Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Environmental Affairs ■ MEPA Office

ENF

Environmental Notification Form

For Office Use Only Executive Office of Environmental Affairs
EOEA No.: 14215 MEPA Analyst Anne Caraday Phone: 617-626-1035

The information requested on this form must be completed to begin MEPA Review in accordance with the provisions of the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act, 301 CMR 11.00.

Project Name: Mill/Keveney Lane Bridge Rehabilitation Project					
Street: Mill Lane and Keveney Lane					
Municipality: Barnstable and Yarmouth		Watershed: Cape Cod			
Universal Tranverse Mercator Coordinates:		Latitude: 41	° 42' 26"N	<u> </u>	
19 394948E 4617828N	_ _	Longitude: 70	° 15' 46"W		
Estimated commencement date: 10/	/2008	Estimated con			
Approximate cost: \$129,000		Status of proje	ect design:	100% Complete	
Proponent: Town of Barnstable I	<u>Departme</u>	nt of Public W	orks		
Street: 200 Main Street	<u> </u>				
Municipality: Hyannis		State: MA	Zip Code:	02601	
Name of Contact Person From Who Gene F. Crouch	m Copies	of this ENF Ma	y Be Obtain	ed:	
Firm/Agency: Vanasse Hangen Bru	ustlin, Inc.	Street: 101 \	Walnut Stre	et _	
Municipality: Watertown		State: MA	Zip Code:	02471	
Phone: (617) 924-1770	Fax: (617	923-2336	E-mail: gc	rouch@vhb.com	
Does this project meet or exceed a mandatory EIR threshold (see 301 CMR 11.03)?					
I loo and an also also have filed.		es (EOEA No)	⊠No	
Has any project on this site been filed v		es (EOEA No)	⊠No	
Is this an Expanded ENF (see 301 CMR 11. a Single EIR? (see 301 CMR 11.06(8)) a Special Review Procedure? (see 301 C a Waiver of mandatory EIR? (see 301 C a Phase I Waiver? (see 301 CMR 11.11)	CMR 11.09)	sting:		⊠No ⊠No ⊠No ⊠No	
Identify any financial assistance or land the agency name and the amount of fu				wealth, including	
The project cost is approximately \$129 and Yarmouth, Massachusetts. The procommonwealth.			•		
Are you requesting coordinated review Yes(Specify				local agency?	
List Local or Federal Permits and Appr Conservation Commissions; Individual				le and Yarmouth	

☐ Land ☐ Water ☐ Energy ☐ ACEC 11.03(11)(b)	Rare Speci Wastewate Air Regulations	r 🔲	Transportat Solid & Haz	ardous Waste Archaeological
Summary of Project Size	Existing	Change	Total	State Permits &
& Environmental Impacts				Approvals
Total site acreage	.AND 0.2 <u>+</u>			✓ Order of Conditions✓ Superseding Order of Conditions
New acres of land altered		0		Chapter 91 License
Acres of impervious area	0.1	0	0.1	
Square feet of new bordering vegetated wetlands alteration		0		MHD or MDC Access Permit
Square feet of new other wetland alteration		0		☐ Water ManagementAct Permit☐ New Source Approval
Acres of new non-water dependent use of tidelands or waterways		0		DEP or MWRA Sewer Connection/ Extension Permit
STRU	JCTURES			☐ Other Permits (including Legislative
Gross square footage	N/A	N/A	N/A	Approvals) - Specify:
Number of housing units	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Maximum height (in feet)	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Vehicle trips per day	PORTATION			
Parking spaces	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
WATER/V	VASTEWATI	ER		
Gallons/day (GPD) of water use	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD water withdrawal	N/A	N/A	N/A	
GPD wastewater generation/ treatment	N/A	N/A	N/A	1
Length of water/sewer mains (in miles)	N/A	N/A	N/A]

Will it involve the release of any conservation rest restriction, or watershed preservation restriction?	triction, preservation restriction, agricultural preservation
☐Yes (Specify	
None of the land within the project area is sub	
RARE SPECIES: Does the project site include Es Sites of Rare Species, or Exemplary Natural Com Yes (Specify:	
HISTORICAL /ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCE listed in the State Register of Historic Place or the Commonwealth? ☐ Yes (Specify: ☐No	ES : Does the project site include any structure, site or districe inventory of Historic and Archaeological Assets of the
If yes, does the project involve any demolition or or archaeological resources?	destruction of any listed or inventoried historic or
☐Yes (Specify) ⊠No
Environmental Concern? [XYes (Specify:)	CERN: Is the project in or adjacent to an Area of Critical of Town of Barnstable lies within the Sandy Neck Barrier all Concern (Figure 6). The portion of the bridge in Yarmouth e of Mill Creek serves as both the town boundary as well as
the ACEC boundary. The Mill-Neverley Land	e Bridge is a one lane bridge crossing over Mill Creek.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project description should include (a) a description of the project site, (b) a description of both on-site and off-site alternatives and the impacts associated with each alternative, and (c) potential on-site and off-site mitigation measures for each alternative (You may attach one additional page, if necessary.)

The Town of Barnstable, through its Department of Public Works is proposing improvements to the east (Keveney Lane in Barnstable) and west (Mill Lane in Yarmouth) approaches and the rehabilitation of the Mill/Keveney Lane Bridge over Mill Creek. The total project length is approximately 343 feet and will include structural improvements to the bridge, roadway approach and drainage improvements. The project will include reconstruction of portions of the superstructure of the bridge along with abutments and piers to restore the load carrying capacity of the bridge while refurbishing the bridge's façade and maintaining the historical and environmental setting of the area. Proposed roadway improvements for Mill Lane and Keveney Lane will include full depth pavement reconstruction, cold planning and overlay, curbing, berms and pavement striping for increased safety and improved traffic flow along the project area. A new drainage system will be installed to control runoff and improve water quality.

Mill Creek is approximately 150 feet wide and flows northwards from Hallets Millpond into Cape Cod Bay. According to the most recently published Natural Heritage Atlas (2006) by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, the entire project area lies within the estimated habitat of rare wildlife (Figure 3). The Mill-Keveney Lane Bridge, on the side of the Town of Barnstable, lies within the Sandy Neck Barrier Beach System Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) (Figure 6). The immediate vicinity of the bridge is dominated by salt marsh cord grass (*Spartina alterniflora*) and salt meadow cord grass (*Spartina patens*) located in the upper marsh. Wetland resource areas will be protected from impacts during construction through the implementation of an erosion and sedimentation control program. This program includes provisions to minimize areas of disturbance through phasing and sequencing, limit

erosion through stabilization, and prevent sediment from leaving the site by installing structural controls. Runoff generated in and around the project site will be collected in two new deep sump catch basins with hoods and discharged to four new 4'X 8' leaching galleys to reduce runoff related erosion and to treat stormwater runoff prior to release to the waterway. These drainage and water quality treatment improvements will serve to satisfy the policies developed by the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and Office of Coastal Zone Management (CZM).

The Mill/Keveney Lane Bridge (MHD Bridge No. B-1-5) was built in 1978 and carries one lane of traffic between the Towns of Barnstable and Yarmouth over Mill Creek (Figure 1 and 2). The bridge is approximately 60 feet long, 16 feet wide and provides a 13 foot wide travel lane and no sidewalks. The butted box beam superstructure is composed of two, equal 30 foot spans. The bridge has a bituminous concrete wearing surface, cast-in-place concrete curbing and a railing system consisting of tube steel posts and timber rails. The bridge substructure consists of a cast-in-place concrete pier and two abutments, each supported by steel H-piles. The abutments and pier are visually concealed by stacked and mortared stonework although this too has deteriorated over the course of time. The west approach (Town of Barnstable) of the bridge is elevated above the surrounding tidal flats by stone and mortar retaining walls on both sides of the road. Timer railing posts, connected to the wall via concrete blocks inlaid into the stone, are located on both sides. Traffic is contained by means of timber and concrete posts.

Under the existing conditions, significant erosion was observed, occurring primarily at the roadway edges of both approaches. On the west approach, the gap between the bottom of the timber guardrail and top of the asphalt roadway permits runoff to flow over the top of the walls causing erosion. Additionally, due to the relatively narrow waterways under the bridge, incoming tides produce high velocity flow rates. Tidal flow has deteriorated portions of the abutment and pier stonework. The erosion of stonework has also led to scouring of the abutment. If the bridge is left in its existing condition, it will become unsafe for vehicles and necessarily taken out of service. This in turn, would cause detours, increase travel times, and increased response times for emergency vehicles. Furthermore, the tidal action has begun to erode the tidal flats near the bridge approaches. In time, this erosion can begin to undermine the foundations of the approach walls. The bridge footprint will largely be replaced in kind, there will be no long term impact to the environment and there are no similarly viable alternatives to the project.

LAND SECTION - all proponents must fill out this section

		/ Per	

A. Does the project meet or exceed any review thresholds related to land (see 301 CMR 11.03(1)
 Yes X No; if yes, specify each threshold:

II. Impacts and Permits

A. Describe, in acres, the current and proposed character of the project site, as follows:

	Existing	Change	<u> I otal</u>
Footprint of buildings	N/A	N/A	N/A
Roadways, parking, and other paved areas	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other altered areas (describe)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Undeveloped areas	N/A	N/A	N/A

B. Has any part of the project site been in active agricultural use in the last three years?

_Yes X No; if yes, how many acres of land in agricultural use (with agricultural soils) will be converted to nonagricultural use?