Commonwealth of Massachusetts



Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs				
EOEA No.: 128	30			
MEPA Analystic	2 Avolas			
Phone: 617-626- 10	20			

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: High Street (Route 109) Reconstruction					
Street: High Street (Route 109)					
Municipality: Westwood		Watershed: Cha	rles River		
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinate	ates:		•		
State Plane NAD 1983					
Begin Project:					
N 885821.7280 E 222852.5542		Latitude:42 13 2	1 N Longitude:71 13 24 W		
End Project:					
N 888009.7127 E224093.0637		Latitude:42 14 32 N Longitude:71 12 29 W			
Estimated commencement date: April 2	2002	Estimated completion date: November 2003			
Approximate cost: \$3,200,000	1	Status of project	design: 75 %complete		
Proponent: Massachusetts Highway De	epartment/	Town of Westwoo	od		
Street: 10 Park Plaza, Room 4260					
Municipality: Boston		State: MA	Zip Code: 02116		
Name of Contact Person From Whom	Copies of t	his ENF May Be (Obtained:		
Ms. Grace Arthur					
Firm/Agency: Massachusetts Highway	Dept.	Street: 10 Park I	Street: 10 Park Plaza		
Municipality: Boston		State: MA	Zip Code: 02116		
Phone: (617)973-8251	Fax: (617	7)973-8879	E-mail: Grace.Arthur@state.ma.u		
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?					
그는 마다는 사람들이 가장 마다를 하는 것이 되었다. 그런 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은			⊠No		
Has this project been filed with MEPA b	130 - 150 William 180 <u>- 180 - 1</u>				
	and the second second	Yes (EOEA No)		
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?					
Yes (EOEA No)					
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11.05(7)) requesting:					
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)	,	Yes	No		
a Special Review Procedure? (see 3010	경험이 하고 있었습니다 그 사이지 그녀를 다		No		
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 C		Yes	⊠No		
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.	11)	Yes	⊠No		
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an assence of the Commonwealth including					
Identify any financial assistance or land transfer from an agency of the Commonwealth, including the agency name and the amount of funding or land area (in acres): Massachusetts Highway					
Department – \$ 3,200,000					
<u>υ</u> σμαι ιπιστιτ — φ 3,200,000					

Are you requesting coordinated Yes(Specify	d review with a	any other fede	eral, state, re) 🔀 No	
List Local or Federal Permits a Westwood Conservation Comr Which ENF or EIR review thres Land Water Energy	mission. shold(s) does t Rare Spec Wastewate	he project me cies	eet or exceed Wetlands, V Transportati Solid & Haz	d (see 301 CMR 11.03): Vaterways, & Tidelands ion zardous Waste
ACEC	Regulation	is [Historical & Resources	Archaeological
Summary of Project Size & Environmental Impacts	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits & Approvals
《大學》(1884年)	LAND			Order of Conditions
Total site acreage	10.1			Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0		Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	10.1	0	10.1	401 Water Quality
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		Certification MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		Water Management Act Permit
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/
STR	UCTURES			Extension Permit Other Permits
Gross square footage	0	0	0	(including Legislative
Number of housing units	0	0	0	Approvals) – Specify:
Maximum height (in feet)	0	0	0	opeony.
TRANS	PORTATION			
Vehicle trips per day	0	0	0	*
Parking spaces	0	0	0	
WATER/V	VASTEWATE	R		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	0	0	0	
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	0	0	0	
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0	

97 public natural resources to any purpos	e not in accordance with Article 97?) ⊠No
Will it involve the release of any conserva-	tion restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural
preservation restriction, or watershed pres	servation restriction?
Yes (Specify	
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site inc	clude Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools,
Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exempla	
Yes (Specify)
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RES	OURCES: Does the project site include any structure,
site or district listed in the State Register of	of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and
Archaeological Assets of the Commonwea	alth?
Yes (Specify)
MassHighway's Cultural Resources S	ection will coordinate its review with the
	in compliance with state Chapter 254 or federal
Section 106 (as appropriate).	
If yes, does the project involve any demolit	tion or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic
or archaeological resources?	
Yes (Specify)
ADEAC OF ODITIOAL ENVIRONMENTAL	20105711 1 4
Critical Environmental Concern?	CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of
Yes (Specify	\ ⊠No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project de	escription should include (a) a description of the project
site, (b) a description of both on-site and o	off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with
each alternative, and (c) potential on-site a	and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative
(You may attach one additional page, if ne	cessary.)
The Massachusette Hishway Danada-	ant in againmatic with the T
proposes to reconstruct Ligh Street /De	ent, in conjunction with the Town of Westwood
	oute 109) in the Town of Westwood from south of the Interchange with Route 128, a distance of
iditiona officer to office officer. South C	A DE THEIGHANDE WITH BOTHE IZO A DISTANCE OF

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article

The Massachusetts Highway Department, in conjunction with the Town of Westwood proposes to reconstruct High Street (Route 109) in the Town of Westwood from south of Hartford Street to Grove Street, south of the Interchange with Route 128, a distance of approximately 8,780 feet (2680 meters). The road is functionally classified as a principal major arterial with an average daily traffic of 26,500 vehicles. The posted speed limit ranges from 30 miles per hour (48 km/hr) at the beginning of the project to 45 miles per hour (72 km/hr) at the end of the project. Land use within the corridor is predominantly residential with some commercial use in the area from Hartford Street to the Barlow/Westwood Glen Road intersection.

The existing roadway pavement has deteriorated due to poor drainage and lack of a defined gutter. The proposed work will reconstruct the pavement with new granite curbing and drainage facilities. The roadway will be built with bicycle accommodations and cement concrete sidewalks in the areas of pedestrian activity. In addition, new traffic

signals will be installed at the intersections with Hartford Street, Gay Street, Windsor Road/Public Library Entrance, Summer Street. Extensive landscaping and site furnishings will also be incorporated into the project.

The existing pavement width from the beginning of the project to Windsor Road is approximately 36 feet (10.97 m) with sidewalks on both sides of the road. From Windsor Road to Summer Street, the existing pavement width is approximately 32 feet (9.75 m) with sidewalk on the west side of the road. The proposed typical section consists of two 11.5 foot (3.5 m) travel lanes with 6.5 foot (1.98 m) shoulders. Also included are vertical granite curb and cement concrete sidewalk on both sides of the roadway from the beginning of the project to Windsor Road.

The existing drainage will be upgraded with new catch basins installed according to Massachusetts Highway Department criteria for location and spacing requirements. Trees which interfere with the proposed roadway layout will have to be removed as a result of this project. The emphasis of the design will be to avoid taking any trees.

Project alternatives would include a simple overlay of the existing pavement with no sidewalk or widening. This alternative would only serve to temporarily repair the roadway surface which would deteriorate rapidly and not address the other safety issues on the project. Another alternative would be to construct only the signalized intersections, thus lessening the impacts to the existing trees. The no build alternative would not accomplish any of the goals of the project. Finally doing nothing is an alternative which would not accomplish any of the goals of the project. With each of these alternatives, the environmental impacts are minimal so there are no mitigating measures required.

The project is subject to MEPA review because it requires the removal of more than 5 living public shade trees 14 or more inches in diameter at breast height (301 CMR 11.03 (6)(b) 2.b) and the widening of existing Route 109 Roadway by 4 feet or more for more than one half of a mile (301 CMR 11.03 (6)(b) 1.b.)