Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs

EOEA No.: /#3/0 MEPA Analyst Bill Gag. Phone: 617-626-/025

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Allen Pond Dredging	Project			
Street: 233 Baker Street				
Municipality: Walpole		Watershed: Neponset		
Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 42.140.323 N		
315559 E 4667377 N		Longitude: 71.236.546 W		
Estimated commencement date: Fall 2008		Estimated completion date: Spring 2010		
Approximate cost: \$450,000		Status of project design: 75 %complete		
Proponent: Walpole Country Club				
Street:233 Baker Street				
Municipality: Walpole		State: MA	Zip Code: 0208	31
Name of Contact Person From Who	m Copies	of this ENF May	Be Obtained:	
Thomas Liddy			-	
Firm/Agency: ESS Group, Inc.		Street: 888 Worcester Street		
Municipality: Wellesley		State: MA	Zip Code: 0248	32
Phone: 781 431-0500	Fax: 781	431-7434_	E-mail: tliddy@e	essgroup.com
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)? Yes No Has this project been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) No Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before? Yes (EOEA No) No Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting: a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) Yes No a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 CMR 11.09) Yes No No				
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010 a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 Cr a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	•	∐Yes ∏Yes ∏Yes	\boxtimes	No No
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): NA				
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency? ☐Yes(Specify) ☒No				
List Local or Federal Permits and Appro	ovals: U	ISACE 404 dredgi	ng permit, EPA NF	PDES, Order of

~	
:	
-	
-	
-	
5	
ė	
2	
- 3	
- 2	
ı	
в	

Which ENF or EIR review thres Land Water Energy	☐ Rare Speci ☐ Wastewate ☐ Air	ies 🖂	Wetlands, W Transportat	/aterways, & Tidelands
ACEC	Regulation	s 🗌	Historical & Resources	Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	-95 acres			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0		Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	~2.5	0	~2.5	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration				MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration				Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STR	UCTURES			Other Permits
Gross square footage	0	0		(including Legislative Approvals) — Specify:
Number of housing units	0	0		Approvais) - Specify.
Maximum height (in feet)	0	0	_	
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	0	0		
Parking spaces	0	0		
WATER/	VASTEWAT	ER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	0		
GPD water withdrawal	0	0		
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	0		
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0		
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the presources to any purpose not in accommodate (Specify	rdance with Article value of the contraction restriction?	cle 97?) ion, preservation	⊠No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		·		
RARE SPECIES: Does the project si Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Yes (Specify		ated Habitat o	f Rare Specie ⊠No	s, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of

•	
	_
-	711

NISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESC	DURGES : Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed
in the State Register of Historic Place or the Yes (Specify	e inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
If yes, does the project involve any demoliti resources?	on or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological
Yes (Specify) ⊠No
	CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern?	
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
	ject description should include (a) a description of the project site,
(b) a description of both on-site and of	f-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each

alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

attach one additional page, if necessary.)

Project Site – Allen Pond (the pond) is a 3.5 acre (150,639 feet²) impounded pond system. The pond exhibits a highly eutrophic condition resulting from the effects of excessive sedimentation accumulating within the pond basin at a calculated rate in excess of 20 tons/year (ESS, 2003). The primary source of sedimentation has been attributed to a neighboring gravel/excavation operation which has ceased operations. The source of sediment has been controlled however the excessive sedimentation accumulating in the pond has reduced the total volume of water by approximately 2.8 million gallons. In addition, the sediment has accumulated in the pond and has converted the original pond bottom (Land Under Water) to Bordering Vegetated Wetlands (BVW), which supports the growth of nuisance aquatic weeds, including waterweed (*Elodea canadensis*), water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*), cattails (*Typha latifolia*), as well as purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*), an exotic and highly invasive species.

The pond is located at the bottom of a small valley, surrounded by the low rolling hills of the golf course, and is impounded by a causeway on its northwestern shore. Adjacent land use consists of residential development, country club facilities, upland forest, and a maintained golf course fairway and greens. The pond is fed by Spring Brook, which discharges into the southern end of the pond. The western branch of Spring Brook originates approximately one quarter mile to the south and east of the pond, in a wooded, hilly area west of Route 95. The eastern branch (Sansone Branch) originates from a spring located downgradient of the former Sansone Sand and Gravel property. The Gutierez Branch is an additional stream which feeds into the Sansone Branch of Spring Brook downstream of the former Sansone property and upstream of the confluence with the west branch of Spring Brook. See Figure 1.

The pond contains two outlets. Outlet 1 is located at the western end of the pond. Outlet 2 is located at the northern end of the pond. Both outlets discharge to a large wetland system and several other downstream water bodies. Water levels within the pond are controlled at both outlets through the use of sandbags.

Allen Pond was originally constructed to provide the primary water supply for golf course irrigation, but in addition provides fisheries support. The loss of pond volume resulting from sedimentation has significantly impaired the pond's function of providing water supply for irrigation. Overall water quality is also being degraded, with increased levels of total suspended solids (TSS), reduced water clarity, and reduced dissolved oxygen available, which has impaired the pond's functional capacity to support fisheries.

Project Purpose - The applicant proposes to remove excessive sedimentation (upstream sediment contributions originating on the former Sansone property have ceased and ongoing stormwater controls have been installed) and limit the long-term excessive growth of nuisance and invasive species by dredging approximately 23,000 cys of sediment from the pond and converting an area of BVW to deep marsh. The proposed project will reduce the overall sediment that has accumulated in the pond, restore pond

depth/storage capacity (current capacity is approximately 1.8 million gallons, which would increase to approximately 4.6 million gallons) and reduce proliferation of nuisance and invasive species. Implementation of the proposed project will also restore the natural capacity of the pond to function as a water supply and a fisheries resource.

Alternatives – Source control of upstream sediment contributions having been achieved, the proposed project is specific to the restoration of Allen Pond, which will require the removal of excessive sedimentation and control of nuisance and invasive species. The applicant has considered the following alternatives: (1) No Action; (2) Dredging with short-term management of nuisance and invasive species; and (3) Dredging with long-term management of nuisance and invasive species through conversion of BVW to deep marsh.

<u>The No Action alternative</u> will result in continued degradation and reduced natural capacity of the pond to function as a water supply and a fisheries resource.

<u>Dredging with Periodic Management of Nuisance and Invasive Species</u> will provide some immediate benefits, but will not provide a long-term solution or permanent restoration of the pond. Drawdown dredging would be implemented in stages, drawing water down over two dredging seasons to expose bottom sediments, which would be removed and de-watered on shore for on-site reuse in accordance with a 401 Water Quality Certificate, MassDEP's "*Guidance for Aquatic Plant Management in Lakes and Ponds As It Relates to the Wetlands Protection Act,*" and Best Management Practices (BMPs) for work in resource areas. Periodic management of extensive nuisance and invasive species would include harvesting through manual cutting, mechanical cutting, and hydro-raking.

This alternative was rejected for several reasons. The dredging of the accumulated sediments would achieve a greater storage volume in the pond, but the continued proliferation of nuisance and invasive species would continue to impact water quality. Short-term management creates its own impacts through substrate disruption that is inevitable with physical controls. In addition, manual cutting, mechanical cutting, and hydro-raking may, after several years of effort, are effective when target plants reproduce by seed and harvesting is timed to eliminate annual seed production. Seasonal harvesting is much less effective as a long-term management program with plants that reproduce by vegetative propagation. Finally, without consistent implementation, harvesting will not be effective in controlling nuisance and invasive species.

<u>Oredging with Management of Nuisance and Invasive Species By Conversion of BVW to Land Under Water</u> (<u>Deep Marsh</u>) is the preferred project alternative. Drawdown dredging would be implemented as described above, but would also include dredging to a depth of 8 – 10 feet and altering approximately 30,030 square feet of BVW to reduce the area of littoral zone available for the establishment of nuisance and invasive rooted plant growth.

The benefits to this approach include achieving a greater storage volume in the pond, restoring portions of the pond that have been colonized by nuisance and invasive species, and establishing a level of "source control" so as to permanently limit the capacity for re-establishment of nuisance and invasive species. The overall water quality, biological integrity, and overall capacity of the pond to function as a source of water supply and a fisheries resource.

Mitigation Measures - Impacts associated with drawdown dredging are anticipated to be temporary and of short duration and would largely be mitigated naturally. The applicant will commence drawdown activity over two seasons, between November 1 and December 1, and complete pond refill by April 1. This schedule will minimize impacts to fish spawning and other non-target organisms that may have water level requirements for reproduction. Upstream and downstream flow rates during drawdown and refill will be managed to ensure sufficient flow rates to maintain fisheries and, as practicable, drawdown rates will not exceed 3 inches of elevation change/day.