□ Land □ Water □ Energy □ ACEC	⊠ Wastewater		zardous Waste Archaeological				
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &			
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals			
	.AND			Order of Conditions			
Total site acreage	59.0			Superseding Order of Conditions			
New acres of land altered	图 品格 混合物	45.8	被多量的	☐ Chapter 91 License			
Acres of impervious area	0	8.9		☑ 401 Water Quality Certification			
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		2,958		MHD or MDC Access Permit			
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		☐ Water Management Act Permit			
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit			
STRU	JCTURES			☑ Other Permits			
Gross square footage (bldg. footprint)	0	105,000	105,000	(including Legislative Approvals) - Specify: DCR Watershed			
Number of housing units	0	75	75	Protection Act Variance			
Maximum height (in feet)	0	35	35				
TRANS	PORTATION						
Vehicle trips per day	0	750	750	"			
Parking spaces	0	0	0				
WATER/V	VASTEWATI	ER					
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	33,000	33,000				
GPD water withdrawal	0	33,000	33,000				
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	33,000	33,000				
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0/0	1.19/1.85	1.19/1.85				
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the professources to any purpose not in acco ☐Yes (Specify	rdance with Arti ervation restrict	icle 97?) ion, preservati	⊠No				

Rare	E SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities? ☑Yes (Specify Priority Habitat 688/Estimated Habitat 7117) □No
in the	ORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth? Yes (Specify Charles Knowlton House, 336 Reservoir Street)
If yes, resou	does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological rces?
	AS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
	onmental Concern? ☐Yes (Specify)
(b) a alterr attac	JECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each native, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may h one additional page, if necessary.)
Rese veget prope	osed work includes the construction of a residential subdivision on a parcel of land located off rvoir Street in the Town of Holden. The site currently exists as mature woodland with bordering tated wetlands located at the southern end of the property and at one access location for the entry directly adjacent to Reservoir Street. The project consists of the installation of access ways, stormwater management systems, 75 residential single-family homes, and associated es.
Bylav muni cross Wetla	project conforms to the Town of Holden Subdivision Control Regulations (Ch. 7.3 Town of Holden vs) including minimum lot sizes and roadway widths. The subdivision will be serviced by cipal water and sewer systems. For access to upland portions of the project site, a wetland sing will be necessary and will alter approximately 2,958 square feet of Bordering Vegetated and. Mitigation for necessary wetland impacts will be achieved through wetland replication at a 2:1 and will include the replication of approximately 6,575 square feet of wetland.
comr Insta proje and r	on and sedimentation controls will be installed at the limits of the work area prior to the nencement of construction activities. These controls will consist of hay bales and silt fencing. Ilation and maintenance of erosion and sedimentation controls will reduce soil erosion on the ct site and prevent sedimentation from occurring on- and off-site. These controls will be inspected maintained throughout construction. Erosion and sedimentation controls will be left in place after truction until the site has been re-vegetated and stabilized.
The f	ollowing are the alternatives considered for this project:
1)	No build. This alternative was not chosen because it would not achieve the project's objectives of providing housing in the Town of Holden.
2)	The reduction of the development scale. This alternative was rejected because it would not meet the objective of providing housing and would not be financially feasible for the project

Preferred Design. The current design provides housing in the Town of Holden while conforming to all local rules regarding residential subdivision development, is financially feasible for the project proponent, and reduces impacts to wetland resource areas to the greatest extent

proponent.

possible.

3)