Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs MEPA Office

ENF Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only		
EOEA No.:/282/ MEPA Analyst Andrea Phone: 617-626-/038	A	an E

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Russia Wharf Rea	levelopment			
Street: 530 Atlantic Avenue, 270	Congress Street, a	and 286 Congress	Street	
Municipality: Boston		Watershed: Boston Harbor		
Universal Transverse Mercator	Coordinates:	Lattitude: 42N	21 10	
		Longitude: 71	W 03 10	
Estimated commencement dat			pletion date: 2007	
Approximate Cost: \$170 MM co		Status of proje	ct design: 10 percent complete	
Proponent: EOP - Russia Wharf,	L.L.C.			
Street: 100 Summer Street				
Municipality: Boston	State: MA		Zip: <i>02210</i>	
Name of Contact Person From	Whom Copies o	f this ENF May I	Be Obtained:	
Kenneth Buckland				
Firm/Agency: The Cecil Group				
Street: 9 Newbury Street				
Municipality: Boston	State: MA		Zip: <i>02116</i>	
Phone:	Fax:		E-mail:	
617 424-8686	617 424-8282		buckland@cecilgroup.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a	a mandatory FIR th	reshold (see 301	CMR 11.03\2	
a see and project most of oxecou	⊠Yes	10311014 (300 301	□No	
Has this project been filed with ME	PA before?			
	□Yes		⊠No	
Has any project on this site been fi	led with MEPA bef	ore?		
	⊠Yes		□No	
	Amnesty License	for Chapter 91		
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301	CMR 11.05(7)) red	questina:		
a Single EIR?	☐Yes	,	⊠No	
a Special Review Procedure?	☐Yes		⊠No	
a Waiver of mandatory EIR?	Yes		⊠No	
a Phase I Waiver?	□Yes		⊠No	
Identify any financial assistance of	_	m an agency of		
agency name and the amount of fu	nding or land area	(in acres):	are commonwealth, including the	
None	J			
Are you requesting coordinated rev	iew with any other	federal state red	tional or local agency?	
	⊠Yes(Specify: B	oston Art. 80)	□No	
- Committee of the Comm				
List Local or Federal Permits & App	provals:			

See attached documentation, Chapter Two of the Project Notification Form (PNF), Table 2.4

Which ENF or EIR Review threshold(s) does the project meet or exceed (see 301 CMR 11.03):

Land	☐ Rare Species	
☐ Water		
☐ Energy	⊠ Air	Solid & Hazardous Waste
ACEC	Regulations	

Summary of Project Size & Environmental Impacts	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits & Approvals	
	LAND				
Total site acreage	2.2			Superseding Order of Conditions	
New acres of land altered		0		☐ Chapter 91 License***	
Acres of impervious area	1.93	0.27*	2.2	401 Water Quality Certification	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		MHD or MDC Access Permit	
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		11,700 for public access*			
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		□ DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/Extension Permit	
ST	☐ New Source Approval				
Gross square footage	412,616	510,384	923,000		
Number of housing units	0	50	50	See list of permits in the PNF Chapter Two, Table 2.4	
Maximum height (in feet)	100'	295'	395'		
TRAN	ISPORTATIO				
Vehicle trips per day	1,380**	1,634**	3,014**		
Parking spaces	131	381	512		
WATER/WASTEWATER					
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	65,000	98,100	163,100		
GPD water withdrawal	0	0	0		
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	43,500	66,700	110,200		
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	0	0	0		

^{*}Design Option for Waterfront Access and pier extension (see PNF, Chapter Two)

CONSERVATION LAND: Will the project involve the conversion of public parkland or other Article	e 97
public natural resources to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97?	

☐Yes (Specify):	
Mo	

^{**} Adjusted for mode split and vehicle occupancy

*** See also Chapter 564 of the Acts of 1979 and Chapter 457 of the Acts of 1982.

restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?
□Yes (Specify): ⊠No
RARE SPECIES : Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
☐Yes (Specify): ⊠No
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES : Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
⊠Yes (Specify):
The three existing buildings are listed on the National Historic Register. See Chapter Nine of PNF under Historic Building Assessment.
□No
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
⊠Yes (Specify):
The condition of the existing buildings ranges from fair to poor. The Russia Building will be fully restored. Its first floor will continue to function as commercial space. The upper floors will be converted to residential loft space. The Graphic Arts Building and the Tufts Building will be preserved, with partial demolition of their interior spaces. The portion of these buildings to be demolished is behind their Congress Street and waterfront facades and along Pearl Street. Within the demolished space, a new hotel will be built. The first seven floors of the hotel will be enhanced by the identity of the historic buildings' facades and prominent location in the waterfront sector of Boston's Financial District.
□No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN : Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern?
☐Yes (Specify): ☑No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (<i>You may attach one</i>

Description follows on next page. Also, please see documentation in the attached PNF, Chapter Two, Project Description

additional page, if necessary.)

Russia Wharf is proposed to be redeveloped by its owner, Equity Office Properties, to create a vibrant, mixed-use site at one of Boston's most important crossroads. Located at the downtown corner of Congress Street and Atlantic Avenue, Russia Wharf sits at the nexus of the Central Artery, the Financial District, the South Station transportation and redevelopment district, and the Fort Point Channel. The mix of uses—Class A office, hotel, residential lofts, retail, a jazz/blues club, gallery/civic space, and public open space—has been designed to complement each of these districts and add to the economic and community vitality of the site and the area. New uses, new public open spaces, new destinations, and a new spirit will draw Boston residents and visitors to the site and nearby cultural attractions. Equity Office hopes to revitalize the site and, in so doing, to preserve and protect the historic Russia, Graphic Arts, and Tufts buildings on the site.

The Preferred Alternative for the Russia Wharf Redevelopment Project includes the following components:

- Restoration of the historic Russia Building on Atlantic Avenue into approximately 50 residential lofts on the upper floors and retail space on the ground floor.
- Adaptive reuse of the Graphic Arts and Tufts buildings on Congress Street, preserving historic portions of the buildings and creating approximately 300 moderate-priced hotel suites serving the business and tourist markets, and including ground-floor retail spaces, restaurants, gallery space, a jazz and blues club, and a major public hotel lobby.
- Construction of a handsome 22-story office building of approximately 500,000 square feet above a portion of the Graphic Arts and Tufts buildings.
- Creation of a large waterfront plaza on the Fort Point Channel that will encourage pedestrian access to the water, expand and enliven the Harborwalk, activate the waterfront with restaurants and cafes, and provide a dockage for transient boats in the Fort Point Channel.
- Development of a 512-space underground parking garage that will replace the existing waterfront and ground-floor parking and will provide additional parking to serve residents and workers from the new development as well as weekend visitors to the public attractions at Russia Wharf and in the surrounding district.
- Enhancement of the sidewalks and streetscape of Atlantic Avenue and Congress Street adjacent to Russia Wharf, and provision of street-level attractions enlivening the new Pearl Street extension that will offer pedestrian access between Atlantic Avenue and the waterfront.
- Public benefits which include:
 - Designation of 10 percent of the residential lofts within the Russia Building as affordable units;
 - ° Contribution of linkage funds to both the city's affordable housing trust and jobs training program;
 - Onation of funds for the lighting of the Congress Street Bridge as called for in the Fort Point Channel Watersheet Activation Plan; and,
 - Provision of both local and regional benefits associated with the creation of sustainable, mixed-use, transit-oriented development adjacent to South Station (New England's largest multi-modal transportation hub), the Rowes Wharf water transportation terminal, and extensive local bus services.

Previous Planning Alternatives – Several different alternatives have been considered for the project. Two of those alternatives are included in the PNF, Chapter Two, Project Evolution. The impacts of these

alternatives are compared in sections on environmental resources (Chapter Six), transportation (Chapter Seven), and infrastructure (Chapter Eight), in terms of effects and potential mitigation requirements.

LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section

I.	Thre	Thresholds / Permits					
	A.						
		11.03(1) 🗌 Yes 🔀 No; if yes, sp	ecify each thresh	old:			
II.	•	cts and Permits		-4	in an fallactic		
	A.	Describe, in acres, the current and	a proposed chara	cter or the project sit	e, as follows:		
			Existing	Change	Total		
	Footp	rint of buildings	1.59	0	1.59		
	Roady	ways, parking, and other paved areas	0.34*	0	0.34**		
	Other	altered areas (describe): waterfront open space	0	0.27***	0.27***		
	Undev	veloped areas: under water	0.27	- 0.27***	0		
	*Incl	uding existing 15 foot wide Harborw	alk and 62-space	parking area.			
		isting parking area to be replaced w	-	•			
		Inder design option for increased wa			ublic access area		
		d add a 0.27 acre pier extension for a					
		ter Two.	<i>y</i>		,		
	1						
	B.	Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years?					
		☐ Yes ☒ No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils)					
		will be converted to nonagricultura	luse?				
	•						
	C.	Is any part of the project site currently or proposed to be in active forestry use?					
		☐ Yes ☒ No; if yes, please describe current and proposed forestry activities and indicate whether any part of the site is the subject of a DEM-approved forest					
		management plan:					
		management plan.					
	D.	Does any part of the project involve conversion of land held for natural resources					
		purposes in accordance with Article 97 of the Amendments to the Constitution of the					
		Commonwealth to any purpose not in accordance with Article 97? Yes No; if yes,					
		describe:					
	_						
	E.	E. Is any part of the project site currently subject to a conservation restriction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation restriction or watershed preservation restriction?					
		 Yes ⊠ No; if yes, does the project involve the release or modification of such 					
		restriction? Yes No; if yes,		elease of modification	iii oi sucii		
		restriction: Tes Two, it yes,	describe.				
	F.	Does the project require approval	of a new urban re	development project	t or a		
		fundamental change in an existing urban redevelopment project under M.G.L.c.121A?					
		☐ Yes ☐ No; if yes, describe:					
	_						
	G.	G. Does the project require approval of a new urban renewal plan or a major modification of an existing urban renewal plan under M.G.L.c.121B? Yes No; if yes, describe:					
		an existing urban renewal plan und	aer M.G.L.C.121B	∠ Yes ∠ No; if ye	es, describe:		
	H.	Describe the project's stormwater	impacts and if ar	onlicable measures t	that the project		
		p. 0,000 0 000111Wator	pasio ana, n ap	, in Cada (Co	a p. 0,000		

will take to comply with the standards found in DEP's Stormwater Management Policy: