Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office



Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only				
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs				

EOEA No.: 14423

MEPA Analyst: Nick Zavolas

Phone: 617-626-

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

<u></u>					
Project Name:					
Raceway Sediment Cap					
Street: 7 North Bridge Street					
Municipality: Holyoke		Watershed: Connecticut River			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude	: 467589	92	
		Longitud			
Estimated commencement date: 09/01/09		Estimated completion date: 12/31/09			
Approximate cost: \$1M		Status of project design: 60% complete			
Proponent: City of Holyoke G	as and Electric	Departm	nent		
Street: 99 Suffolk Street	<u></u>				
Municipality: Holyoke		State: M	Α	Zip Code:	01040
Name of Contact Person From	Whom Copies	of this EN	IF May I	Be Obtaine	d:
David Melycher					
Firm/Agency: Apex Companie	es, LLC	-		len Street	
Municipality: Holyoke		State: M	•	Zip Code:	
Phone: 413-53 4-0680	Fax: 860-282- 1	008	E-mail:	dmelycher@	apexcos.com
Does this project meet or exceed	a mandatory EIR	threshold	(see 301 C	MR 11.03)?	
	· 🗀	⁄es		•	⊠No
Has this project been filed with MEPA before?					
□Yes (EOEA No) ⊠No					⊠No
Has any project on this site been filed with MEPA before?					
		res (EOE	4 No)	⊠No
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301		sting:			
a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)		∐Yes			⊠No
a Special Review Procedure? (s	ee 301CMR 11.09)	∐Yes			⊠No
a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (se	e 301 CMR 11.11)	☐Yes			⊠No
a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 1	1.11)	Yes			⊠No
Identify any financial assistance of	or land transfer fro	om an age	ncv of the	e Commonw	vealth, including
the agency name and the amoun		•	-		
Are you requesting coordinated review with any other federal, state, regional, or local agency?					
Yes(Specify		ici icucia		No	Joan agency :
<u>~</u> 3.33(2}3011}			<u> </u> /		
List Local or Federal Permits and	Approvals: BRP	WPA Form	3 – Notic	e of Intent, C	Chapter 91
License, 401 Water Quality Certific	Corps of E	ngineers	404 Permit, N	Natural Heritage	
& Endangered Species Program R	leview.				RECEIVED
	_ 1	_			- va- waters in the last
	- 1	_		1 1	

Which ENF or EIR review threshold	old(s) does the	e project mee	et or exceed	(see 301 CMR 11.03):		
☐ Land ☐ Rare Species ☐ Wetlands, Waterways, & Tidelands						
☐ Water [☐ Wastewater ☐ Transportation					
☐ Energy [Air		Solid & Hazaı	rdous Waste		
□ ACEC [Regulations		Historical & A	rchaeological Resources		
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &		
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals		
L	.AND			Order of Conditions		
Total site acreage	48,640 s.f.			☐ Superseding Order of Conditions		
New acres of land altered		0		Conditions Chapter 91 License		
Acres of impervious area	0	0	0	⊠ 401 Water Quality		
Square feet of new bordering				Certification MHD or MDC Access		
vegetated wetlands alteration	_			Permit		
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		☐ Water Management Act Permit		
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		☐ New Source Approval ☐ DEP or MWRA		
STRL	ICTURES			Sewer Connection/		
Gross square footage	NA	NA	NA	Extension Permit Other Permits		
Number of housing units	NA	NA	NA	(including Legislative		
Maximum height (in feet)	NA	NA	NA	Approvals) - Specify:		
TRANSI	PORTATION			-ACOE 404 Permit		
Vehicle trips per day	NA	NA	NA	-NHESP Approval		
Parking spaces	NA	NA	NA			
WATER/W	/ASTEWATE	R				
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	NA	NA	NA			
GPD water withdrawal	NA	NA	NA			
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	NA	NA	NA			
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	NA	NA	NA			
CONSERVATION LAND: Will the pronatural resources to any purpose not Yes (Specify Will it involve the release of any conserestriction, or watershed preservation	in accordance v	with Article 97?	⊠No on restriction,			
☐Yes (Specify)	⊠No			

			,
		•	

RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Estimated Habitat of Rare Species, Vernal Pools, Priority Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Communities?
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Does the project site include any structure, site or district listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the Commonwealth?
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or archaeological resources?
□Yes (Specify) ⊠No
AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical
Environmental Concern? See (Specify No
PROJECT DESCRIPTION : The project description should include (a) a description of the project site (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (<i>You may attach one additional page, if necessary.</i>)
The work proposed consists of the installation of a sub-aqueous cap in the No. 2 Overflow Raceway at the Old Gas Works facility located on 7 North Bridge Street in Holyoke, Massachusetts. The proposed cap consists of one foot of granular material (sand) and sufficient rip-rap armoring to prevent erosion of the cap from surface waters. The purpose of the proposed cap is to prevent human and environmental receptors from coming into direct contact with coal tar impacted sediments located in the Raceway channel.
The installation of the cap will remediate a condition of potential significant risk to human and ecological receptors, as defined by the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (310 CMR 40.0000). The existence of the condition of potentially significant risk was documented in correspondence to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) dated October 9, 2003.
To identify applicable remedial solutions for the Raceway sediments, a Phase III Remedial Action Plan (Apex, March 11, 2005) was prepared in accordance with 310 CMR 40.0850. Three applicable and implementable remedial alternatives for the Raceway sediments were identified via the Phase III process. The remedial alternatives that were subject to the detailed analysis as required by 310 CMR 40.0000 included: dredging the impacted sediments for off-site disposal, "culverting" of the raceway and the installation of a sub-aqueous cap. Using a scoring model based on the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) remediation technology screening matrix, which evaluates the relative applicability, effectiveness, risk of technology implementation, cost and treatment time, the installation of a sub-aqueous cap was determined to be the best solution for this site. In correspondence dated August 25, 2005 the DEP approved the remedial technology selection.
LAND SECTION – all proponents must fill out this section
 I. Thresholds / Permits A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1) Yes _X No; if yes, specify each threshold: